



CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT

公园需求评估

COMMUNITY MEETINGS | 社区会议

SEPTEMBER 2025 | 2025年9月

OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE

WELCOME

欢迎

The 2025 LA Park Needs Assessment is an initiative of The City of Los Angeles led by the Department of Recreation and Parks.

2025 年洛杉矶公园需求评估是由洛杉矶市发起、娱乐与公园局主导的项目。



OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE

YOUR VOICE MATTERS!

您的声音很重要！





公园最受欢迎！
PARKS ARE POPULAR!

1. GRIFFITH PARK - 22,379,488 PPL

2. SEPULVEDA BASIN RECREATION AREA - 6,778,659 PPL

3. VENICE BEACH - 4,620,676 PPL

4. ISIDORE B. DOCKWEILER STATE BEACH - 4,256,693 PPL

前四大人气公园
(按访问人次)
4/1/24-3/31/25

**TOP 4 PARKS BY
OF VISITS**
4/1/24-3/31/25

**PROJECT
INTRODUCTION**
项目介绍

ENGAGEMENT
社区参与

**DRAFT PNA
WALKTHROUGH**
PNA 草案讲解

**GUIDELINES /
CLASSIFICATIONS**
指南 / 分类

**BUDGET AND
COST ESTIMATES**
预算与成本估算

**PRIORITIZATION
UPDATES**
优先级更新

NEXT STEPS
后续步骤

UPDATES

更新

PROJECT SCHEDULE: FOUR PHASES | 项目进度：四个阶段



基础准备

与相关方会面，并基于现有数据和报告进行研究



评估

开展研究与分析，以了解需求和机遇



PNA 草案

将研究结果整理为 PNA 草案



PNA 最终稿

发布最终版 PNA

PROJECT SCHEDULE: FOUR PHASES | 项目进度：四个阶段

1
GROUNDING
MEETING WITH INTERESTED
PARTIES AND GROUNDING
IN EXISTING DATA AND
REPORT REVIEW

2
EVALUATION
CONDUCTING RESEARCH
AND ANALYSIS TO
UNDERSTAND NEEDS
AND OPPORTUNITIES

3
DRAFT PNA
REFINING FINDINGS INTO
A DRAFT PNA

4
FINAL PNA
SHARING THE
FINAL PNA

JAN
一月

FEB
二月

MAR
三月

APR
四月

MAY
五月

JUN
六月

JUL
七月

AUG
八月

SEP
九月

OCT
十月

NOV
十一月

DEC
十二月




WE ARE HERE!
我们在这里！

The Draft PNA comment period is
from **September 1 - October 15.**

You can comment on the plan on our
website here!

PNA 草案的公众意见征集期为 9 月 1 日至 10
月 15 日。您可以在我们的网站上对该计划发
表意见！



needs.parks.lacity.gov

THE CITY OF LA OWNS OR OPERATES ABOUT 500 PARK SITES

洛杉矶市拥有或运营约 500 个公园场地


16,000 ACRES OF PARKS AND 92 MILES
OF TRAILS ARE MANAGED BY THE CITY OF
LA RECREATION AND PARKS.

洛杉矶市娱乐与公园局管理着 16,000 英亩的公
园和 92 英里的步道。


Legend

-  City of LA Boundary | 洛杉矶市边界
-  City of LA Parks | 洛杉矶市公园
-  LA River | 洛杉矶河
-  LA County | 洛杉矶县
-  Major Road/Highway | 主要道路 / 高速公路
-  Minor Road | 次要道路

Source: City Boundary and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Roads: US Census Bureau, 2025., LA River: National Hydrography Database, 2025.



16,000+ ACRES



FACILITIES AND AMENITIES
设施与服务

- 123 RECREATION CENTERS
娱乐中心
- 59 POOLS
游泳池
- 29 SENIOR CENTERS
老年人活动中心
- 15 LICENSED CHILDCARE CENTERS
持牌托儿中心
- 411 PLAYGROUNDS
游乐场
- 39 UNIVERSALLY ACCESSIBLE PLAYGROUNDS
无障碍游乐场
- 130 OUTDOOR FITNESS ZONES
户外健身区
- 256 BALL FIELDS
球场
- 29 SKATE PARKS
滑板公园
- 319 TENNIS COURTS
网球场
- 13 GOLF COURSES
高尔夫球场
- 13 DOG PARKS
狗狗公园

NATURAL AREAS/EQUESTRIAN
自然区域 / 马术设施

- 92 MILES OF HIKING TRAILS
英里徒步步道
- 13 LAKES
湖泊
- 7 CAMPS
营地
- 3 EQUESTRIAN CENTERS
马术中心

CULTURAL/EDUCATION ASSETS
文化 / 教育资源

- GRIFFITH OBSERVATORY
格里菲斯天文台
- GREEK THEATRE
希腊剧院
- CABRILLO MARINE AQUARIUM
卡布里略海洋水族馆
- VENICE BEACH
威尼斯海滩
- 12 MUSEUMS
博物馆

FISCAL YEAR 23 - 24
2023-24 财年

Operating Budget
运营预算

\$348 MILLION

Workforce
工作人员

1,711 FT 全职
5,000+ PT 兼职

Number of Parks
公园总数

490

PROJECT PURPOSE

项目目标

Identify current and future **needs, challenges, and opportunities** for improvement across the City's parks and recreational facilities.

The PNA will guide future investment in park infrastructure and amenities that is reflective of the **diverse cultures and communities** of the City of Los Angeles.

识别全市公园和娱乐设施当前及未来的需求、挑战与改进机会。

PNA 将引导未来在公园基础设施和便利设施方面的投资，以体现洛杉矶市多元的文化与社区。



PROJECT PURPOSE

项目目标

The PNA is a system-wide assessment and evaluation of **RAP parks and facilities**, focusing on park needs up to and beyond 25 years into the future.

PNA 是对 RAP 公园和设施进行的全系统评估与审查，重点关注未来 25 年及更长时间内的公园需求。



**High-Level
Innovative Tools
and Criteria**

高层次的创新工具
与标准

**Local Geographies
and Lived Reality**

本地地理特征与
生活现实

HIGH-LEVEL INNOVATIVE TOOLS AND CRITERIA

高层次的创新工具与标准


SOCIAL EQUITY, CLIMATE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS ALONG PARK ACCESS AND PRESSURE INDICATORS WILL FACTOR INTO AN EVALUATION OF PARK NEED.

社会公平、气候和环境指标，以及与公园可达性和使用压力相关的指标，将构成评估公园需求的重要因素。

Legend

-  City of LA Boundary | 洛杉矶市边界
-  City of LA Parks | 洛杉矶市公园
-  City of LA Council Districts | 洛杉矶市议会选区
-  LA River | 洛杉矶河
-  LA County | 洛杉矶县
-  Major Road/Highway | 主要道路 / 高速公路
-  Minor Road | 次要道路

Source: City Boundary, Council Districts, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Roads: US Census Bureau, 2025., LA River: National Hydrography Database, 2025.



LA Park Needs Assessment

LOCAL GEOGRAPHIES AND LIVED REALITY

在地环境与日常生活



Echo Park. Image source: Jon Bilous / Shutterstock.com



South Park. Image source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks



MacArthur Park. Image source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks



Griffith Park. Image source: HannaTor / Shutterstock.com

ENGAGEMENT

社区参与


ENGAGEMENT

社区参与

Public engagement is foundational
to a **comprehensive**
Park Needs Assessment!

公众参与是全面公园需求评估的基石！





LA Park Needs Assessment

**BROAD
REACHING
ENGAGEMENT**

广泛的公众参与

**VIRTUAL
MEETINGS**

线上会议

**POP-
UPS**

快闪活动

**INTEREST GROUP
WORKSHOPS**

兴趣小组工作坊

WEBSITE

网站

**EQUITY FOCUSED
WORKSHOPS**

公平导向工作坊

**TRIBAL
OUTREACH**

部落推广

**COMMUNITY
PARTNER
PROGRAM**

社区合作伙伴计划

**STATISTICALLY
VALID
SURVEYS**

统计有效的调查

**PRESS
RELEASES**

新闻稿

**TRADITIONAL
MEETINGS**

传统会议

**SOCIAL
MEDIA**

社交媒体

**ENGAGEMENT
VIDEOS**

公众参与视频

**YOUTH
WORKSHOPS**

青年工作坊

**ANALOG
MAILERS**

纸质邮寄材料

**STEERING
COMMITTEE**

指导委员会

**CHILDREN'S
ACTIVITY**

儿童活动

**MULTI-
LINGUAL
TEAM**

多语言团队

**TECHNICAL
ADVISORY
COMMITTEE**

技术咨询委员会

**MEDIA
KITS**

媒体资料包

REELS

短视频



MEETLESS IS A MAJOR BARRIER TO VISITING MUSEUMS

Reason	Percentage
Facilities/parks are not well-maintained	37%
Lack of public transit	32%
Inadequate shade	29%
Unpleasant atmosphere	24%
Facilities are too crowded	19%
Program is not offered	19%
Hours are not convenient	19%
Facilities lack right equipment	18%
Are not open/usable at times	17%
Are not convenient	17%
Fees are too high	16%
Can make me feel unsafe	15%
I have negative things	12%
Inadequate lighting	12%
Ground is not comfortable	12%
Customer service by staff	11%
I have time or interest	11%
Programs are difficult	11%
Public transportation	9%
Getting injured	9%
of bicycle parking	9%
Feel like I belong	5%
Other	4%
Has disabilities	4%
Perpetrated	3%

