



CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT

공원 필요 평가

COMMUNITY MEETINGS | 커뮤니티 미팅

SEPTEMBER 2025 | 2025년 9월

OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE

WELCOME

환영

The 2025 LA Park Needs Assessment is an initiative of The City of Los Angeles led by the Department of Recreation and Parks.

2025 LA 공원 필요 평가는 로스앤젤레스 시가 주도하는 이니셔티브로써 레크리에이션 및 공원부가 이끌고 있습니다.



OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE

YOUR VOICE MATTERS!

여러분의 목소리가 중요합니다!





공원에 사람들이 많이 찾습니다!
PARKS ARE POPULAR!

1. GRIFFITH PARK - 22,379,488 PPL

2. SEPULVEDA BASIN RECREATION AREA - 6,778,659 PPL

3. VENICE BEACH - 4,620,676 PPL

4. ISIDORE B. DOCKWEILER STATE BEACH - 4,256,693 PPL

방문자 수 기준 상위 4개 공원
4/1/24-3/31/25

**TOP 4 PARKS BY
OF VISITS**
4/1/24-3/31/25

**PROJECT
INTRODUCTION**
프로젝트 소개

ENGAGEMENT
참여

**DRAFT PNA
WALKTHROUGH**
PNA 검토

**GUIDELINES /
CLASSIFICATIONS**
지침/분류

**BUDGET AND
COST ESTIMATES**
예산 및 비용 추정

**PRIORITIZATION
UPDATES**
우선순위 업데이트

NEXT STEPS
다음 단계

UPDATES

업데이트



PROJECT SCHEDULE: FOUR PHASES | 프로젝트 스케줄: 4 단계



기초 조사

관심 있는 관계자와의 회의 및
기존 데이터와 보고서 검토



평가

연구 및 분석을 통해 요구
사항과 기회를 파악



공원 필요 평가 초안

발견 내용을 정리하여 공원
필요 평가 초안 작성



최종 공원 필요 평가

최종 공원 필요 평가 공유

PROJECT SCHEDULE: FOUR PHASES | 프로젝트 스케줄: 4 단계

1
GROUNDING
MEETING WITH INTERESTED
PARTIES AND GROUNDING
IN EXISTING DATA AND
REPORT REVIEW

2
EVALUATION
CONDUCTING RESEARCH
AND ANALYSIS TO
UNDERSTAND NEEDS
AND OPPORTUNITIES

3
DRAFT PNA
REFINING FINDINGS INTO
A DRAFT PNA

4
FINAL PNA
SHARING THE
FINAL PNA

JAN
1 월

FEB
2 월

MAR
3 월

APR
4 월

MAY
5 월

JUN
6 월

JUL
7 월

AUG
8 월

SEP
9 월

OCT
10 월

NOV
11 월

DEC
12 월




WE ARE HERE!
우리는 여기 있습니다!

The Draft PNA comment period is
from **September 1 - October 15.**

You can comment on the plan on our
website here!

초안 PNA 의견 수렴 기간은 9월 1일부터 10월 15일까지입니다.

여기에서 저희 웹사이트를 통해 계획에 대한 의견을 제출하실 수
있습니다!



needs.parks.lacity.gov

THE CITY OF LA OWNS OR OPERATES ABOUT 500 PARK SITES

LA 시는 약 500개의 공원 부지를
소유하거나 운영합니다.


16,000 ACRES OF PARKS AND 92 MILES
OF TRAILS ARE MANAGED BY THE CITY OF
LA RECREATION AND PARKS.

LA시 레크리에이션 및 공원 부서는 16,000
에이커 이상의 공원과 92마일의 산책로를
관리합니다


범례

-  City of LA Boundary | LA시 경계
-  City of LA Parks | LA시 공원
-  LA River | LA강
-  LA County | LA 카운티
-  Major Road/Highway | 주요 도로/고속도로
-  Minor Road | 이면 도로

출처: 시 경계 및 공원: LA시 데이터 포털, 2025년. 도로: 미국 인구조사국, 2025년. LA강: 국가 수문학 데이터베이스, 2025년.



16,000+ 에이커



FACILITIES AND AMENITIES
시설 및 편의시설

- 123 RECREATION CENTERS
123개의 레크리에이션 센터
- 59 POOLS
개의 수영장
- 29 SENIOR CENTERS
개의 시니어 센터
- 15 LICENSED CHILDCARE CENTERS
개의 허가 받은 보육 센터
- 411 PLAYGROUNDS
개의 놀이터
- 39 UNIVERSALLY ACCESSIBLE PLAYGROUNDS
개의 모든 사람이 사용할 수 있는 놀이터
- 130 OUTDOOR FITNESS ZONES
개의 야외 피트니스 존
- 256 BALL FIELDS
개의 구기 경기장
- 29 SKATE PARKS
개의 스케이트장
- 319 TENNIS COURTS
개의 테니스 코트
- 13 GOLF COURSES
개의 골프장
- 13 DOG PARKS
개의 반려견 공원

NATURAL AREAS/EQUESTRIAN
자연 지역/승마 시설

- 92 MILES OF HIKING TRAILS
마일의 하이킹 코스
- 13 LAKES
개 호수
- 7 CAMPS
개 캠프
- 3 EQUESTRIAN CENTERS
개 승마 센터

CULTURAL/EDUCATION ASSETS
문화/교육 자산

- GRIFFITH OBSERVATORY
그리피스 천문
- GREEK THEATRE
그릭 시어터
- CABRILLO MARINE AQUARIUM
카브리요 해양 수족
- VENICE BEACH
베니스 비치
- 12 MUSEUMS
개의 박물관

FISCAL YEAR 23 - 24
회계 연도 23 - 24

Operating Budget
운영 예산

\$348 MILLION

Workforce
인력

1,711 FT 명 정규직
5,000+ PT 명 이상 시간제

Number of Parks
공원 수

490

PROJECT PURPOSE

프로젝트 목적

Identify current and future **needs, challenges, and opportunities** for improvement across the City's parks and recreational facilities.

The PNA will guide future investment in park infrastructure and amenities that is reflective of the **diverse cultures and communities** of the City of Los Angeles.

LA시의 공원 및 레크리에이션 시설 전반에 걸쳐 현재와 미래의 필요 사항, 과제, 개선 기회를 인식합니다

PNA는 LA시의 다양한 문화와 지역 사회를 반영하는 공원 인프라와 편의시설에 대한 향후 투자를 안내할 것입니다.



PROJECT PURPOSE

프로젝트 목적

The PNA is a system-wide assessment and evaluation of **RAP parks and facilities**, focusing on park needs up to and beyond 25 years into the future.

PNA는 RAP 공원 및 시설에 대한 시스템 전반의 평가 및 분석으로, 향후 25년 이상을 내다본 공원 필요에 중점을 두고 있습니다.



High-Level
Innovative Tools
and Criteria

고차원의 혁신 도구 및
기준

Local Geographies
and Lived Reality

지역 지리와 생활 현실

HIGH-LEVEL INNOVATIVE TOOLS AND CRITERIA

고차원의 혁신 도구 및 기준


SOCIAL EQUITY, CLIMATE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS ALONG PARK ACCESS AND PRESSURE INDICATORS WILL FACTOR INTO AN EVALUATION OF PARK NEED.

사회적 형평성, 기후, 및 환경 지표는 공원 접근성 및 압력 지표와 함께 공원 필요 평가에 반영될 것입니다.

범례

-  City of LA Boundary | LA시 경계
-  City of LA Parks | LA시 공원
-  LA River | LA강
-  LA County | LA 카운티
-  Major Road/Highway | 주요 도로/고속도로
-  Minor Road | 이면 도로

출처: 시 경계 및 공원: LA시 데이터 포털, 2025년. 도로: 미국 인구조사국, 2025년. LA강: 국가 수문학 데이터베이스, 2025년.



LA Park Needs Assessment

LOCAL GEOGRAPHIES AND LIVED REALITY

지역 지리와 생활 현실



Echo Park. Image source: Jon Bilous / Shutterstock.com



South Park. Image source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks



MacArthur Park. Image source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks



Griffith Park. Image source: HannaTor / Shutterstock.com

ENGAGEMENT

참여


ENGAGEMENT

참여


Public engagement is foundational
to a **comprehensive**
Park Needs Assessment!