DO NOT PREVENT YOU OR MEMBERS OF YOUR FAMILY

Diagram illustrating various engagement activities:

- PRESS RELEASES
- ANNUAL
- ENGAGEMENT VIDEOS
- STEERING COMMITTEE
- YOUTH WORKSHOPS
- SOCIAL MEDIA
- STATISTICALLY VALID SURVEYS
- EQUITY FOCUSED WORKSHOPS
- COMMUNITY PARTNER PROGRAM
- TRADITIONAL MEETINGS



**HELP SHAPE THE
FUTURE OF LOS
ANGELES CITY
PARKS!**

**¡AYUDE A DARLE FORMA AL
FUTURO DE LOS PARQUES DE LA
CIUDAD DE LOS ÁNGELES!**

A circular inset with a dark blue border. Inside, a stylized road sign is shown. The sign has a light tan background and a dark brown border. It features a red sun in the top left, the number '92' in large red digits in the center, and the word 'miles' in red at the bottom. Below the number, there is a red silhouette of a mountain range with several trees. A red hand is pointing at the sign from the right. The background of the inset is a light tan color with a dark brown border.

55,000+
Reel Views
短视频观看

LA Park Needs Assessment

ENGAGEMENT MEETINGS + POP UPS



Lakeview Terrace (Phase 2)

Image Source: OLIN



Granada Hills (Phase 2)

Image Source: Mark Hovator




CicLAVIA: Koreatown meets Hollywood

Image Source: The Robert Group




YMCA Healthy Day For Kids

Image Source: TRG




[Find a Park](#)
[Planning Process](#)
[Community Engagement](#)
[Resources](#)
[FAQ](#)



Did you know?


There are about 500 parks in Los Angeles

The City of Los Angeles is excited to begin work on updating its Park Needs Assessment for the first time since 2009. The Park Needs Assessment will be a roadmap to just and fair capital investment in parks and recreation and equitable connections to quality parks and recreation, to meet current and future needs of residents!



LA Park Needs Assessment 2025

[Copy link](#)



[Watch on YouTube](#)

Trail

Select

SUMMER 2025 SURVEY

Welcomel

Reactions

Equity

Nature & Green Space

Swimming

Fitness

About You

WELCOME

The City of Los Angeles Recreation and Parks Department would like your input to help determine park and recreation priorities for our community.

Your responses will be confidential. Only aggregated survey results will be shared.

This survey will take about 10 minutes to complete. We greatly appreciate your time!

When the survey closes, we will hold a raffle for Park Needs Assessment gear. If you would like to be part of the raffle, please provide your contact information at the end of the survey.

What is your ZIP Code?

e.g., 90012

UPCOMING ENGAGEMENT EVENTS

POP-UPS

August

TUES

5

6:00–8:30p

Sun Valley Recreation Center
8133 Vineland Ave, Sun Valley, CA 91352

More information here!

PREVIOUS COMMUNITY MEETINGS

Each meeting included a 20 minute presentation by the Project Team followed by an open house where attendees were invited to provide feedback or ask questions. There was a youth activity table as well as informational and interactive boards. Materials were available in English, Spanish, Mandarin, Korean, and Armenian. Community members were welcome to stop by any time within the 2 hour window!

July

TUE

1

6:00–7:30p

Virtual via Zoom

Watch the Recording Here!

June

SAT

28

10:00a–12:00p

Granada Hills Recreation Center (a.k.a. Petit Park)

PHASE 2 COMMUNITY MEETING MATERIALS

Community Meeting Recording

Watch the Phase 2 Community Meeting

Community Meeting Presentation

English & Spanish

Korean

Armenian

Mandarin

Community Meeting Boards

English & Spanish

Korean

Armenian

Mandarin

NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL REPORTS

City of LA Neighborhood Councils are an important part of this project because

WEBSITE RESOURCES

- **Phase 3 Community Meetings/
Events Information & Materials**
第三阶段社区会议 / 活动信息与资料
- **Draft PNA**
PNA 草案

LA Park Needs Assessment

EQUITY GROUP SESSIONS

CRITICAL SOCIAL SERVICES
关键社会服务

Image source: Jonathan McIntosh

PARKS IN PLACE
在地公园

ACCESS FOR ALL
全民可达

THE PUBLIC STAGE
公共舞台


DRAFT PNA WALKTHROUGH

PNA 草案讲解

LA Park Needs Assessment

DRAFT PNA | PNA 草案

SECTIONS AND CHAPTERS | 章节与内容



1. CONTEXT

背景

Chapter 1 | 第 1 章:
Executive Summary
执行摘要

Chapter 2 | 第 2 章:
Planning Context
规划背景

Chapter 3 | 第 3 章:
Engagement
公众参与



2. RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY

当下的娱乐与公园

Chapter 4 | 第 4 章:
History of the Park System
公园系统历史

Chapter 5 | 第 5 章:
RAP by the Numbers
数据中的 RAP

Chapter 6 | 第 6 章:
Current Budget and Finance
当前预算与财务




3. COMMUNITY NEEDS

社区需求

Chapter 7 | 第 7 章:
Benchmarking
对标评估

Chapter 8 | 第 8 章:
Site Prioritization
场地优先级

Chapter 9 | 第 9 章
Regional Snapshots
区域概览



4. GUIDELINES

指南

Chapter 10 | 第 10 章:
Site Planning
场地规划

Chapter 11 | 第 11 章:
Park Classifications
公园分类

Chapter 12 | 第 12 章:
Ongoing Engagement
持续参与

Chapter 13 | 第 13 章:
Level of Service Standards
服务水平标准



5. IMPLEMENTATION

执行

Chapter 14 | 第 14 章:
Costs and Funding
成本与资金

Chapter 15 | 第 15 章:
Action Plan
行动计划

The PNA is organized and designed to be a tool for understanding and advancing park equity and investment. Users can first locate their park or prospective park site of interest in the Universe of Sites table found in Chapter 15: Action Plan. From there, readers can refer back to earlier chapters to explore how that site scores in terms of prioritization, what classification it falls under, and which guidelines apply for its future planning, design, and development. Chapter 9: Regional Snapshots offers additional context for where the site sits within the City.

FIRST

Rank	T
22	1
1	1

Figure 21. Each park or park site is listed in the Table of Sites, which lets readers know how it is prioritized, its classification, and its region.
Source: OLIN, 2025.

RESULTS AND TAKEAWAYS

8% 42 SITES
NEW SITES

28% 148 PROJECTS
EXISTING SITES

33% 171 SITES
NEW AND EXISTING SITES

25% 125 SITES
NEW AND EXISTING SITES

133 SITES
NEW SITES

100 NEW
100 EXISTING
100 NEW AND EXISTING

100 NEW
100 EXISTING
100 NEW AND EXISTING

100 NEW
100 EXISTING
100 NEW AND EXISTING

100 NEW
100 EXISTING
100 NEW AND EXISTING

PRIORITIZATION BY REGION

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

PRIORITIZATION BY CLASSIFICATION

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

68% NEW
32% EXISTING

REVIEW OF REGION AND CLASSIFICATION

NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES

NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES

NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES

NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES
NEW AND EXISTING NEW SITES

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

The Prioritization chapter starts on page 153.

The Classification chapter starts on page 239.

The Guidelines chapter starts on page 217.

The Regional Snapshots chapter starts on page 189.

LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 1: CONTEXT | 第 1 部分：背景

CHAPTER 1:

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

第 1 章

执行摘要




CHAPTER 2:

PLANNING CONTEXT

第 2 章

规划背景



CHAPTER 3:

ENGAGEMENT

第 3 章

公众参与



LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 2: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | 第 2 部分：当下的娱乐与公园

CHAPTER 4:

HISTORY OF THE PARK SYSTEM

第 4 章

公园系统历史




Figure 68. Panoramic view of Griffith Park, 1900. Source: Los Angeles Public Library Photo Collection.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES RECREATION AND PARKS STORY

Land Stewardship (Pre-1781)
Los Angeles, known as "Tovangar" in the Tongva language, has been the home of indigenous people such as the Tongva, or Gabrieleño, Fernandoño Tataviam, and the Chumash for over 10,000 years.¹¹

city of Los Angeles and its surrounding areas, extending from the Santa Monica Mountains to the Channel Islands.¹² Present-day downtown Los Angeles was primarily inhabited by the Tongva and their settlements were both independent and interconnected. In the 18th century, Spanish settlers established missions throughout California to spread Catholicism and strengthen allegiance to Spain, and many Indigenous communities were enslaved at these missions.¹³

MANY PRESENT-DAY PARK SITES ARE RELATED TO HISTORIC VILLAGE SITES OR SACRED SITES OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES.