공공 참여는 포괄적인 공원 필요 평가의 기반이
됩니다





**BROAD
REACHING
ENGAGEMENT**
광범위한 참여



LA Park Needs Assessment

ENGAGEMENT



4,146

Online Survey Responses
개의 온라인 설문 응답



1,008

Statistically Valid Survey Responses
개의 통계적으로 유효한 설문 응답



267

Phase 1 Community Meeting Attendees
명의 1단계 커뮤니티 회의 참석자




290

Pop-Up Attendees
명의 팝업 행사 참석자




60,000+

Social Media Interactions/Impressions
건의 소셜 미디어 상호작용/노출



7

Tribal Briefings
건의 부족 브리핑



10

Council District Briefings
건의 시의회 선거구 브리핑



11

Interest Group Meetings
건의 이해관계자 그룹 회의



12

Community Partner Organizations
개의 지역사회 파트너 기관



2

Youth Workshops
건의 청소년 워크숍



100,000

Mailed Postcards
장의 엽서 발송



55,000+

Reel Views
릴 조회수

LA Park Needs Assessment

참여 회의 및 팝업 행사



Lakeview Terrace (Phase 2)

Image Source: OLIN



CicLAVIA: Koreatown meets Hollywood

Image Source: The Robert Group




Granada Hills (Phase 2)

Image Source: Mark Hovator



YMCA Healthy Day For Kids

Image Source: TRG



- **Phase 3 Community Meetings/
Events Information & Materials**
3단계 커뮤니티 회의/행사 정보 및 자료
- **Draft PNA**
초안 PNA

LA Park Needs Assessment

EQUITY GROUP SESSIONS | 형평성 그룹 세션

CRITICAL SOCIAL SERVICES
중요한 사회 서비스

PARKS IN PLACE
현지 공원

ACCESS FOR ALL
모두를 위한 접근성

THE PUBLIC STAGE
공공 무대

DRAFT PNA WALKTHROUGH

초안 PNA 안내

LA Park Needs Assessment

DRAFT PNA | 초안 PNA

SECTIONS AND CHAPTERS | 부문 및 장



1. CONTEXT
1. 맥락

Chapter 1 | 제1장:
Executive Summary
요약본

Chapter 2 | 제2장:
Planning Context
계획 맥락

Chapter 3 | 제3장:
Engagement
참여



2. RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY
2. 오늘날의 레크리에이션과 공원

Chapter 4 | 제4장:
History of the Park System
공원 시스템의 역사

Chapter 5 | 제5장:
RAP by the Numbers
수치로 보는 RAP

Chapter 6 | 제6장:
Current Budget and Finance
현재 예산과 재정




3. COMMUNITY NEEDS
3. 지역사회 필요

Chapter 7 | 제7장:
Benchmarking
벤치마킹

Chapter 8 | 제8장:
Site Prioritization
부지 우선순위 설정

Chapter 9 | 제9장:
Regional Snapshots
지역별 스냅샷



4. GUIDELINES
4. 지침

Chapter 10 | 제10장:
Site Planning
부지 계획

Chapter 11 | 제11장:
Park Classifications
공원 분류

Chapter 12 | 제12장:
Ongoing Engagement
지속적인 참여

Chapter 13 | 제13장:
Level of Service Standards
서비스 수준 기준



5. IMPLEMENTATION
5. 실행

Chapter 14 | 제14장:
Costs and Funding
비용 및 자금 조달

Chapter 15 | 제15장:
Action Plan
실행 계획

PNA SHORTCUTS FOR THOSE INTERESTED IN A SPECIFIC PARK

The PNA is organized and designed to be a tool for understanding and advancing park equity and investment. Users can first locate their park or prospective park site of interest in the Universe of Sites table found in Chapter 15: Action Plan. From there, readers can refer back to earlier chapters to explore how that site scores in terms of prioritization, what classification it falls under, and which guidelines apply for its future planning, design, and development. Chapter 9: Regional Snapshots offers additional context for where the site sits within the City.


Start here to find your park or park site in the Universe of Sites table!

FIRST PRIORITY					
Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score
22	105th Street Pocket Park	0.11	Mini Park	South	
1	11th Avenue Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	
5	97th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
11	Arts District Park	0.51	Mini Park	Cen/East	
25	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	1.53	Neighborhood Park	Valley	
12	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	6.22	Greenway	Valley	
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	2.02	Community School Park	Cen/East	
13	Little Green Acres Park	0.23	Mini Park	South	
7	PerSquare Mile - Downtown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
14	PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
17	PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
15	PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
23	PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
24	PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
6	PerSquare Mile - University Park North	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
18	PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
8	PerSquare Mile - Westlake	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
9	PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
21	Rolland Curtis Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
2	Saint James Park	0.90	Mini Park	Cen/East	
3	San Julian Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	12.52	Community Park	Cen/East	
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	
10	Valencia Triangle	0.06	Mini Park	Cen/East	
19	Vermont Miracle Park	0.22	Mini Park	South	


SECOND PRIORITY					
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	

Figure 21. Each park or park site is listed in the Table of Sites, which lets readers know how it is prioritized, its classification, and its region. Source: OLIN, 2025.


LEARN HOW THE PARK WAS PRIORITIZED




LEARN ABOUT THE PARK'S CLASSIFICATION



LEARN WHAT GUIDELINES APPLY



LEARN ABOUT REGIONAL NEEDS AND INITIATIVES



PRIORITIZATION

See how parks and park sites are scored based on need, equity, access, and other criteria to understand which sites rise to the top.

The Prioritization chapter starts on page 153.

CLASSIFICATIONS

Learn how each park and park site is classified by size, type, and function to help provide guidelines to meet current and future needs.

The Classification chapter starts on page 239.

GUIDELINES

Find best practices for site planning, amenities, and level of service standards for different park classifications.

The Guidelines chapter starts on page 217.

REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS

Explore and understand community needs and challenges unique to each region in the City.

The Regional Snapshots chapter starts on page 189.

LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 1: CONTEXT | 제 1부분: 맥락

CHAPTER 1 :

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

제1장:

요약본




CHAPTER 2:

PLANNING CONTEXT

제2장

계획 맥락



CHAPTER 3:

ENGAGEMENT

제3장

참여



LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 2: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | 오늘날의 레크리에이션과 공원

CHAPTER 4:

HISTORY OF THE PARK SYSTEM

제4장

공원 시스템의 역사




Figure 68. Panoramic view of Griffith Park, 1900. Source: Los Angeles Public Library Photo Collection.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES RECREATION AND PARKS STORY

Land Stewardship (Pre-1781)
Los Angeles, known as "Tovangar" in the Tongva language, has been the home of indigenous people such as the Tongva, or Gabrieleño, Fernandoño Tataviam, and the Chumash for over 10,000 years.¹¹

city of Los Angeles and its surrounding areas, extending from the Santa Monica Mountains to the Channel Islands.¹² Present-day downtown Los Angeles was primarily inhabited by the Tongva and their settlements were both independent and interconnected. In the 18th century, Spanish settlers established missions throughout California to spread Catholicism and strengthen allegiance to Spain, and many Indigenous communities were enslaved at these missions.¹³

MANY PRESENT-DAY PARK SITES ARE RELATED TO HISTORIC VILLAGE SITES OR SACRED SITES OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES.

Indigenous knowledge and present day research reveals that many present-day park sites are related to historic village sites or sacred sites of Indigenous Peoples. Spanish baptismal records collected by the

The Early Years (1781-1885)
The City of Los Angeles was established by a group of settlers under Spanish colonial rule as a farming community in 1781.¹⁴ Under Anglo-American rule, which began in 1848, the City inherited two Spanish-style open plazas that structured public life: Plaza Park and Central Park (present-day Pershing Square).¹⁵ These plazas were organized with formal lawns and fruit trees with eventual additions such as fountains and walkways as the surrounding neighborhoods developed more residential and commercial uses.¹⁶ As the City's population grew, it gradually began to acquire parcels of land to meet the needs of the residents for park purposes such as Eastlake Park (present-day Lincoln Park) which was acquired in 1874.¹⁸

In the San Fernando Valley, many park sites have ties to historic locations of Fernandoño Tataviam sites, such as Sepulveda Basin, which is near the site of the historic village Suticanga. The name Suticanga means "the Place of the Oaks," and was established near a freshwater spring along the basin.¹⁹ Present-day Sepulveda Basin recreation areas were part of the fishing, hunting, and gathering grounds of the inhabitants of Suticanga.²⁰ The living descendants of the many Indigenous communities of Los Angeles continue to engage with the land through contemporary spiritual practices and climate activism.²¹




Figure 71. Map of the old portion of the city surrounding the plaza, Los Angeles City, March 20th, 1875 by William A. D. Smith. Library of Congress, Geography and Map Division.




Figure 70. Bird's-Eye View of Central Park (Pershing Square), Los Angeles, [1880s?], [Photographed 1880s?]. Source: Los Angeles Times Photographic Collection. UCLA Library Original Collection.

SECTION 2: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | CHAPTER 4: HISTORY

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 87

CHAPTER 5:

RAP BY THE NUMBERS

제5장

수치로 보는 RAP

PARK AMENITIES
Across the park system, there are thousands of park amenities, including active and passive areas, recreation facilities, habitat or natural areas, trees, and iconic structures like the Griffith Observatory or the Greek Theatre. The system is so vast it can be difficult to encapsulate the extent of features.

During 2024, RAP completed an assessment of about 34 types of recreational amenities at 355 sites. RAP completes this assessment annually.

These amenities are rated as good, fair, or poor and help provide a detailed understanding of a facility's current condition. These annual condition scores help inform RAP's decision-making processes regarding maintenance, repairs, and future investments.



Figure 69. Source: players at the Pacific Park in West LA. Source: UCLA.

RAP BY THE NUMBERS

16,000+ ACRES OF PARKLAND

489 PARKS

92 MILES OF TRAILS

1,711 FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES & 5,000 PART-TIME EMPLOYEES

32 Skate Parks	5 Golf Courses	300 Diamond Fields	26 Amphitheaters	8 Community Gardens	617 Parking Lots
165 Recreation & Senior Centers	374 Outdoor Fitness Areas	446 Basketball Hoops	223 Picnic Shelters	11 Concession Stands	51.5 Pickleball Courts
447 Playgrounds	370.5 Tennis Courts	115 Fitness Zones	1 Bandshell	44 Equestrian Rings	3 Roller Hockey Rinks
59 Swimming Pools	13 Splash Pools	1,504 Bathrooms	89 Volleyball Courts	2 Disc Golf Courses	1 Nature Centers
12 Museums	14 Dog Parks	94 Gymnasiums	235 Multipurpose Fields	101 Rectangular Fields	3 Childcare Buildings

SECTION 3: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | CHAPTER 5: RAP BY THE NUMBERS

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 88

CHAPTER 6:

CURRENT BUDGET AND FINANCE

제6장

현재 예산과 재정

STAFFING SNAPSHOT

RAP has not recovered from staffing cuts during the Great Recession. Between FY 2008 when RAP full-time staffing peaked and FY 2015 when it hit an all-time low, 750 full-time positions were eliminated from the department. Since FY 2015, only 193 positions have been restored as of FY 2025, meaning the majority of these positions were eliminated and never restored.¹⁴

Among all City departments, RAP is the largest employer of part-time employees. As full-time employment has decreased, RAP has increasingly relied on part-time staff to take on greater responsibilities. RAP's part-time staff budget has remained nearly constant over the past 15 years. Over the same period, the part-time personnel costs have increased per-employee. For context, in 2009, the minimum wage in California was \$8 per hour.¹⁵ In 2015, the City of Los Angeles adopted a \$15 per hour minimum wage.¹⁶ As of 2025, the minimum wage in the City of Los Angeles is \$17.87 per hour. Hourly minimum wages effectively doubled between 2009 and 2015 although the RAP budget for part-time personnel has remained relatively constant. As a result, RAP has fewer hours of part-time work annually.




Figure 72. RAP's budget has grown steadily, but full-time staffing remains below pre-recession levels, revealing a persistent gap between funding and staffing. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

IMPACT OF STAFFING AND BUDGET CUTS ON OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE

- RAP's operating resources are declining despite responsibilities growing. RAP staff are being added to do more with less, leading to staff burnout, deferred maintenance, and growing waitlists for programs. For example, recreation centers used to have staff on Sundays, but now do not as a result of a strained budget. Anecdotally, RAP staff discussed a decline in maintenance quality due to lower staffing and less frequent visits to service parks. Staff also shared that RAP switched from a system of dedicated gardener caretakers for each park to a system where staff visit parks on rotation within a district.
- RAP faces recruitment and retention difficulties due to limited resources and lower wages compared to other departments. RAP provides pathways into City services with part-time positions and established onboarding and training practices. Agencies like the Department of Water and Power and the Port of Los Angeles offer higher salaries and more overtime opportunities, making it difficult to retain staff after RAP trains skilled positions.
- Deferred maintenance is increasing, resulting in greater long-term costs. With a focus on essential tasks like litter removal, restroom cleaning, and landscaping, other necessary upkeep is often delayed, leading to more expensive repairs and increased City liability over time.
- RAP is responsible for providing shelters during emergencies, creating additional and unpredictable workload for staff. As extreme events increase in intensity and frequency, this will be a growing role for RAP within the city.
- Over the long term, during economic downturns, RAP staff positions are eliminated more quickly and in larger numbers than they are recovered. Vacant full-time positions continue to be eliminated in budgets year-over-year. Between FY 2024 and FY 2025, 207 vacant full-time positions were eliminated or discontinued, further straining RAP's operating needs.




Figure 73. RAP has less than half the authorized positions today as it did before the Great Recession.¹⁷ Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

SECTION 3: RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY | CHAPTER 6: CURRENT BUDGET AND FINANCE

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 89

EXAMPLE SPREADS! 예시 지면

LA Park Needs Assessment


SECTION 3: COMMUNITY NEEDS | 지역사회 필요

CHAPTER 7:

BENCHMARKING

제7장

벤치마킹




CHAPTER 8:

SITE PRIORITIZATION

제8장

부지 우선순위 설정




CHAPTER 9:

REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS

제9장:

지역별 스냅샷



LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 4: GUIDELINES | 지침

CHAPTER 10:

SITE PLANNING

제10장

부지 계획




Figure 102: Loop trail at Hunter Canyon Park supports exploration, circulation, and wellness.

City of Los Angeles, Department of Recreation and Parks, November 2023.




Figure 103: Internal walking trail at Delta Park allows users to explore the park.

Source: Canvass Consulting Inc. 2023.

CONNECTIVITY

STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY

Maintain physical and visual connections to the street grid.

Parks and recreation facilities should be thoughtfully integrated into the fabric of surrounding neighborhoods. They should be sited to minimize disruptions to the street grid, which is integral to a functional transportation network. Where streets do not continue through a park or recreation facility site, efforts should be made to maintain physical and visual connections, such as gateways, sight lines, or walking paths through the site in line with those streets.

SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE

Ensure seamless connections between parks and recreation facilities and other adjacent public spaces.

Residents do not typically perceive differences in ownership, but they do perceive differences in experiences and missing connections. Barriers and breaks in access limit attractiveness and visibility. Regional ecosystems also do not observe jurisdictional boundaries and must be thought of as integrated, functional systems.

TRAIL MODE SEPARATION

Separate trails into paths for horses, cyclists, and pedestrians.

On larger sites, where space allows, separating trails for horses, cyclists, and pedestrians can enhance safety and reduce conflicts among users moving at different speeds. This separation also reinforces the sense that trail users are within a park or recreation environment. Differentiated trail materials can further support this approach; for example, a walking path might be surfaced with stone fines, while an adjacent bike trail could be paved with asphalt and an equestrian trail might use packed dirt or stone suited to horse hooves.

LOOP TRAIL

Provide a loop trail for people to explore, circulate, and recreate.

Loop trails provide opportunities for people to explore parts of a park or recreation facility site that they may not otherwise be aware of with confidence, knowing that they will end up where they started. When they are specifically measured length, loop trails allow users to easily walk, jog, bike, or skate to a number of steps or miles they may be targeting for exercise and wellness.

INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL

Connect features in parks to each other using circulation.

Walking trails in parks allow users to explore the various features of a park and connect to adjacent uses. They also help keep users off of any sensitive native vegetation or planted areas. Walking trails can offer different difficulty levels to accommodate a variety of age groups, exercise goals, and unique park experiences.

TRAIL CONNECTION

Maintain connections to the paved trail network.

Trail users are park and recreation facility users. Parks and recreation facilities can serve as trailheads, trail destinations, or locations to stop and rest along a trail. Parks and recreation facilities may also host critical trail links, leading to a more connected system.

SAFE ROUTES/PASSAGES

Create safe routes to parks and recreation facilities.

Critical to equitable access and connectivity is ensuring people have safe ways to get to parks and recreation facilities from home, schools, libraries, transit stops, and other destinations within their neighborhoods. Walk-It, well-paved sidewalks and trails, partnerships with community organizations and public agencies, and opportunities to overcome physical and perceived barriers should be prioritized.

238 SECTION IV: GUIDELINES | CHAPTER 10: SITE PLANNING

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 239

CHAPTER 12:

ONGOING ENGAGEMENT

제12장

지속적인 참여



Figure 121: Community members at an engagement meeting in Granada Hills share ideas to help shape inclusive and equitable park planning.

Source: Park University 2023.

ENGAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Community engagement is vital to an equitable, inclusive, and sustainable park system. An equity-driven, community-led approach will not just result in engagement findings that are more reflective of Los Angeles's diverse population, it will also lead to projects that offer multiple benefits, including:

- Inclusive and accessible public spaces:** Engaging a broad range of community members—particularly those not traditionally included in park planning processes—allows for a diversity of expertise about park uses, safety, desired amenities, and many other elements. This on-the-ground knowledge from residents alongside input from less-served community groups can lead to parks that better serve local communities and all Angelenos alike, resulting in better system-wide alignment with community needs.
- A sense of communal ownership:** People who participate in planning and designing their park are more likely to develop a sense of healthy ownership and pride, cultivating long-lasting stewardship relationships with their local public spaces. This connection can help improve park safety, maintenance, use, and sustainability, and leads to greater trust and transparency.
- Leadership identification and cultivation:** Park planning and design processes offer a platform to cultivate community leaders. The result is an active group of residents with stronger ties to the site, facility, and staff which aid in fostering an overall sense of trust.
- Equity in access and outcomes:** Historically, park planning across Los Angeles has not always been equitable. Engagement, particularly in marginalized communities, needs to be a core element of planning processes from the beginning, with the aim to reduce disparities in access to quality green space and provide equitable distribution of resources.

From design to operations, meaningful community engagement for park projects should aim to create dynamic and inclusive processes where every Angeleno feels welcomed and heard. Engagement at every scale should prioritize communities that have historically been underserved by public

WHEN AND HOW TO USE THE ENGAGEMENT GUIDELINES

The following engagement guidelines are recommended for use when there is a significant capital improvement project (at a site or system-wide scale) and in the long-term stewardship, operations, and programming of individual RAP sites. The overview below is followed by a more detailed description of how the engagement guidelines can be used for specific projects and in day-to-day operations. These guidelines are a starting point, and each engagement process should be considered and adapted to its community history and context accordingly.