Indigenous knowledge and present day research reveals that many present-day park sites are related to historic village sites or sacred sites of Indigenous Peoples. Spanish baptismal records collected by the

The Early Years (1781-1885)
The City of Los Angeles was established by a group of settlers under Spanish colonial rule as a farming community in 1781.¹⁴ Under Anglo-American rule, which began in 1848, the City inherited two Spanish-style open plazas that structured public life: Plaza Park and Central Park (present-day Pershing Square).¹⁵ These plazas were organized with formal lawns and fruit trees with eventual additions such as fountains and walkways as the surrounding neighborhoods developed more residential and commercial uses.¹⁶ As the City's population grew, it gradually began to acquire parcels of land to meet the needs of the residents for park purposes such as Eastlake Park (present-day Lincoln Park) which was acquired in 1874.¹⁷

In the San Fernando Valley, many park sites have ties to historic locations of Fernandoño Tataviam sites, such as Sepulveda Basin, which is near the site of the historic village Suticanga. The name Suticanga means "the Place of the Oaks," and was established near a freshwater spring along the basin.¹⁸ Present-day Sepulveda Basin recreation areas were part of the fishing, hunting, and gathering grounds of the inhabitants of Suticanga.¹⁹ The living descendants of the many Indigenous communities of Los Angeles continue to engage with the land through contemporary spiritual practices and climate activism.²⁰




Figure 71. Map of the old portion of the city surrounding the plaza, Los Angeles City, March 1891. 1891 by Baxter, A. G. Source: Library of Congress, Geography and Map Division.




Figure 70. Bird's-Eye View of Central Park (Pershing Square), Los Angeles, 1880s. 1880s. Source: Los Angeles Times Photographic Collection. UCLA Library Original Collection.

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 87

CHAPTER 5:

RAP BY THE NUMBERS

第 5 章

数据中的 RAP

PARK AMENITIES
Across the park system, there are thousands of park amenities, including active and passive areas, recreation facilities, habitat or natural areas, trees, and iconic structures like the Griffith Observatory or the Greek Theatre. The system is so vast it can be difficult to encapsulate the extent of features.

During 2024, RAP completed an assessment of about 34 types of recreational amenities at 355 sites. RAP completes this assessment annually.

These amenities are rated as good, fair, or poor and help provide a detailed understanding of a facility's current condition. These annual condition scores help inform RAP's decision-making processes regarding maintenance, repairs, and future investments.




Figure 69. Source: players at Pier Pacific Park in West LA. Source: UCLA.

RAP BY THE NUMBERS

16,000+ ACRES OF PARKLAND

489 PARKS

92 MILES OF TRAILS

1,711 FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES & 5,000 PART-TIME EMPLOYEES

32 Skate Parks	5 Golf Courses	300 Diamond Fields	26 Amphitheaters	8 Community Gardens	617 Parking Lots
165 Recreation & Senior Centers	374 Outdoor Fitness Areas	446 Basketball Hoops	223 Picnic Shelters	11 Concession Stands	51.5 Pickleball Courts
447 Playgrounds	370.5 Tennis Courts	115 Fitness Zones	1 Bandshell	44 Equestrian Rings	3 Roller Hockey Rinks
59 Swimming Pools	13 Splash Pools	1,504 Bathrooms	89 Volleyball Courts	2 Disc Golf Courses	1 Nature Centers
12 Museums	14 Dog Parks	94 Gymnasiums	235 Multipurpose Fields	101 Rectangular Fields	3 Childcare Buildings

SECTION 5: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | CHAPTER 5: RAP BY THE NUMBERS

DRAFT

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 87

CHAPTER 6:

CURRENT BUDGET AND FINANCE

第 6 章

当前预算与财务

STAFFING SNAPSHOT

RAP has not recovered from staffing cuts during the Great Recession. Between FY 2008 when RAP full-time staffing peaked and FY 2015 when it hit an all-time low, 750 full-time positions were eliminated from the department. Since FY 2015, only 193 positions have been restored as of FY 2025, meaning the majority of these positions were eliminated and never restored.¹⁴

Among all City departments, RAP is the largest employer of part-time employees. As full-time employment has decreased, RAP has increasingly relied on part-time staff to take on greater responsibilities. RAP's part-time staff budget has remained nearly constant over the past 15 years. Over the same period, the part-time personnel costs have increased per-employee. For context, in 2009, the minimum wage in California was \$8 per hour.¹⁵ In 2015, the City of Los Angeles adopted a \$15 per hour minimum wage.¹⁶ As of 2025, the minimum wage in the City of Los Angeles is \$17.87 per hour. Hourly minimum wages effectively doubled between 2009 and 2015 although the RAP budget for part-time personnel has remained relatively constant. As a result, RAP has fewer hours of part-time work annually.




Figure 72. RAP's budget has grown steadily, but full-time staffing remains below pre-recession levels, revealing a persistent gap between funding and staffing. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

IMPACT OF STAFFING AND BUDGET CUTS ON OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE

Interviews with RAP staff revealed the following challenges resulting from budget constraints:

- **RAP's operating resources are declining despite responsibilities growing.** RAP staff are being asked to do more with less, leading to staff burnout, deferred maintenance, and growing waitlists for programs. For example, recreation centers used to have staff on Sundays, but now do not as a result of a strained budget. Anecdotally, RAP staff discussed a decline in maintenance quality due to lower staffing and less frequent visits to service parks. Staff also shared that RAP switched from a system of dedicated gardener caretakers for each park to a system where staff visit parks on rotation within a district.
- **RAP faces recruitment and retention difficulties due to limited resources and lower wages compared to other departments.** RAP provides pathways into City services with part-time positions and established onboarding and training practices. Agencies like the Department of Water and Power and the Port of Los Angeles offer higher salaries and more overtime opportunities, making it difficult to retain staff after RAP trains skilled positions.
- **Deferred maintenance is increasing, resulting in greater long-term costs.** With a focus on essential tasks like litter removal, restroom cleaning, and landscaping, other necessary upkeep is often delayed, leading to more expensive repairs and increased City liability over time.
- **RAP is responsible for providing shelters during emergencies, creating additional and unpredictable workload for staff.** As extreme events increase in intensity and frequency, this will be a growing role for RAP within the city.
- **Over the long term, during economic downturns, RAP staff positions are eliminated more quickly and in larger numbers than they are recovered.** Vacant full-time positions continue to be eliminated in budgets year-over-year. Between FY 2024 and FY 2025, 207 vacant full-time positions were eliminated or discontinued, further straining RAP's operating needs.




Figure 73. RAP has less than half the authorized positions today as it did before the Great Recession.¹⁷ Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

SECTION 6: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | CHAPTER 6: CURRENT BUDGET AND FINANCE

DRAFT

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 87

EXAMPLE SPREADS! 页面示例!

LA Park Needs Assessment


SECTION 3: COMMUNITY NEEDS | 第 3 部分：社区需求

CHAPTER 7:

BENCHMARKING

第 7 章

对标评估




CHAPTER 8:

SITE PRIORITIZATION

第 8 章

场地优先级




CHAPTER 9:

REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS

第 9 章

区域概览



LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 4: GUIDELINES | 第 4 部分：指南

CHAPTER 10:

SITE PLANNING

第 10 章

场地规划





Figure 101. Loop trail at Sycamore Canyon Park supports recreation, circulation, and wellness.

Figure 102. Internal walking trail at Delta Park allows users to explore the park.

CONNECTIVITY

STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY

Maintain physical and visual connections to the street grid.

Parks and recreation facilities should be thoughtfully integrated into the fabric of surrounding neighborhoods. They should be sited to minimize disruptions to the street grid, which is integral to a functional transportation network. Where streets do not continue through a park or recreation facility site, efforts should be made to maintain physical and visual connections, such as gateways, sight lines, or walking paths through the site in line with those streets.

SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE

Ensure seamless connections between parks and recreation facilities and other adjacent public spaces.

Residents do not typically perceive differences in ownership, but they do perceive differences in experiences and missing connections. Barriers and breaks in access limit attractiveness and visibility. Regional ecosystems also do not observe jurisdictional boundaries and must be thought of as integrated, functional systems.