ACQUISITION

Community engagement during the acquisition phase of a park project should keep residents adequately informed about the acquisition process, and guided by community input. This includes information on the location of the new facility, its classification (e.g., neighborhood park or neighborhood nature park), potential amenities, accessibility measures, and plans to thoughtfully integrate it into the existing community.

VISION PLANNING

Community-driven vision planning encourages and empowers residents to take an active role in shaping their environment and city. Whether planning for a new park or reimagining an existing one, engagement at this phase should involve multiple sessions for community members and key stakeholders to develop a robust and inclusive vision for a new project with RAP. The community's vision will set the course for a park that meets the needs and cultural contexts of its community. At this stage, RAP can begin building a base of community members to champion the new park site.

Continued on Next Page

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 309

308 SECTION IV: GUIDELINES | CHAPTER 12: ONGOING ENGAGEMENT

DRAFT

DRAFT

CHAPTER 11:

PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

제11장:

공원 분류

MINI PARK




Figure 101: Pattern 50 Pocket Park. Source: Lauren Esch, 2023.

Mini parks are very small spaces, typically less than one acre in size, designed to provide walkable access to greenery and seating within dense neighborhoods. These parks often maximize their utility with features like benches and trees. Due to their limited size, mini parks tend to be more passive and simpler in their designs, offering quick places of respite.

TYPICAL SIZE (ACRES)

<1

TYPICAL LENGTH OF VISIT (HOURS)

0.25-1

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

- Seating
- Shade
- Identity Features
- Low Impact Development BMPs

INTENSIVE USE

- Outdoor Event Space
- Storage/Storage Area
- Restroom
- Dog Park

PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

- Park Shelter
- Seating

RECREATION

- Fitness / Exercise Facility
- Creative Play Attraction
- Playground
- Rectangular Field
- Diamond Field
- Basketball Court
- Tennis / Pickleball Court
- Volleyball Court
- Water Play
- Pool

CASUAL USE

- Individual Picnic Area
- Casual Use Space
- Internal Walking Trail
- Shade Structure

NATURAL

- Stemmer Management
- Natural Space
- Community Garden
- Urban Landscape Features

INFRASTRUCTURE

- Bike Rack
- Tram Stop
- Street Parking
- On-Street Parking
- Safe Pedestrian Access
- Support Facility
- Waste Management Facility
- Trash Dropoff
- Regional Water Partnership

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS (<1,000 SF)

- Memorial Plaque
- Sculpture (various)
- Interpretive Display (various)
- Change Counter
- Restroom Pavilion
- Shade Structure
- Mail
- Public Monument
- Bandshell
- Public Shelter

MEDIUM ELEMENTS (1,000 - 6,000 SF)

- Greenhouse
- Celexion Stand
- Visitor Info Center
- Art Gallery
- Nature Center
- Amphitheater (various)
- Maintenance Facility
- Clocktower

LARGE ELEMENTS (>6,000 SF)

- Whitliffe Center
- Museum
- Maintenance Facility
- Clocktower
- Exposition Center
- Senior Center
- Recreation Center

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 189

188 SECTION IV: GUIDELINES | CHAPTER 11: PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

DRAFT

DRAFT

CHAPTER 13:

LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

제13장

서비스 수준 기준

COMMUNITY GARDEN PLOTS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.0 per 1,000 Current

0.0 per 1,000 Recommended

Number of Community Garden Plots

8 in 2025

9 by 2050

Peer Median

Washington, DC: 0.2

San Francisco, CA: 0.1

San Diego, CA: 0.0

Chicago, IL: 0.0

New York, NY: 0.0

Los Angeles, CA: 0.0

Peer Median: 0.0

Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

Supports Raising LOS Standard

DIAMOND FIELDS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.8 per 10,000 Current

1.0 per 10,000 Recommended

Number of Diamond Fields

300 in 2025

432 by 2050

Peer Median

Chicago, IL: 2.6

Washington, DC: 1.8

San Francisco, CA: 1.0

New York, NY: 0.8

Los Angeles, CA: 0.5

Peer Median: 0.5

Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

Supports Raising LOS Standard

308 SECTION IV: GUIDELINES | CHAPTER 13: LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

DRAFT

DRAFT

EXAMPLE SPREADS! 예시 지면

CHAPTER 14:

COSTS AND FUNDING

제14장

비용 및 자금 조달




Figure 100: Restroom facilities at the Inwood Day Recreation Area. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

TYPES OF COST, ASSUMPTIONS, AND APPROACH

CAPITAL ESTIMATES

In the overall cost matrix, standardized costs are applied to the actual conditions of park amenities at each park site, as assessed by RAP. To arrive at project costs citywide to the year 2050. For amenities or elements that do not have a specific assessment, such as individual benches, the cost matrix includes estimates for current and future needs over the next 25 years. For new facilities, the proposed counts are based on the proposed level-of-service (LOS) standards for RAP. (See Chapter 13: Level of Service Standards.) For example, if RAP wants to increase its LOS for basketball courts to match peer cities, the number of new courts needed to meet the new LOS standard is added to the new courts column. This is also true for the total acres of new parks desired. In the case of new parks, new amenity costs were applied to each of the 36 prospective park sites identified using the "Per Square Mile" tool (See Chapter 8: Site Prioritization).

PERSONNEL ESTIMATES

Future staffing needs and associated personnel costs are based on an average of three estimation methods.

- Increasing capacity and level of service by 1.5 times (150%) to meet anticipated demand and elevate overall service delivery.
- Returning staffing to RAP's prior, known peak in FY2008 (139% for FTEs, 258% for PTEs). RAP has not returned to pre-recession staffing levels. Restoring full-time employee counts to the FY2008 peak would require a 139% increase. Restoring part-time employee counts would require a 258% increase.
- Increasing staff per acre to align with peer systems (200%). Based on the average staff per acre against peer park systems, RAP would need to increase staff capacity by 200% to meet the service level of peers.

EXPENSE ESTIMATES

Expenses are based on an applied salary-to-expense ratio of 20%, based on the historical average from RAP's FY2015–FY2025 budgets.

Based on this initial approach, RAP would need to increase its operating budget by 75% to increase staff capacity to a level more consistent with prior service levels, desired service quality, and peers.

RAP COULD RESTORE STAFFING LEVELS, A HIGHER LEVEL OF SERVICE AND ALIGN CAPACITY TO PEERS BY INCREASING ITS OPERATING BUDGET BY 75%.

Estimated Budgets	Full-Time Salaries	Part-Time Salaries	Misc. Salaries	Total Salary Budget	Expenses	Total Operating Costs	% Change from FY25
Existing FY2025	\$126M	\$54M	\$4M	\$184M	\$36M	\$223M	0%
1. Staffing Consistent to Prior Staffing Levels	\$193M	\$82M	\$5M	\$275M	\$55M	\$333M	50%
2. Increase Capacity to Provide A Higher Level of Service	\$176M	\$143M	\$7M	\$323M	\$65M	\$391M	75%
3. Increase Capacity to Align to Peers	\$253M	\$109M	\$7M	\$368M	\$74M	\$446M	100%
Average	\$206M	\$110M	\$6M	\$322M	\$65M	\$390M	75%

Figure 100: Personnel and Expense Estimates. All costs are to 2025 dollars based on 2025 staff salaries and expenses. These estimated operating costs do not include City General Fund requirements, land acquisition, or utilities. Source: 100% Analysis of data provided by RAP and Trust for Public Land City Park Facts. Data courtesy of City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

460 SECTION 5: IMPLEMENTATION | CHAPTER 14: COSTS AND FUNDING

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 461

CHAPTER 15:


ACTION PLAN

제15장:

실행 계획


SEEK TO ADDRESS RESIDENTS' TOP CITYWIDE PRIORITIES FOR AMENITIES AND PROGRAMS

SEE CHAPTER 3: ENGAGEMENT




ACCOUNT FOR DIFFERENT PRIORITIES IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY

SEE CHAPTER 9: REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS



USE THE SITE PLANNING GUIDELINES TO EVALUATE AND IMPROVE FORM AND FUNCTION

SEE CHAPTER 10: SITE PLANNING



CONSIDER CITYWIDE NEEDS BASED ON LEVEL OF SERVICE

SEE CHAPTER 13: LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

300

in 2025

432

by 2050

89


in 2025

70

by 2050


CONSULT THE CLASSIFICATIONS TO IDENTIFY TYPICAL AMENITIES AND APPLICABLE GUIDELINES

SEE CHAPTER 11: PARK CLASSIFICATIONS



CONTINUE TO MEANINGFULLY ENGAGE WITH RESIDENTS

SEE CHAPTER 12: ONGOING ENGAGEMENT



SECURE SUSTAINABLE FUNDING FOR RECREATION AND PARKS


SEE CHAPTER 14: COST AND FUNDING

RAP-LED FUNDING STRATEGIES

- Increase earned-revenue generation.
- Expand partnerships with non-profits and build a conservancy model.
- Leverage State and Federal funding sources.

FUNDING STRATEGIES REQUIRING PARTNERSHIP

- Increase the Charter mandated allocation of property tax revenue to RAP.
- Evaluate property tax assessments.
- Evaluate sales taxes.
- Evaluate City bond options: general obligation and revenue bonds.



EXAMPLE


SPREADS!

예시 지면

LA Park Needs Assessment

SECTION 5: IMPLEMETATION | 실행

ACTION PLAN | 실행 계획



LA Park Needs Assessment

HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK!

PNA 활용 방법 - 나의 공원을 찾아보세요


Start here to find your park or park site in the Universe of Sites table!

사이트 전체목록
표에서 내 공원이나
공원 부지를 찾으려면
여기서 시작하세요!

FIRST PRIORITY					
Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score
22	105th Street Pocket Park	0.11	Mini Park	South	
1	11th Avenue Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	
5	97th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
11	Arts District Park	0.51	Mini Park	Cen/East	
25	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	1.53	Neighborhood Park	Valley	
12	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	6.22	Greenway	Valley	
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	2.02	Community School Park	Cen/East	
13	Little Green Acres Park	0.23	Mini Park	South	
7	PerSquare Mile - Downtown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
14	PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
17	PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
15	PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
23	PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
24	PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
6	PerSquare Mile - University Park North	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
18	PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
8	PerSquare Mile - Westlake	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
9	PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
21	Rolland Curtis Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
2	Saint James Park	0.90	Mini Park	Cen/East	
3	San Julian Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	12.52	Community Park	Cen/East	
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	
10	Valencia Triangle	0.06	Mini Park	Cen/East	
19	Vermont Miracle Park	0.22	Mini Park	South	
SECOND PRIORITY					
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	

LEARN HOW YOUR PARK WAS PRIORITIZED: CHAPTER 8

내 공원이 어떻게 우선순위가 매겨졌는지
알아보세요: 제8장



LA Park Needs Assessment

HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK!

PNA 활용 방법 - 나의 공원을 찾아보세요


Start here to find your park or park site in the Universe of Sites table!

사이트 전체목록
표에서 내 공원이나
공원 부지를 찾으려면
여기서 시작하세요!

FIRST PRIORITY					
Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score
22	105th Street Pocket Park	0.11	Mini Park	South	
1	11th Avenue Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	
5	97th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
11	Arts District Park	0.51	Mini Park	Cen/East	
25	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	1.53	Neighborhood Park	Valley	
12	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	6.22	Greenway	Valley	
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	2.02	Community School Park	Cen/East	
13	Little Green Acres Park	0.23	Mini Park	South	
7	PerSquare Mile - Downtown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
14	PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
17	PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
15	PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
23	PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
24	PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
6	PerSquare Mile - University Park North	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
18	PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
8	PerSquare Mile - Westlake	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
9	PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
21	Rolland Curtis Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
2	Saint James Park	0.90	Mini Park	Cen/East	
3	San Julian Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	12.52	Community Park	Cen/East	
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	
10	Valencia Triangle	0.06	Mini Park	Cen/East	
19	Vermont Miracle Park	0.22	Mini Park	South	
SECOND PRIORITY					
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	

LEARN HOW YOUR PARK WAS CLASSIFIED: CHAPTER 10

내 공원이 어떻게 분류되었는지 알아보세요: 제10장



Learn how each park and park site is classified by **size, type, and function** to help provide guidelines to meet current and future needs.

각 공원과 공원 부지가 규모, 유형, 기능에 따라 어떻게 분류되는지 확인하여 현재와 미래의 필요를 충족하기 위한 지침을 제공합니다.

LA Park Needs Assessment

HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK!

PNA 활용 방법 - 나의 공원을 찾아보세요

Start here to find your park or park site in the Universe of Sites table!

사이트 전체목록 표에서 내 공원이나 공원 부지를 찾으려면 여기서 시작하세요!

FIRST PRIORITY					
Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score
22	105th Street Pocket Park	0.11	Mini Park	South	
1	11th Avenue Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	
5	97th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
11	Arts District Park	0.51	Mini Park	Cen/East	
25	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	1.53	Neighborhood Park	Valley	
12	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	6.22	Greenway	Valley	
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	2.02	Community School Park	Cen/East	
13	Little Green Acres Park	0.23	Mini Park	South	
7	PerSquare Mile - Downtown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
14	PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
17	PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
15	PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central	3.00	Prospective Site	South	
23	PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
24	PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
6	PerSquare Mile - University Park North	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
18	PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	3.00	Prospective Site	Valley	
8	PerSquare Mile - Westlake	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
9	PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown	3.00	Prospective Site	Cen/East	
21	Rolland Curtis Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
2	Saint James Park	0.90	Mini Park	Cen/East	
3	San Julian Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	12.52	Community Park	Cen/East	
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	
10	Valencia Triangle	0.06	Mini Park	Cen/East	
19	Vermont Miracle Park	0.22	Mini Park	South	

SECOND PRIORITY					
39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	

LEARN WHAT GUIDELINES APPLY: CHAPTER 11

어떤 지침이 적용되는지 알아보세요: 제11장

INFRASTRUCTURE

1

WATER CONSERVATION

Promote water conservation through appropriate low water use features in the design of landscaping and park amenities.

Follow the local water efficiency ordinance and consider additional ways to conserve water at park facilities. Considerations such as implementing drought tolerant and native plantings and water-efficient irrigation designs will help reduce local water use. Track requirements of Assembly Bill 1572 to remove non-functional turf at park facilities.

2

REGIONAL WATER PARTNERSHIPS

Identify regional opportunities at park facilities through partnerships to contribute to local sustainable water supplies, mitigate flood risk, and improve water quality.

Capturing stormwater and dry weather runoff at park facilities may support multiple benefits including increasing local water supply, improving water quality in waterways, and mitigating flood risk.

As large open space areas in an urban landscape, parks offer opportunities to divert and capture stormwater and urban runoff through the implementation of infiltration facilities to recharge groundwater, capture and use facilities for a local source of water supply, and diversion to downstream regional water recycling systems. Local flooding may also be mitigated through diverting stormwater flows to park facilities. Additional funding may be available to implement stormwater capture systems at a regional scale through partnerships with other City agencies and the County.

3

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Plan for effective stormwater drainage, Low Impact Development (LID) Best Management Practices (BMPs), and flood risk early in park site design.

Follow local stormwater and flood control requirements for effective on-site stormwater controls. Incorporating LID BMPs is required when 500 square feet or more of impervious surfaces within parks such as sidewalks, parking lots, and buildings are added or replaced. Additional flood mitigation controls may be required in certain locations.

Drainage, water quality, and flood management should be discussed early in the design process to improve local drainage and downstream water quality, as well as ease of access and maintenance. Considerations could include the footprint of required LID BMPs with overall park design, cost effective drainage design, and peak flood flow management features.

4

BIKE PARKING

Provide adequate places for users to secure their bikes.

Bike parking should be in visible and convenient places in parks and near recreation facilities. In order to make bike racks accessible, they should be installed within at least 50 feet of a facility's entrance. This ensures accessibility, safety, and security while reducing the potential for bikes getting locked to trees, signposts, handrails, fences, and other non-rack structures.

5

TRANSIT STOP

Connect parks and recreation facilities to transit.

As with trails, transit users are park and recreation facility users and vice versa. Particular types of parks, such as plazas, may relate directly to a bus stop or to a rideshare drop-off, providing a sense of place and spaces to welcome and send off visitors.

6

SHARED PARKING

Pursue shared parking strategies to eliminate or reduce on-site surface parking.

Particularly in higher density areas, where space is at a premium and where parks and recreation facilities abut each other or other public facilities, on-site surface parking is difficult to justify. In addition to on-street parking, shared parking may be a better option than losing valuable on-site area to parking.

7

ON-SITE PARKING

When needed, integrate on-site parking with park and recreation facility site design.

On larger sites, like regional and community parks, on-site parking should be thoughtfully integrated with the site and natural elements. Green infrastructure elements and canopy trees should be included to help reduce the impact of parking on stormwater and urban heat island effects.

8

ACCESSIBLE VAN PARKING AND DROP-OFF

Provide adequate spaces for accessible parking and drop-off.

Parks should have designated areas for van parking and drop-off in accordance with ADA guidelines as well as accessible paths to park facilities from these areas. This ensures all users have safe and equitable access to all park amenities.

9

SAFE PEDESTRIAN ACCESS

Provide adequate sidewalks and safe pedestrian crossings.

While street frontage can encourage usage and increase safety, too much vehicular traffic can deter pedestrians. Sidewalks and marked, safe crossings, whether at intersections or mid-block, encourage access and allow pedestrians to feel comfortable that they are protected.

10

SUPPORT FACILITIES

Provide facilities that support the use of parks and recreation facilities.

In order for parks and recreation facilities to function optimally, it is critical to include facilities, such as restrooms, water fountains, electricity, and Wi-Fi to support their use. These facilities should be open and maintained more consistently. Appropriate support facilities may vary by park or facility type.

11

MAINTENANCE FACILITIES

Consider areas for facilities that support maintenance needs.

On larger sites, like regional parks, it may be beneficial to store necessary equipment to make maintaining and caring for a park easier. These maintenance facilities may also serve as satellite storage areas to optimize maintenance of other nearby parks.