TRAIL MODE SEPARATION

Separate trails into paths for horses, cyclists, and pedestrians.

On larger sites, where space allows, separating trails for horses, cyclists, and pedestrians can enhance safety and reduce conflicts among users moving at different speeds. This separation also reinforces the sense that trail users are within a park or recreation environment. Differentiated trail materials can further support this approach; for example, a walking path might be surfaced with stone fines, while an adjacent bike trail could be paved with asphalt and an equestrian trail might use packed dirt or stone suited to horse hooves.

LOOP TRAIL

Provide a loop trail for people to explore, circulate, and recreate.

Loop trails provide opportunities for people to explore parts of a park or recreation facility site that they may not otherwise be aware of with confidence, knowing that they will end up where they started. When they are a specifically measured length, loop trails allow users to easily walk, jog, bike, or skate to a number of steps or miles they may be targeting for exercise and wellness.

INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL

Connect features in parks to each other using circulation.

Walking trails in parks allow users to explore the various features of a park and connect to adjacent uses. They also help keep users off of any sensitive native vegetation or planted areas. Walking trails can offer different difficulty levels to accommodate a variety of age groups, exercise goals, and unique park experiences.

TRAIL CONNECTION

Maintain connections to the paved trail network.

Trail users are park and recreation facility users. Parks and recreation facilities can serve as trailheads, trail destinations, or locations to stop and rest along a trail. Parks and recreation facilities may also host critical trail links, leading to a more connected system.

SAFE ROUTES/PASSAGES

Create safe routes to parks and recreation facilities.

Critical to equitable access and connectivity is ensuring people have safe ways to get to parks and recreation facilities from home, schools, libraries, transit stops, and other destinations within their neighborhoods. Walk-It, well-paved sidewalks and trails, partnerships with community organizations and public agencies, and opportunities to overcome physical and perceived barriers should be prioritized.

CHAPTER 12:

ONGOING ENGAGEMENT

第 12 章

持续参与




Figure 103. Community members at an engagement meeting in Granada Hills share ideas to help shape inclusive and equitable park planning.

Figure 104. Engagement event at Jackie Taylor Howard Recreation Center includes community voices on park priorities.

ENGAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Community engagement is vital to an equitable, inclusive, and sustainable park system. An equity-driven, community-led approach will not just result in engagement findings that are more reflective of Los Angeles's diverse population, it will also lead to projects that offer multiple benefits, including:

- Inclusive and accessible public spaces:** Engaging a broad range of community members—particularly those not traditionally included in park planning processes—allows for a diversity of expertise about park uses, safety, desired amenities, and many other elements. This on-the-ground knowledge from residents alongside input from less-served community groups can lead to parks that better serve local communities and all Angelenos alike, resulting in better system-wide alignment with community needs.
- A sense of communal ownership:** People who participate in planning and designing their park are more likely to develop a sense of healthy ownership and pride, cultivating long-lasting stewardship relationships with their local public spaces. This connection can help improve park safety, maintenance, use, and sustainability, and leads to greater trust and transparency.
- Leadership identification and cultivation:** Park planning and design processes offer a platform to cultivate community leaders. The result is an active group of residents with stronger ties to the site, facility, and staff which aid in fostering an overall sense of trust.
- Equity in access and outcomes:** Historically, park planning across Los Angeles has not always been equitable. Engagement, particularly in marginalized communities, needs to be a core element of planning processes from the beginning, with the aim to reduce disparities in access to quality green space and provide equitable distribution of resources.

From design to operations, meaningful community engagement for park projects should aim to create dynamic and inclusive processes where every Angeleno feels welcomed and heard. Engagement at every scale should prioritize communities that have historically been underserved by public

WHEN AND HOW TO USE THE ENGAGEMENT GUIDELINES

The following engagement guidelines are recommended for use when there is a significant capital improvement project (at a site or system-wide scale) and in the long-term stewardship, operations, and programming of individual RAP sites. The overview below is followed by a more detailed description of how the engagement guidelines can be used for specific projects and in day-to-day operations. These guidelines are a starting point, and each engagement process should be considered and adapted to its community history and context accordingly.

ACQUISITION

Community engagement during the acquisition phase of a park project should keep residents adequately informed about the acquisition process, and guided by community input. This includes information on the location of the new facility, its classification (e.g., neighborhood park or neighborhood nature park), potential amenities, accessibility measures, and plans to thoughtfully integrate it into the existing community.

VISION PLANNING

Community-driven vision planning encourages and empowers residents to take an active role in shaping their environment and city. Whether planning for a new park or reimagining an existing one, engagement at this phase should involve multiple sessions for community members and key stakeholders to develop a robust and inclusive vision for a new project with RAP. The community's vision will set the course for a park that meets the needs and cultural contexts of its community. At this stage, RAP can begin building a base of community members to champion the new park site.

Continued on Next Page

CHAPTER 11:

PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

第 11 章

公园分类




Figure 101. Pattern St Pocket Park. Source: Lauren Esch, 2023.

MINI PARK

Mini parks are very small spaces, typically less than one acre in size, designed to provide walkable access to greenery and seating within dense neighborhoods. These parks often maximize their utility with features like benches and trees. Due to their limited size, mini parks tend to be more passive and simpler in their designs, offering quick places of respite.

TYPICAL SIZE (ACRES)

<1

TYPICAL LENGTH OF VISIT (HOURS)

0.25-1

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

- Seating
- Shade
- Identity Features
- Low Impact Development BMPs

INTENSIVE USE

- Outdoor Event Space
- Storage/Storage Area
- Restroom
- Dog Park

PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

- Park Shelter
- Seating

RECREATION

- Fitness / Exercise Facility
- Creative Play Attraction
- Playground
- Rectangular Field
- Diamond Field
- Basketball Court
- Tennis / Pickleball Court
- Volleyball Court
- Water Play
- Pool

CASUAL USE

- Individual Picnic Area
- Casual Use Space
- Internal Walking Trail
- Shade Structure

NATURAL

- Stemmer Management
- Natural Space
- Community Garden
- Urban Landscape Features

INFRASTRUCTURE

- Bike Rack
- Tram Stop
- Street Parking
- On-Street Parking
- Safe Pedestrian Access
- Support Facility
- Waste Management Facility
- Trash Dropoff
- Regional Water Partnerships

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(+1,000 SF)

- Memorial Plaque
- Sculpture (various)
- Interpretive Display (various)
- Change Counter
- Restroom Pavilion
- Shade Structure
- Hand
- Public Management
- Benches
- Public Shelter

MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)

- Greenhouse
- Concession Stand
- Visitor Info. Center
- Art Gallery
- Nature Center
- Amphitheater (various)
- Maintenance Facility
- Clothing Center

LARGE ELEMENTS

(+6,000 SF)

- Whitfield Center
- Museum
- Maintenance Facility
- Clothing Center
- Exposition Center
- Senior Center
- Recreation Center

CHAPTER 13:

LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

第 13 章

服务水平标准

COMMUNITY GARDEN PLOTS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)	Number of Community Garden Plots
0.0 per 1,000 Current	8 in 2025
0.0 per 1,000 Recommended	9 by 2050

Peer Median: Washington, DC (0.2), San Francisco, CA (0.1), San Diego, CA (0.0), Dallas, TX (0.0), New York, NY (0.0), Los Angeles, CA (0.0), Peer Median (0.0)

Priority Investment Rating: 127

5-Year Change in Participation: N/A

Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

Supports Raising LOS Standard

DIAMOND FIELDS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)	Number of Diamond Fields
0.8 per 10,000 Current	300 in 2025
1.0 per 10,000 Recommended	432 by 2050

Peer Median: Chicago, IL (2.6), Washington, DC (1.8), Dallas, TX (1.0), New York, NY (0.8), San Francisco, CA (0.5), San Diego, CA (0.5)

Priority Investment Rating: 59

5-Year Change in Participation: 5.9

Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

Supports Raising LOS Standard

EXAMPLE SPREADS!

页面示例!

CHAPTER 14:

COSTS AND FUNDING

第 14 章

成本与资金




Figure 100: Restroom facilities at the Inwood Day Recreation Area. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

TYPES OF COST, ASSUMPTIONS, AND APPROACH

CAPITAL ESTIMATES

In the overall cost matrix, standardized costs are applied to the actual conditions of park amenities at each park site, as assessed by RAP. To arrive at project costs citywide to the year 2050. For amenities or elements that do not have a specific assessment, such as individual benches, the cost matrix includes estimates for current and future needs over the next 25 years. For new facilities, the proposed counts are based on the proposed level-of-service (LOS) standards for RAP. (See Chapter 13: Level of Service Standards.) For example, if RAP wants to increase its LOS for basketball courts to match peer cities, the number of new courts needed to meet the new LOS standard is added to the new courts column. This is also true for the total acres of new parks desired. In the case of new parks, new amenity costs were applied to each of the 36 prospective park sites identified using the "Per Square Mile" tool (See Chapter 8: Site Prioritization).