282 CHAPTER 3 ENGAGEMENT

DRAFT

DRAFT

LA PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT 285

Find best practices for **site planning, amenities, and level of service standards** for different park classifications.

부지 계획, 편의시설, 다양한 공원 분류에 따른 서비스 수준 기준에 대한 모범 사례를 찾아보세요.

HOW TO USE THE PNA - FIND YOUR PARK! PNA 활용 방법 - 나의 공원을 찾아보세요



**사이트 전체 목록
표에서 내 공원이나
공원 부지를 찾으려면
여기서 시작하세요!**



39	111th Place Pocket Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	1.96	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
123	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	0.48	Single Purpose Site	South	
119	49th Street Pocket Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
93	61st Street Pocket Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	
67	6th & Gladys Street Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	
68	76th Street Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	
154	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	16.46	Community Park	South	
29	Aliso Triangle	0.04	Mini Park	Cen/East	
155	Alpine Recreation Center	1.94	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	0.91	Mini Park	Cen/East	
81	Amistad Park	0.14	Mini Park	Valley	
170	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	
61	Angeles Mesa Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	
101	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	0.73	Mini Park	Cen/East	
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	8.12	Large Neighborhood Park	South	
171	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	
102	Boyle Heights Sports Center	8.51	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	
62	Brooklyn Heights Park	0.20	Mini Park	Cen/East	
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	2.37	Community School Park	Valley	
148	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	0.77	Single Purpose Site	Valley	
149	Carlton Way Park	0.19	Mini Park	Cen/East	
40	Central Avenue Jazz Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	
156	Central Recreation Center	1.45	Neighborhood Park	South	
113	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	0.84	Single Purpose Site	South	



도시의 각 지역마다 고유한 지역사회 필요와 과제를 탐색하고 이해해 보세요.

GUIDELINES AND CLASSIFICATIONS

지침 및 분류

LEVEL OF SERVICE

서비스 수준

Population-based standards | 인구 기준 표준

How many amenities per 1,000 people?

인구 1,000명당 몇 개의 편의시설이 필요한가?

CLASSIFICATIONS

분류

Size | 규모

What is the range in acreage/sq ft?

면적/제곱피트 범위는 어느 정도인가?

Visit length | 방문 시간

How long should someone stay?

얼마 동안 머물러야 하는가?

Parking | 주차

Is there parking? If so, on site...on street?

주차장이 있는가? 있다면 현장에... 도로에?

Type of amenities | 편의시설 유형

What should it/should it not have?

무엇이 있어야 하고 없어야 하는가?

Design | 설계

What design principles apply?

어떤 설계 원칙이 적용되는가?


Developed/Natural | 개발/자연

How much land for each?


각각의 토지는 얼마나 배분되는가?

BOTH HELP TO SET MUTUAL EXPECTATIONS

이 둘은 상호 기대치를 설정하는 데 도움을 줍니다.



**NEIGHBORHOOD
PARKS**
근린 공원



**COMMUNITY
PARKS**
커뮤니티 공원



**REGIONAL
PARKS**
지역 공원

TYPICAL SIZE
일반적인 규모

1 - 5 acres

15 - 20 acres

50+ acres

EXAMPLES
예시

**Westside
Neighborhood
Park**

**Sycamore
Grove Park**


Griffith Park

LA Park Needs Assessment


EXISTING CLASSIFICATIONS | 기존 분류

**PREVIOUSLY ALL
NEIGHBORHOOD
PARKS**

이전에는 모두 근린 공원



105th St Pocket Park
Mini Park
0.11 acres




**Mary McLeod Bethune
Middle School (CSP)**
Community School Park
8.2 acres




Bee Canyon Park
Canyon Park
22 acres

**PREVIOUSLY ALL
REGIONAL PARKS**

이전에는 모두 지역 공원



Little Landers Park
Historic Landmark Site
1.1 acres



Stoney Point Park
Community Nature Park
29 acres



Venice Beach
Beach
161 acres

LA Park Needs Assessment

PROPOSED CLASSIFICATIONS | 제안된 분류

CHARACTERISTICS | 특성

NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS 동네 공원		COMMUNITY PARKS 커뮤니티 공원		REGIONAL PARKS 지역 공원	
MINI PARK 소형 공원	SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARK 소규모 근린 공원	COMMUNITY PARK 커뮤니티 공원	LARGE COMMUNITY PARK 대형 커뮤니티 공원	REGIONAL PARK 지역 공원	REGIONAL NATURE PARK 지역 자연 공원
TYP. SIZE: < 1 ACRE TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 0.25 - 1 HR	TYP. SIZE: 1-3 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 0.5 - 1.5 HRS	TYP. SIZE: 10 - 20 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 2 HRS	TYP. SIZE: 20 - 40 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 2 - 3 HRS	TYP. SIZE: 40+ ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 4 HRS	TYP. SIZE: 40+ ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 4 HRS
NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK 근린 자연 공원	LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK 대형 근린 공원	COMMUNITY NATURE PARK 커뮤니티 자연 공원			
TYP. SIZE: < 10 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 0.25 - 2 HRS	TYP. SIZE: 3 - 10 ACRE TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 2 HRS	TYP. SIZE: 10 - 40 ACRES TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT: 1 - 3 HRS			

LA Park Needs Assessment

PROPOSED CLASSIFICATIONS | 제안된 분류

CHARACTERISTICS | 특성

OTHER PARKS
기타 공원

HISTORIC
LANDMARK SITE
역사적랜드마크 부지

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 3 HRS

LINEAR PARKS/GREENWAYS
선형 공원/그린웨이

GREENWAY
그린웨이

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
0.5 - 1 HRS

LINEAR PARK
선형 공원

TYP. SIZE: < 20 ACRES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 2 HRS

CANYON PARK
협곡 공원

TYP. SIZE: 20+ ACRES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 4 HRS

SCHOOL-RELATED SITES
학교 관련 부지

COMMUNITY
SCHOOL PARK
커뮤니티 스쿨 공원

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
0.5 - 1 HRS

SCHOOL POOL
학교 수영장

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 2 HRS

OTHER FACILITIES
기타 시설

BEACH
해변

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
1 - 5 HRS

MOUNTAIN CAMP
산 캠프

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
VARIES

SINGLE-PURPOSE
SITE
단일 목적 부지

TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
VARIES

GOLF
골프


TYP. SIZE: VARIES
TYP. LENGTH OF VISIT:
VARIES



EXAMPLE!
예시!

BASKETBALL HOOPS

Population-Based Standard



The current number of amenities and the number to be added or reduced/removed by 2050.

현재 편의시설의 수와 2050년까지 추가되거나 축소/제거되어야 할 수.

Whether the recommended level of service (LOS) is higher or lower than the current level of service.

권장 서비스 수준이 현재 서비스 수준보다 높은지 낮은지 여부.

The data that was used to build the recommended LOS. These data points are the peer median level of service, priority investment rating, and 5-year national participation change.

권장 서비스 수준을 구축하는 데 사용된 데이터입니다. 이러한 데이터 포인트에는 유사 도시의 서비스 수준 중앙값, 우선 투자 등급, 그리고 5년간의 전국 참여 변화가 포함됩니다.



How the above data points inform the recommended LOS. For example, if the peer median LOS is greater than Los Angeles, it suggests raising the LOS.

위 데이터 포인트들이 권장 서비스 수준을 어떻게 도출하는지. 예를 들어, 유사 도시의 서비스 수준 중앙값이 로스앤젤레스보다 크다면, 서비스 수준을 높여야 함을 시사합니다.

BUDGET DATA AND COST ESTIMATES

예산 데이터 및 비용 추정

ECONOMICS PROCESS | 경제적 과정

THE PNA IS CONSIDERING HOW VARIOUS COSTS TIE TO THE OVERALL ECONOMICS OF LA PARKS.
PNA는 다양한 비용이 로스앤젤레스 공원의 전체 경제와 어떻게 연관되는지를 고려하고 있습니다.



EVALUATE BUDGET
AND STAFFING DATA

예산 및 인력 데이터를
평가합니다.



BENCHMARK LA RAP
SYSTEM BUDGET AND
STAFFING AGAINST
PEER CITIES

로스앤젤레스 RAP 시스템의
예산 및 인력을 유사한
도시와 비교합니다.



IDENTIFY EXISTING
FUNDING GAPS

기존 자원 격차를
인식합니다.



USE COST ESTIMATES
TO SIZE CAPITAL
AND OPERATIONS
& MAINTENANCE
FUNDING NEEDS

자본 및 운영 유지 관리에 필요한
비용 추정을 사용합니다.



IDENTIFY POTENTIAL
FUNDING SOURCES

SUPPORT RAP ON
POTENTIAL FUNDING
MECHANISM
STRATEGIES

잠재적인 자금원을
인식합니다

잠재적인 자금 조달 방법
전략에 대해 RAP를
지원합니다.

OVERALL APPROACH | 전체적 접근

THE PNA WILL CREATE A BUDGET ESTIMATE FOR SYSTEM-WIDE IMPROVEMENTS
PNA는 시스템 전체 개선을 위한 예산 추정치를 산출할 것입니다.