PERSONNEL ESTIMATES

Future staffing needs and associated personnel costs are based on an average of three estimation methods.

- Increasing capacity and level of service by 1.5 times (150%) to meet anticipated demand and elevate overall service delivery.
- Returning staffing to RAP's prior, known peak in FY2008 (139% for FTEs, 258% for PTEs). RAP has not returned to pre-recession staffing levels. Restoring full-time employee counts to the FY2008 peak would require a 139% increase. Restoring part-time employee counts would require a 258% increase.
- Increasing staff per acre to align with peer systems (200%). Based on the average staff per acre against peer park systems, RAP would need to increase staff capacity by 200% to meet the service level of peers.

EXPENSE ESTIMATES

Expenses are based on an applied salary-to-expense ratio of 20%, based on the historical average from RAP's FY2015–FY2025 budgets.

Based on this initial approach, RAP would need to increase its operating budget by 75% to increase staff capacity to a level more consistent with prior service levels, desired service quality, and peers.

RAP COULD RESTORE STAFFING LEVELS, A HIGHER LEVEL OF SERVICE AND ALIGN CAPACITY TO PEERS BY INCREASING ITS OPERATING BUDGET BY 75%.

Estimated Budgets	Full-Time Salaries	Part-Time Salaries	Misc. Salaries	Total Salary Budget	Expenses	Total Operating Costs	% Change from FY25
Existing FY2025	\$126M	\$54M	\$4M	\$184M	\$39M	\$223M	0%
1. Staffing Consistent to Prior Staffing Levels	\$193M	\$82M	\$5M	\$275M	\$58M	\$333M	50%
2. Increase Capacity to Provide A Higher Level of Service	\$176M	\$143M	\$7M	\$323M	\$68M	\$391M	75%
3. Increase Capacity to Align to Peers	\$253M	\$109M	\$7M	\$368M	\$78M	\$446M	100%
Average	\$206M	\$110M	\$6M	\$322M	\$68M	\$390M	75%

Figure 100: Personnel and Expense Estimates. All costs are to 2025 dollars based on 2025 staff salaries and expenses. These estimated operating costs do not include City General Fund requirements, land acquisition, or utilities. Source: 100% Analysis of data provided by RAP and Trust for Public Land City Park Facts. Downloading user interface on individual City Budgets from FY2025.

460 SECTION V: IMPLEMENTATION | CHAPTER 14: COSTS AND FUNDING

DRAFT

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 461

CHAPTER 15:


ACTION PLAN

第 15 章

行动计划


SEEK TO ADDRESS RESIDENTS' TOP CITYWIDE PRIORITIES FOR AMENITIES AND PROGRAMS

SEE CHAPTER 3: ENGAGEMENT



ACCOUNT FOR DIFFERENT PRIORITIES IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY

SEE CHAPTER 9: REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS




USE THE SITE PLANNING GUIDELINES TO EVALUATE AND IMPROVE FORM AND FUNCTION

SEE CHAPTER 10: SITE PLANNING

CONSIDER SITE-SPECIFIC NEEDS IDENTIFIED BY THE PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA

See Addressing Site-Specific Needs at the end of Section IV.



CONSIDER CITYWIDE NEEDS BASED ON LEVEL OF SERVICE

SEE CHAPTER 13: LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

300

in 2025

432

by 2050

89


in 2025

70

by 2050


CONSULT THE CLASSIFICATIONS TO IDENTIFY TYPICAL AMENITIES AND APPLICABLE GUIDELINES

SEE CHAPTER 11: PARK CLASSIFICATIONS



CONTINUE TO MEANINGFULLY ENGAGE WITH RESIDENTS

SEE CHAPTER 12: ONGOING ENGAGEMENT



SECURE SUSTAINABLE FUNDING FOR RECREATION AND PARKS


SEE CHAPTER 14: COST AND FUNDING

RAP-LED FUNDING STRATEGIES

- Increase earned-revenue generation.
- Expand partnerships with non-profits and build a conservancy model.
- Leverage State and Federal funding sources.


FUNDING STRATEGIES REQUIRING PARTNERSHIP

- Increase the Charter mandated allocation of property tax revenue to RAP.
- Evaluate property tax assessments.
- Evaluate sales taxes.
- Evaluate City bond options: general obligation and revenue bonds.



EXAMPLE SPREADS!

页面示例!



LA Park Needs Assessment

HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK!

如何使用 PNA —— 找到你的公园！


Start here to find your park or park site in the Universe of Sites table!

从这里开始，在“场地总表”中找到你的公园或公园用地！

FIRST PRIORITY					
Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score
22	105th Street Pocket Park	0.11	Mini Park	South	
1	11th Avenue Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	
5	97th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
11	Arts District Park	0.51	Mini Park	Cen/East	
25	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	1.53	Neighborhood Park	Valley	
12	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	6.22	Greenway	Valley	
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	2.02	Community School Park	Cen/East	
13	Little Green Acres Park	0.23	Mini Park	South	
7	PerSquare Mile - Downtown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
14	PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
17	PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
15	PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
23	PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
24	PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
6	PerSquare Mile - University Park North	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
18	PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
8	PerSquare Mile - Westlake	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
9	PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
21	Rolland Curtis Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
2	Saint James Park	0.90	Mini Park	Cen/East	
3	San Julian Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	12.52	Community Park	Cen/East	
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	
10	Valencia Triangle	0.06	Mini Park	Cen/East	
19	Vermont Miracle Park	0.22	Mini Park	South	
SECOND PRIORITY					
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	

LEARN HOW YOUR PARK WAS PRIORITIZED: CHAPTER 8


了解你的公园如何被排序：第 8 章



LA Park Needs Assessment


HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK!

如何使用 PNA —— 找到你的公园！



LEARN HOW YOUR PARK WAS CLASSIFIED: CHAPTER 10

了解你的公园是如何分类的：第 10 章



LA Park Needs Assessment

HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK!

如何使用 PNA —— 找到你的公园！

Start here to find your park or park site in the Universe of Sites table!

从这里开始，在“场地总表”中找到你的公园或公园用地！

FIRST PRIORITY					
Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score
22	105th Street Pocket Park	0.11	Mini Park	South	
1	11th Avenue Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	
5	97th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
11	Arts District Park	0.51	Mini Park	Cen/East	
25	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	1.53	Neighborhood Park	Valley	
12	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	6.22	Greenway	Valley	
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	2.02	Community School Park	Cen/East	
13	Little Green Acres Park	0.23	Mini Park	South	
7	PerSquare Mile - Downtown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
14	PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
17	PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
15	PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
23	PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
24	PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
6	PerSquare Mile - University Park North	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
18	PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
8	PerSquare Mile - Westlake	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
9	PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
21	Rolland Curtis Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
2	Saint James Park	0.90	Mini Park	Cen/East	
3	San Julian Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	12.52	Community Park	Cen/East	
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	
10	Valencia Triangle	0.06	Mini Park	Cen/East	
19	Vermont Miracle Park	0.22	Mini Park	South	

SECOND PRIORITY					
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	

LEARN WHAT GUIDELINES APPLY: CHAPTER 11

了解适用的指南：第 11 章

INFRASTRUCTURE

WATER CONSERVATION

Promote water conservation through appropriate low water use features in the design of landscaping and park amenities.

Follow the local water efficiency ordinance and consider additional ways to conserve water at park facilities. Considerations such as implementing drought tolerant and native plantings and water-efficient irrigation designs will help reduce local water use. Track requirements of Assembly Bill 1572 to remove non-functional turf at park facilities.

REGIONAL WATER PARTNERSHIPS

Identify regional opportunities at park facilities through partnerships to contribute to local sustainable water supplies, mitigate flood risk, and improve water quality.

Capturing stormwater and dry weather runoff at park facilities may support multiple benefits including increasing local water supply, improving water quality in waterways, and mitigating flood risk.

As large open space areas in an urban landscape, parks offer opportunities to divert and capture stormwater and urban runoff through the implementation of infiltration facilities to recharge groundwater, capture and use facilities for a local source of water supply, and diversion to downstream regional water recycling systems. Local flooding may also be mitigated through diverting stormwater flows to park facilities. Additional funding may be available to implement stormwater capture systems at a regional scale through partnerships with other City agencies and the County.

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Plan for effective stormwater drainage, Low Impact Development (LID) Best Management Practices (BMPs), and flood risk early in park site design.

Follow local stormwater and flood control requirements for effective on-site stormwater controls. Incorporating LID BMPs is required when 500 square feet or more of impervious surfaces within parks such as sidewalks, parking lots, and buildings are added or replaced. Additional flood mitigation controls may be required in certain locations.

Drainage, water quality, and flood management should be discussed early in the design process to improve local drainage and downstream water quality, as well as ease of access and maintenance. Considerations could include the footprint of required LID BMPs with overall park design, cost effective drainage design, and peak flood flow management features.

BIKE PARKING

Provide adequate places for users to secure their bikes.

Bike parking should be in visible and convenient places in parks and near recreation facilities. In order to make bike racks accessible, they should be installed within at least 50 feet of a facility's entrance. This ensures accessibility, safety, and security while reducing the potential for bikes getting locked to trees, signposts, handrails, fences, and other non-rack structures.

TRANSIT STOP

Connect parks and recreation facilities to transit.

As with trails, transit users are park and recreation facility users and vice versa. Particular types of parks, such as plazas, may relate directly to a bus stop or to a rideshare drop-off, providing a sense of place and spaces to welcome and send off visitors.

SHARED PARKING

Pursue shared parking strategies to eliminate or reduce on-site surface parking.

Particularly in higher density areas, where space is at a premium and where parks and recreation facilities abut each other or other public facilities, on-site surface parking is difficult to justify. In addition to on-street parking, shared parking may be a better option than losing valuable on-site area to parking.

ON-SITE PARKING

When needed, integrate on-site parking with park and recreation facility site design.

On larger sites, like regional and community parks, on-site parking should be thoughtfully integrated with the site and natural elements. Green infrastructure elements and canopy trees should be included to help reduce the impact of parking on stormwater and urban heat island effects.

ACCESSIBLE VAN PARKING AND DROP-OFF

Provide adequate spaces for accessible parking and drop-off.

Parks should have designated areas for van parking and drop-off in accordance with ADA guidelines as well as accessible paths to park facilities from these areas. This ensures all users have safe and equitable access to all park amenities.

SAFE PEDESTRIAN ACCESS

Provide adequate sidewalks and safe pedestrian crossings.

While street frontage can encourage usage and increase safety, too much vehicular traffic can deter pedestrians. Sidewalks and marked, safe crossings, whether at intersections or mid-block, encourage access and allow pedestrians to feel comfortable that they are protected.

SUPPORT FACILITIES

Provide facilities that support the use of parks and recreation facilities.

In order for parks and recreation facilities to function optimally, it is critical to include facilities, such as restrooms, water fountains, electricity, and Wi-Fi to support their use. These facilities should be open and maintained more consistently. Appropriate support facilities may vary by park or facility type.

MAINTENANCE FACILITIES

Consider areas for facilities that support maintenance needs.

On larger sites, like regional parks, it may be beneficial to store necessary equipment to make maintaining and caring for a park easier. These maintenance facilities may also serve as satellite storage areas to optimize maintenance of other nearby parks.

282 CHAPTER 3 ENGAGEMENT

DRAFT



DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 283

Find best practices for **site planning, amenities, and level of service standards** for different park classifications.

了解适用于不同公园分类的最佳实践，包括场地规划、配套设施和服务水平标准。

如何使用 PNA —— 找到你的公园!



Rank	Facility Name	Score	Facility Type	Location	Visual Representation
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	[Bar]
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	[Bar]
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	[Bar]
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	[Bar]
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	[Bar]
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	[Bar]
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	[Bar]
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	[Bar]
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	[Bar]
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	[Bar]
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	[Bar]
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	[Bar]
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	[Bar]
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	[Bar]
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	[Bar]
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	[Bar]
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	[Bar]

探索社区需求与挑战：第 9 章



探索并理解城市各区域特有的社区需求与挑战。

GUIDELINES AND CLASSIFICATIONS

指南与分类

CLASSIFICATIONS VS. LEVEL OF SERVICE | 分类 VS. 服务水平

LEVEL OF SERVICE
服务水平

Population-based standards | 人口基准标准

How many amenities per 1,000 people?

每 1,000 人需要多少便利设施？

CLASSIFICATIONS
CLASIFICACIONES

Size | 规模
What is the range in acreage/sq ft?
面积范围是多少（英亩/平方英尺）？

Visit length | 停留时间
How long should someone stay?
人们通常会停留多久？


Parking | 停车
Is there parking? If so, on site...on street?
是否有停车位？如果有，是场内还是路边？

Type of amenities | 设施类型
What should it/should it not have?
应当具备/不应当具备哪些设施？


Design | 设计
What design principles apply?
适用哪些设计原则？

Developed/Natural | 开发/自然
How much land for each?
开发用地和自然用地各占多少？

BOTH HELP TO SET **MUTUAL EXPECTATIONS**
二者都有助于建立共同预期



**NEIGHBORHOOD
PARKS**
邻里公园



**COMMUNITY
PARKS**
社区公园



**REGIONAL
PARKS**
地区公园

TYPICAL SIZE
典型规模

1 - 5 acres

15 - 20 acres

50+ acres

EXAMPLES
示例

**Westside
Neighborhood
Park**

**Sycamore
Grove Park**


Griffith Park

LA Park Needs Assessment


EXISTING CLASSIFICATIONS | 现有分类

PREVIOUSLY ALL
**NEIGHBORHOOD
PARKS**

过去全部归为社区公园



105th St Pocket Park
Mini Park
0.11 acres




**Mary McLeod Bethune
Middle School (CSP)**
Community School Park
8.2 acres




Bee Canyon Park
Canyon Park
22 acres

PREVIOUSLY ALL
REGIONAL PARKS

过去全部归为地区公园



Little Landers Park
Historic Landmark Site
1.1 acres



Stoney Point Park
Community Nature Park
29 acres



Venice Beach
Beach
161 acres

LA Park Needs Assessment

PROPOSED CLASSIFICATIONS | 拟议分类

CHARACTERISTICS | 特征

NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS 邻里公园		COMMUNITY PARKS 社区公园		REGIONAL PARKS 地区公园	
<div>MINI PARK 迷你公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: < 1 ACRE TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 0.25 - 1 HR</div>	<div>SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARK 小型邻里公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 1-3 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 0.5 - 1.5 HRS</div>	<div>COMMUNITY PARK 社区公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 10 - 20 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 2 HRS</div>	<div>LARGE COMMUNITY PARK 大型社区公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 20 - 40 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 2 - 3 HRS</div>	<div>REGIONAL PARK 地区公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 40+ ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 4 HRS</div>	<div>REGIONAL NATURE PARK 地区自然公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 40+ ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 4 HRS</div>
<div>NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK 邻里自然公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: < 10 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 0.25 - 2 HRS</div>	<div>LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK 大型邻里公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 3 - 10 ACRE TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 2 HRS</div>	<div>COMMUNITY NATURE PARK 社区自然公园</div> <div>TYP. SIZE: 10 - 40 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 3 HRS</div>			

OTHER PARKS

其他公园

HISTORIC
LANDMARK SITE
历史地标

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 3 HRS

LINEAR PARKS/GREENWAYS

线性公园/绿道

GREENWAY
绿道

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
0.5 - 1 HRS

LINEAR PARK
线性公园

TYP. SIZE: < 20 ACRES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 2 HRS

CANYON PARK
峡谷公园

TYP. SIZE: 20+ ACRES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 4 HRS

SCHOOL-RELATED SITES

学校相关场地

COMMUNITY
SCHOOL PARK
社区学校公园

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
0.5 - 1 HRS

SCHOOL POOL
学校泳池

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 2 HRS

OTHER FACILITIES

其他设施

BEACH
沙滩

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 5 HRS

MOUNTAIN CAMP
山地营地

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
VARIES

SINGLE-PURPOSE
SITE
单一用途场地

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
VARIES

GOLF
高尔夫球场

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
VARIES



LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS | 服务水平标准



BASKETBALL HOOPS

Population-Based Standard



The current number of amenities and the number to be added or reduced/removed by 2050.

当前的设施数量，以及到 2050 年需要新增或减少/移除的数量。

The data that was used to build the recommended LOS. These data points are the peer median level of service, priority investment rating, and 5-year national participation change.


用于制定建议服务水平（LOS）的数据点包括：同行中位数的服务水平、优先投资评级，以及过去 5 年全国参与度的变化。

Whether the recommended level of service (LOS) is higher or lower than the current level of service.

建议的服务水平（LOS）是否高于或低于当前的服务水平。

How the above data points inform the recommended LOS. For example, if the peer median LOS is greater than Los Angeles, it suggests raising the LOS.