COSTS FOR RENOVATION OF EXISTING AMENITIES

기존 편의시설의 개보수 비용



COSTS FOR OPERATIONS, EXPENSES, AND PERSONNEL

운영, 경비 및 인력에 대한 비용

COSTS FOR TYPICAL PARK AMENITIES

일반적인 공원 편의시설 비용

COSTS FOR PURCHASE OF NEW FACILITIES/PARK ACRES

신규 시설/공원 면적 매입 비용

CAPITAL INVESTMENTS

자본 투자

O&M

O&M



편의시설이 양호한 상태이며 기능을 하고 있지만 경미하거나 중간 정도의 수리가 필요함.



An amenity is in **poor condition** and is largely unusable and **requires major repairs** to be functional.

편의시설이 열악한 상태로 거의 사용할 수 없으며, 기능을 회복하기 위해 대규모 수리가 필요함.



A facility is **identified as a need** in the overall system and is considered a **new build**.

전체 시스템에서 필요로 확인된 시설로, 신규 건설로 간주됨

LA Park Needs Assessment

CAPITAL INVESTMENTS | 자본 투자

APPROACH | 접근 방식

	MINOR REFRESH 소규모 개보수	MAJOR REFRESH 대규모 개보수	NEW BUILD 신규 건설
PARK FACILITIES 공원 시설	\$	\$\$	\$\$\$
ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS 건축 요소	\$	\$\$	\$\$\$
INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS 인프라 요소		\$\$	\$\$\$
NEW PARK FACILITIES & ACQUISITION 신규 공원 시설 및 취득			\$\$\$

OPERATING COSTS | 운영 비용

THREE-PRONG APPROACH | 3단계 접근 방식

PERSONNEL | 인력

To estimate future staffing needs and associated personnel costs, the Consultant Team used three methods and averaged among them.

향후 인력 수요와 관련 인건비를 추정하기 위해, 컨설턴트 팀은 세 가지 방법을 사용하고 그 평균을 적용했습니다.

1. Increase capacity and level of service by 1.5 times (150%) to meet anticipated demand and elevate overall service delivery.

1. 예상되는 수요를 충족하고 전체 서비스 제공 수준을 높이기 위해 수용력과 서비스 수준을 1.5배(150%) 확대.

2. Return staffing to RAP’s prior, known peak in FY2008 (139% for FTEs, 258% for PTEs). RAP has not returned to pre-recession staffing levels. Restoring full-time employee counts to the FY2008 peak would require a 139% increase. Restoring part-time employee counts would require a 258% increase.

2. 2008 회계연도RAP의 이전 최고치로 인력을 복원 (정규직 139%, 시간제 258%). RAP는 경기 침체 이전 인력 수준으로 복귀하지 못했습니다. 정규직 인원을 2008년 최고치로 복원하려면 139% 증가가 필요합니다. 시간제 인원을 복원하려면 258% 증가가 필요합니다.

3. Increase staff per acre to align with peer systems (200%). Based on the average staff per acre against of peer park systems, RAP would need to increase staff capacity by 200% to meet the service level of peers.

3. 에이커당 인력을 동종 시스템과 맞추기 위해 확대 (200%). 유사 공원 시스템의 에이커당 평균 인력 기준에 따라, RAP는 동종 도시의 서비스 수준을 충족하기 위해 인력 수용력을 200% 늘려야 합니다.

EXPENSES | 경비

To estimate associated expenses, the Consultant Team applied a salary-to-expense ratio of 20%, based on the historical average from RAP’s FY2015–FY2025 budgets.

관련 경비를 추정하기 위해, 컨설턴트 팀은 RAP의 2015–2025 회계연도 예산의 역사적 평균에 근거하여 급여 대비 경비 비율을 20% 적용했습니다.

COST TOTALS (WITHOUT ESCALATION) | 총비용 (물가상승 반영 전)

ONE TIME CAPITAL NEED (IN 2025 DOLLARS)

INCLUDES DEFERRED MAINTENANCE

일회성 자본 필요 (2025년 달러 기준)
이연 유지보수 포함

~\$15B

ANNUAL OPERATING NEEDS*

STAFFING, OPERATIONS, GENERAL FUND
REIMBURSEMENT

연간 운영 필요*
인력, 운영, 일반기금 상환

~\$525-
\$625M

*THIS REPRESENTS THE TOTAL ANNUAL NEED. THE 2025 RAP BUDGET WAS ~\$350M.

*이는 연간 총 필요액을 의미합니다. 2025년 RAP 예산은 약 3억 5,000만 달러였습니다.

NOTE: TOTALS ARE BASED ON COST ESTIMATES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE ESCALATION AND ARE IN 2025 DOLLARS.

참고: 총액은 물가상승분이 포함되지 않은 비용 추정치이며 2025년 달러 기준입니다.

COST TOTALS (WITHOUT ESCALATION) | 총비용 (물가상승 반영 전)

BREAKING IT DOWN: WHAT'S IN \$15B | 세부 내역: 150억 달러의 구성

ONE TIME CAPITAL NEED (IN 2025 DOLLARS)

INCLUDES DEFERRED MAINTENANCE

일회성 자본 필요 (2025년 달러 기준)
이연 유지보수 포함

~\$15B

Deferred Maintenance
이연 유지보수

~\$2.6B

Level of Service Goals

New facilities and acres to meet peer city levels

서비스 수준 목표
유사 도시 수준을 충족하기 위한 신규 시설 및 면적
약 121억 달

~\$12.1B

NOTE: TOTALS ARE BASED ON COST ESTIMATES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE ESCALATION AND ARE IN 2025 DOLLARS.
참고: 총액은 물가상승분이 포함되지 않은 비용 추정치이며 2025년 달러 기준입니다.

COST TOTALS (WITHOUT ESCALATION) | 총비용 (물가상승 반영 전) (EUY4ULU8UULU)

BREAKING IT DOWN: WHAT'S IN \$525-\$625M | 세부 내역: 5억 2,500만-6억 2,500만 달러의 구성

ANNUAL OPERATING NEEDS*
STAFFING, OPERATIONS, GENERAL FUND
REIMBURSEMENT

연간 운영 필요*
인력, 운영, 일반기금 상환

~\$525-
\$625M

Total for Staff Increases to Meet Staffing Gap

Year 1

인력 격차 해소를 위한 인력 증원 총액

1년 차

~\$322M

Total for Expenses

Year 1

경비 총액

1년 차

~\$68M

General Fund Reimbursements
일반기금 상환

UP TO \$220M

*THIS REPRESENTS THE TOTAL ANNUAL NEED. THE 2025 RAP BUDGET WAS ~\$350M.

*이는 연간 총 필요액을 의미합니다. 2025년 RAP 예산은 약 3억 5,000만 달러였습니다.

NOTE: TOTALS ARE BASED ON COST ESTIMATES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE ESCALATION AND ARE IN 2025 DOLLARS.

참고: 총액은 물가상승분이 포함되지 않은 비용 추정치이며 2025년 달러 기준입니다.

FUNDING STRATEGY RECOMMENDATIONS | 자원 전략 권고

RAP-LED FUNDING STRATEGIES + STRATEGIES REQUIRING PARTNERSHIPS

RAP 주도 자원 전략 + 협력이 필요한 전략

RAP-LED FUNDING STRATEGIES
RAP 주도 자원 전략

INCREASE EARNED-REVENUE GENERATION
수익 창출 확대

- PARKING
- CONCESSIONS
- SPONSORSHIP

LEVERAGE COUNTY, STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDING
카운티, 주 및 연방 자금 활용 출처

- MEASURE W
- MEASURE A
- PROP 4
- PROP O

EXPAND PARTNERSHIPS WITH NON-PROFITS AND BUILD A CONSERVANCY MODEL
비영리 단체와의 파트너십 확대 및 보전 모델 구축

STRATEGIES REQUIRING PARTNERSHIPS
협력이 필요한 전략

INCREASE THE CHARTER MANDATED ALLOCATION OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUE TO RAP
현장에 의해 규정된 RAP의 재산세 수입 배분 확대

EVALUATE A NEW PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT
신규 재산세 평가 검토

- PROP K SUCCESSOR
- COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT

EVALUATE SALES TAXES
판매세 검토

EVALUATE CITY BOND OPTIONS
시 채권 옵션 검토

PRIORITIZATION UPDATES

우선 순위 업데이트

SITE-BASED EVALUATION FRAMEWORK | 현장 기반 평가 프레임워크




부지 전체 목록 결정



우선순위 부지 선정



활용 가능한 도구 파악




CITY AND COUNTY METRICS
시 및 카운티 지표

RESILIENCY METRICS
시 및 카운티 지표

EQUITY METRICS
형평성 지표

PNA METRICS
PNA 지표

EXISTING AND
POTENTIAL PARKS
잠재적 공원



PARK PRIORITIZATION STRATEGY | 공원 우선순위 전략


UPDATES TO THE THE CRITERIA FOR SITE-BASED EVALUATION ARE SHOWN BELOW. THESE WERE BASED ON DISCUSSIONS WITH THE STEERING COMMITTEE, RAP, AND WITHIN THE CONSULTANT TEAM.
부지 기반 평가 기준에 대한 업데이트가 아래에 제시되어 있습니다. 이는 운영위원회, RAP, 그리고 컨설턴트 팀 내부의 논의를 바탕으로 한 것입니다.