上述数据如何影响建议的服务水平。例如，如果同行中位数的服务水平高于洛杉矶，则建议提高 LOS。



BUDGET DATA AND COST ESTIMATES

预算数据与成本估算

THE PNA IS CONSIDERING HOW VARIOUS COSTS TIE TO THE OVERALL ECONOMICS OF LA PARKS.
PNA 正在考虑如何将各种成本与洛杉矶公园的整体经济联系起来。



**EVALUATE BUDGET
AND STAFFING DATA**

评估预算和人员配置数据



**BENCHMARK LA RAP
SYSTEM BUDGET AND
STAFFING AGAINST
PEER CITIES**

将 RAP 系统的预算和人员配置与其他类似城市进行对比



**IDENTIFY EXISTING
FUNDING GAPS**

识别现有的资金不足



**USE COST ESTIMATES
TO SIZE CAPITAL
AND OPERATIONS
& MAINTENANCE
FUNDING NEEDS**

利用成本估算来确定资本和运营及维护的资金需求



**IDENTIFY POTENTIAL
FUNDING SOURCES**

**SUPPORT RAP ON
POTENTIAL FUNDING
MECHANISM
STRATEGIES**

识别潜在资金来源
支持 RAP 的潜在资金机制策略


OVERALL APPROACH | 总体方法

THE PNA WILL CREATE A BUDGET ESTIMATE FOR SYSTEM-WIDE IMPROVEMENTS

PNA 将为全系统的改进制定预算估算

COSTS FOR RENOVATION OF EXISTING AMENITIES

翻新现有设施的费用



COSTS FOR OPERATIONS, EXPENSES, AND PERSONNEL

运营、开支和人员的费用

COSTS FOR TYPICAL PARK AMENITIES

常见公园设施的成本

COSTS FOR PURCHASE OF NEW FACILITIES/PARK ACRES

购买新设施/公园用地的费用

CAPITAL INVESTMENTS
资本投资

O&M
运营与维护



MINOR
REFRESH
小规模更新

\$

An amenity is in **fair condition** and is functional but **needs minor or moderate repairs.**

设施状况尚可，可以使用，但需要小型或中等程度的修缮。



MAJOR
REFRESH
大规模更新

\$\$

An amenity is in **poor condition** and is largely unusable and **requires major repairs** to be functional.

设施状况较差，基本无法使用，需要重大修复才能恢复功能。



NEW BUILD
新建设施

\$\$\$

A facility is **identified as a need** in the overall system and is considered **a new build.**

某项设施在整体系统中被认定为必要，因此被视为新建设施。

	MINOR REFRESH 小型翻新	MAJOR REFRESH 大型翻新	NEW BUILD 新建
PARK FACILITIES 公园设施	\$	\$\$	\$\$\$
ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS 建筑元素	\$	\$\$	\$\$\$
INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS 基础设施元素		\$\$	\$\$\$
NEW PARK FACILITIES & ACQUISITION 新公园设施与收购			\$\$\$

OPERATING COSTS | 运营成本

THREE-PRONG APPROACH | 三个方面的做法

PERSONNEL | 人员

To estimate future staffing needs and associated personnel costs, the Consultant Team used three methods and averaged among them.

为了估算未来的人力需求和相关的人事成本，咨询团队采用了三种方法并取其平均值。

1. Increase capacity and level of service by 1.5 times (150%) to meet anticipated demand and elevate overall service delivery.

1. 将服务能力和服务水平提高 1.5 倍（150%）以满足预期需求并提升整体服务质量。

2. Return staffing to RAP’s prior, known peak in FY2008 (139% for FTEs, 258% for PTEs). RAP has not returned to pre-recession staffing levels. Restoring full-time employee counts to the FY2008 peak would require a 139% increase. Restoring part-time employee counts would require a 258% increase.

2. 恢复到 RAP 在 2008 财年的已知峰值（全职增加 139%，兼职增加 258%）。RAP 尚未恢复到经济衰退前的人手水平。若要恢复全职员工数量至 2008 年的峰值，需要增加 139%。若要恢复兼职员工数量，则需要增加 258%。

3. Increase staff per acre to align with peer systems (200%). Based on the average staff per acre against of peer park systems, RAP would need to increase staff capacity by 200% to meet the service level of peers.

3. 按每英亩员工数与同类城市公园系统对齐（200%）。基于与同类城市公园系统的每英亩平均员工数比较，RAP 需要将人力提高 200%，才能达到同等的服务水平。

EXPENSES | 开支

To estimate associated expenses, the Consultant Team applied a salary-to-expense ratio of 20%, based on the historical average from RAP’s FY2015–FY2025 budgets.

为了估算相关费用，咨询团队采用了 20% 的薪酬与开支比率，基于 RAP 2015 – 2025 财年预算的历史平均值。

COST TOTALS (WITHOUT ESCALATION) | 成本总额（不含递增）

ONE TIME CAPITAL NEED (IN 2025 DOLLARS)

INCLUDES DEFERRED MAINTENANCE

一次性资本需求（以2025年美元计）
包含延期维护

~\$15B

ANNUAL OPERATING NEEDS*

STAFFING, OPERATIONS, GENERAL FUND
REIMBURSEMENT

年度运营需求*
人员、运营、一般基金偿付

~\$525-
\$625M

*THIS REPRESENTS THE TOTAL ANNUAL NEED. THE 2025 RAP BUDGET WAS ~\$350M.

* 这代表年度总需求。2025年RAP的预算约为 35亿美元。

NOTE: TOTALS ARE BASED ON COST ESTIMATES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE ESCALATION AND ARE IN 2025 DOLLARS.

注：总额基于成本估算，这些估算不包含递增，并以2025年美元计。

COST TOTALS (WITHOUT ESCALATION) | 成本总额（不含递增）

BREAKING IT DOWN: WHAT’S IN \$15B | 细分：150亿美元包括什么？

ONE TIME CAPITAL NEED (IN 2025 DOLLARS)

INCLUDES DEFERRED MAINTENANCE

一次性资本需求（以2025年美元计）
包含延期维护

~\$15B

Deferred Maintenance

延期维护

~\$2.6B

Level of Service Goals

New facilities and acres to meet peer city levels

服务水平目标

新设施和新增公园用地以达到同类城市的水平

~\$12.1B

NOTE: TOTALS ARE BASED ON COST ESTIMATES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE ESCALATION AND ARE IN 2025 DOLLARS.

注：总额基于成本估算，这些估算不包含递增，并以2025年美元计。

COST TOTALS (WITHOUT ESCALATION) | 成本总额（不含递增）

BREAKING IT DOWN: WHAT’S IN \$525-\$625M | 细分：5.25 – 6.25亿美元包含什么？

ANNUAL OPERATING NEEDS*
STAFFING, OPERATIONS, GENERAL FUND
REIMBURSEMENT

年度运营需求*
人员配置、运营、一般基金报销

~\$525-
\$625M

Total for Staff Increases to Meet Staffing Gap

Year 1
人员增加以填补人手缺口的总额

~\$322M

Total for Expenses

Year 1
支出总额

~\$68M

General Fund Reimbursements

一般基金报销

UP TO \$220M

*THIS REPRESENTS THE TOTAL ANNUAL NEED. THE 2025 RAP BUDGET WAS ~\$350M.

*这代表年度总需求。2025年RAP预算约为3.5亿美元。

NOTE: TOTALS ARE BASED ON COST ESTIMATES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE ESCALATION AND ARE IN 2025 DOLLARS.

注：总额基于成本估算，这些估算不包含递增，并以2025年美元计。

RAP-LED FUNDING STRATEGIES + STRATEGIES REQUIRING PARTNERSHIPS
RAP 主导的融资策略 + 需要合作伙伴的策略

RAP-LED FUNDING STRATEGIES
RAP 主导的融资策略

INCREASE EARNED-REVENUE GENERATION
增加创收渠道

- PARKING
- CONCESSIONS
- SPONSORSHIP

LEVERAGE COUNTY, STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDING
利用州和联邦的资金来源

- MEASURE W
- MEASURE A
- PROP 4
- PROP O

EXPAND PARTNERSHIPS WITH NON-PROFITS AND BUILD A CONSERVANCY MODEL
扩大与非营利组织的合作，并建立保护模式

STRATEGIES REQUIRING PARTNERSHIPS
需要合作伙伴的策略

INCREASE THE CHARTER MANDATED ALLOCATION OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUE TO RAP
增加宪章规定的房产税收入分配到 RAP

EVALUATE A NEW PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT
评估新的房产税评估

- PROP K SUCCESSOR
- COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT

EVALUATE SALES TAXES
评估销售税

EVALUATE CITY BOND OPTIONS
评估城市债券选项

PRIORITIZATION UPDATES

优先级更新






确定场地总体范围



给场地排序



识别潜在工具




CITY AND COUNTY METRICS
城市和县域指标

RESILIENCY METRICS
韧性指标

EQUITY METRICS
平等性指标

PNA METRICS
PNA 自有指标

**EXISTING AND
POTENTIAL PARKS**
现有和潜在公园



LA Park Needs Assessment

PARK PRIORITIZATION STRATEGY | 公园优先排序策略

UPDATES TO THE THE CRITERIA FOR SITE-BASED EVALUATION ARE SHOWN BELOW. THESE WERE BASED ON DISCUSSIONS WITH THE STEERING COMMITTEE, RAP, AND WITHIN THE CONSULTANT TEAM.