	Rec and Parks 레크리에이션 및 공원	Equity 형평성	Resiliency 회복력	City/County 시/카운티
Highest Weight	<div><div></div><div><div>Park Pressure</div><div>공원 이용 밀도</div><div>Measured Walkability</div><div>도보 접근성 (측정값 기준)</div><div>Parks Condition Assessment</div><div>공원 상태 평가</div></div><div>RAISED</div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>PNA Equity Score</div><div>CES or LAEI or SB535 DC</div><div>PNA 형평성 점수 (CES 또는 LAEI 또는 SB535 취약지)</div><div>Low Shade Cover</div><div>그늘 공간의 가용성</div></div><div>RENAMED</div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Climate Vulnerability</div><div>기후 취약성</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Perceived Park Safety</div><div>인지되는 공원 안전도</div></div></div>
		<div><div></div><div><div>Criminalization Burden</div><div>형사처벌 부담</div><div>Capital Improvement History</div><div>자본 개선 이력</div><div>Extreme Heat Risk</div><div>극심한 열 위험</div><div>Lack of Private Open Space</div><div>민간 개방 공간의 이용 가능성</div></div><div>NEW</div><div>RENAMED</div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Biodiversity + Habitat Conservation</div><div>생물다양성과 서식지 보존</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Metro Corridors</div><div>메트로 코리더</div></div></div>
Lowest Weight	<div><div></div><div><div>Parks Physical Condition</div><div>공원의 물리적 상태</div><div>Rec Centers Physical Condition</div><div>레크리에이션 센터의 물리적 상태</div><div>Perceived Walkability</div><div>인지되는 도보 접근성</div><div>Community Priority Amenities</div><div>지역 우선 편의시설</div><div>Park Visitation</div><div>공원 방문율</div><div>MyLA311 Requests</div><div>MyLA311 요청 건수</div></div><div>LOWERED</div><div>LOWERED</div><div>LOWERED</div></div>		<div><div></div><div><div>Habitat Connectivity</div><div>서식지 연결성</div><div>Tree Species Composition</div><div>나무 종류 구성</div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div><div>Infiltration and Recharge Opp.</div><div>침투 및 지하수 충전 기회</div><div>Water Quality Priority</div><div>수질 우선순위</div><div>LA County PNA</div><div>LA 카운티 PNA</div></div></div>
				<div><div></div><div><div>Legend</div><div><div></div> Uses 2050 Population Projections</div><div><div></div> Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results</div></div></div>

PARK PRIORITIZATION STRATEGY | 공원 우선순위 전략

ADDITIONALLY, DUE TO THE MULTI-BENEFIT NATURE OF MANY OF THE CRITERIA, THE FOUR OVER-ARCHING CATEGORIES HAVE BEEN USED INSTEAD TO FLAG APPLICABLE CRITERIA AS SHOWN BELOW.

또한, 많은 기준이 다중 혜택적 성격을 가지므로, 적용 가능한 기준을 표시하기 위해 아래와 같이 네 가지 상위 범주가 대신 사용되었습니다.



COMPOSITE SCORE

종합 점수


THE COMPOSITE SCORE SHOWS HIGH PRIORITY
SITES CLUSTERED IN EAST, CENTRAL, AND SOUTH
LA AS WELL AS THE SOUTHERN AND EASTERN SAN
FERNANDO VALLEY.

종합 점수는 동부, 중부, 남부 LA와 샌퍼낸도
밸리 남부 및 동부에 고우선순위 부지들이
집중되어 있음을 보여줍니다.

Legend

-  RAP Site
-  Prospective Site
-  First Priority
-  Second Priority
-  Third Priority
-  Fourth Priority
-  Fifth Priority


OLIN Draft Site Based Evaluation, City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks, LA Park Needs Assessment, 30 June 2025.
Source: OLIN with data from the City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks (Park Conditions Assessment Data, Park Amenities, CIP Data , Tree Species and Locations, Park Amenities, Park Sites), PNA Statistically Valid Survey, City of LA Data Portal (MyLA311 Requests), PlacerAI (Park Visitation), LA County County-wide Address Management System (Walkshed Road Segments, 2024), SCAG (Population Projections 2050, SED TAZ-Tier2-Level Estimates), CA OEH (CalEnviroScreen-4.0, SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities), LA Controller (LA Equity Index), Catalyst California (JENI Index, Criminilization Risk), Tree People Center for Urban Resilience (Tree Canopy Cover), City of LA Office of Forestry Management (Park Tree Canopy Cover), LA Couny CSO (LA County Climate Vulnerability Assessment), LASAN (Biodiversity Index Baseline Report, Habitat Quality and Habitat Connectivity), LA County Metro (Metro and MetroLink Locations), LA Department of Water and Power (Stormwater Capture Master Plan Geophysical Categories for Infiltration), LA County Public Works (Integrated regional Water Management Plan, Water Quality Priority Areas), LA County Parks and Recreation (LAC Park Needs. 2016 GreenInfo Network (Prospective Sites), 2025.




LA Park Needs Assessment

COMPOSITE PRIORITIZATION SCORE | 종합 우선순위 점수

SITE BASED EVALUATION | 부지 기반 평가



VERSION DATED: 30 JUN 2025



LA Park Needs Assessment

HIGHEST PRIORITY SITES | 최우선 부지

VERSION DATED: 30 JUN 2025

105TH STREET
POCKET PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park


11TH AVENUE
PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

97TH STREET
POCKET PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

ARTS DISTRICT
PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Mini Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - N HIST
SOUTH CENTRAL



Region: South

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - NORTH
HOLLYWOOD



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - PICO-
UNION



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park


PERSQUARE MILE
- WESTLAKE-
KOREATOWN



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

CABALLERO
CREEK
CONFLUENCE
PARK



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

LEO POLITI
ELEMENTARY
SCHOOL (CSP)



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Community School Park


LITTLE GREEN
ACRES PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Specialty Facility

LAR GREENWAY
- MASON TO
VANALDEN



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Greenway

ROLLAND
CURTIS PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

SAINT JAMES
PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Mini Park

SAN JULIAN
PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Specialty Facility

SIXTH STREET
VIADUCT PARK



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Community Park

PERSQUARE
MILE -
WESTLAKE



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE -
DOWNTOWN



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - EAST
VERMONT
SQUARE



Region: South

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE MILE
- EXPOSITION
PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park

PERSQUARE
MILE - VAN NUYS
VALLEY GLEN



Region: Valley

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park


PERSQUARE MILE
- UNIVERSITY
PARK NORTH



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Neighborhood Park


SOUTH
VICTORIA
AVENUE PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

VALENCIA
TRIANGLE



Region: Central/East

PNA Classification: Mini Park

VERMONT
MIRACLE PARK



Region: South

PNA Classification: Mini Park

NEXT STEPS AND UPCOMING DATES

다음 단계 및
다가오는 일정

ENGAGEMENT

참여

Upcoming Phase 3 engagement events across the City!

도시 전역에서 진행될 예정인
3단계 참여 행사!

COMMUNITY MEETINGS

커뮤니티 미팅

Community Meeting #1: Traditional In-Person Open House

커뮤니티 미팅 #1: 전통적 대면 오픈 하우스

4 September, 2025 | *Bellevue Rec Center*

Community Meeting #2: Traditional In-Person Open House

커뮤니티 미팅 #2: 전통적 대면 오픈 하우스

6 September, 2025 | *Westwood Rec Center*

Community Meeting #3: Virtual Open House

커뮤니티 미팅 #3: 온라인 오픈 하우스

9 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

Community Meeting #4: Deep Dive: Budget, Cost Estimates, and Decision Making

커뮤니티 미팅 #4: 심층 토론 – 예산, 비용 추정, 의사결정

10 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

Community Meeting #5: Deep Dive: Classifications, Level of Service, and Guidelines

커뮤니티 미팅 #5: 심층 토론 – 분류, 서비스 수준, 지침

11 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

Community Meeting #6: Deep Dive: Site Prioritization

커뮤니티 미팅 #6: 심층 토론 – 부지 우선순위

18 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

ENGAGEMENT

참여

Upcoming Phase 3
engagement events
across the City!

도시 전역에서 진행될 예정인
3단계 참여 행사!

TRIBAL OUTREACH

부족 아웃리치

LA City County NAIC Listening Session
9 September, 2025 | *Virtual*

EQUITY GROUP SESSIONS

형평성 그룹 세션

Equity-Focused Workshop |

형평성 중심 워크숍

9 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Highland Park Recreation Center Playground

Equity-Focused Workshop |

형평성 중심 워크숍

10 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Augustus F. Hawkins Nature Park

Equity-Focused Workshop |

형평성 중심 워크숍

23 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Balboa Sports Complex

Equity-Focused Workshop |

형평성 중심 워크숍

24 September, 2025 | 10am-12pm

Virtual

STEERING COMMITTEE

운영위원회

Agency leaders, public
officials, and members of
the public help guide the
process!

기관 책임자, 공직자, 그리고
주민들이 이 과정을 