以下展示了基于场地评估的标准更新。这些更新基于与指导委员会、RAP 以及顾问团队的讨论。

	Rec and Parks 娱乐与公园	Equity 公平	Resiliency 韧性	City/County 城市/县
Highest Weight	<div><div></div><div><div>Park Pressure 公园压力</div><div>Measured Walkability RAISED 可步行性测量</div><div>Parks Condition Assessment RAISED 公园状况评估</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>PNA Equity Score <small>CES or LAEI or SB535 DC</small> PNA 公平评分(CES或LAEI或SB535 DC)</div><div>Low Shade Cover RENAMED 低遮荫覆盖</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Climate Vulnerability 气候脆弱性</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Perceived Park Safety 公园安全感知</div></div></div>
		<div><div></div><div><div>Criminalization Burden 犯罪负担</div><div>Capital Improvement History 资本改善历史</div><div>Extreme Heat Risk NEW 极端高温风险</div><div>Lack of Private Open Space RENAMED 缺乏私人开放空间</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Biodiversity + Habitat Conservation 生物多样性 + 栖息地保护</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Metro Corridors 地铁走廊</div></div></div>
Lowest Weight	<div><div></div><div><div>Parks Physical Condition LOWERED 公园物理状况</div><div>Rec Centers Physical Condition LOWERED 娱乐中心物理状况</div><div>Perceived Walkability LOWERED 感知可步行性</div><div>Community Priority Amenities 社区优先设施</div><div>Park Visitation 公园访问量</div><div>MyLA311 Requests MyLA311 请求</div></div></div>		<div><div></div><div><div>Habitat Connectivity 栖息地连通性</div><div>Tree Species Composition 树种组成</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Infiltration and Recharge Opp. 入渗与补给机会</div><div>Water Quality Priority 水质优先</div><div>LA County PNA 洛杉矶县 PNA</div></div></div>
				<div><div></div><div><div>Legend</div><div><div></div> Uses 2050 Population Projections</div><div><div></div> Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results</div></div></div>

LA Park Needs Assessment

PARK PRIORITIZATION STRATEGY | 公园优先级策略

ADDITIONALLY, DUE TO THE MULTI-BENEFIT NATURE OF MANY OF THE CRITERIA, THE FOUR OVER-ARCHING CATEGORIES HAVE BEEN USED INSTEAD TO FLAG APPLICABLE CRITERIA AS SHOWN BELOW.

此外，由于许多评估标准具有多重效益，下列展示了使用四个总体类别来标注适用的评估标准。



COMPOSITE SCORE

综合得分

THE COMPOSITE SCORE SHOWS HIGH PRIORITY SITES CLUSTERED IN EAST, CENTRAL, AND SOUTH LA AS WELL AS THE SOUTHERN AND EASTERN SAN FERNANDO VALLEY.


综合得分显示，高优先级场地集中在洛杉矶的东部、中心和南部，以及圣费尔南多谷的南部和东部。

Legend

-  RAP Site
-  Prospective Site
-  First Priority
-  Second Priority
-  Third Priority
-  Fourth Priority
-  Fifth Priority

OLIN Draft Site Based Evaluation, City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks, LA Park Needs Assessment, 30 June 2025.


Source: OLIN with data from the City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks (Park Conditions Assessment Data, Park Amenities, CIP Data , Tree Species and Locations, Park Amenities, Park Sites), PNA Statistically Valid Survey, City of LA Data Portal (MyLA311 Requests), PlacerAI (Park Visitation), LA County County-wide Address Management System (Walkshed Road Segments, 2024), SCAG (Population Projections 2050, SED TAZ-Tier2-Level Estimates), CA OEH (CalEnviroScreen-4.0, SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities), LA Controller (LA Equity Index), Catalyst California (JENI Index, Criminilization Risk), Tree People Center for Urban Resilience (Tree Canopy Cover), City of LA Office of Forestry Management (Park Tree Canopy Cover), LA Couny CSO (LA County Climate Vulnerability Assessment), LASAN (Biodiversity Index Baseline Report, Habitat Quality and Habitat Connectivity), LA County Metro (Metro and MetroLink Locations), LA Department of Water and Power (Stormwater Capture Master Plan Geophysical Categories for Infiltration), LA County Public Works (Integrated regional Water Management Plan, Water Quality Priority Areas), LA County Parks and Recreation (LAC Park Needs. 2016 GreenInfo Network (Prospective Sites), 2025.




LA Park Needs Assessment

COMPOSITE PRIORITIZATION SCORE | 综合优先级得分

SITE BASED EVALUATION | 基于场地的评估



VERSION DATED: 30 JUN 2025



LA Park Needs Assessment

HIGHEST PRIORITY SITES | 最高优先级场地

VERSION DATED: 30 JUN 2025

105TH STREET
POCKET PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park


11TH AVENUE
PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

97TH STREET
POCKET PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

ARTS DISTRICT
PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Mini Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - N HIST
SOUTH CENTRAL



Region: South

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - NORTH
HOLLYWOOD



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - PICO-
UNION



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park


PERSQUARE MILE
- WESTLAKE-
KOREATOWN



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

CABALLERO
CREEK
CONFLUENCE
PARK



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

LEO POLITI
ELEMENTARY
SCHOOL (CSP)



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Community School Park


LITTLE GREEN
ACRES PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Specialty Facility

LAR GREENWAY
- MASON TO
VANALDEN



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Greenway

ROLLAND
CURTIS PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

SAINT JAMES
PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Mini Park

SAN JULIAN
PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Specialty Facility

SIXTH STREET
VIADUCT PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Community Park

PERSQUARE
MILE -
WESTLAKE



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE -
DOWNTOWN



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - EAST
VERMONT
SQUARE



Region: South

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE MILE
- EXPOSITION
PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - VAN NUYS
VALLEY GLEN



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park


PERSQUARE MILE
- UNIVERSITY
PARK NORTH



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park


SOUTH
VICTORIA
AVENUE PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

VALENCIA
TRIANGLE



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Mini Park

VERMONT
MIRACLE PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

NEXT STEPS AND UPCOMING DATES

后续步骤与重要日期

ENGAGEMENT

公众参与

Upcoming Phase 3 engagement events across the City!

即将在全市开展第三阶段
公众参与活动！

COMMUNITY MEETINGS

社区会议

Community Meeting #1:

Traditional In-Person Open House

传统线下开放日

4 September, 2025 | *Bellevue Rec Center*

Community Meeting #2:

Traditional In-Person Open House

传统线下开放日

6 September, 2025 | *Westwood Rec Center*

Community Meeting #3:

Virtual Open House

线上开放日

9 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

Community Meeting #4: Deep Dive: Budget, Cost Estimates, and Decision Making

深度探讨：预算、成本估算与决策制定

10 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

Community Meeting #5: Deep Dive: Classifications, Level of Service, and Guidelines

深度探讨：分类、服务水平与指南

11 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

Community Meeting #6: Deep Dive: Site Prioritization

深度探讨：场地优先级

18 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

ENGAGEMENT

公众参与

Upcoming Phase 3 engagement events across the City!

即将在全市开展第三阶段
公众参与活动！

TRIBAL OUTREACH

部落外展

LA City County NAIC Listening Session

9 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

EQUITY GROUP SESSIONS

公平小组会议

Equity-Focused Workshop | 公平专题工作坊

9 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Highland Park Recreation Center Playground

Equity-Focused Workshop | 公平专题工作坊

10 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Augustus F. Hawkins Nature Park

Equity-Focused Workshop | 公平专题工作坊

23 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Balboa Sports Complex

Equity-Focused Workshop | 公平专题工作坊

24 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Virtual

STEERING COMMITTEE

指导委员会

**Agency leaders, public
officials, and members of
the public help guide the
process!**

机构领导、政府官员和公众成
员共同引导这一过程！

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETINGS

指导委员会会议

Steering Committee Meeting #6

September 16, 2025

Expo Center

Steering Committee Meeting #7

November 18, 2025

Expo Center

WEBSITE

网站


The project website will be updated with the draft PNA for the public to review!

项目网站将会更新 PNA 草案，供公众查阅！

Each chapter of the PNA will live on the website and link to other chapters.

PNA 的每一章都会在网上发布，并附有跳转链接到其他章节。

needs.parks.lacity.gov





FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT | 如需更多信息，请联系
CITY OF LOS ANGELES | DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

Email: LACityParksNeeds@theolinstudio.com

Website: needs.parks.lacity.gov

@LACityParksNeeds

OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE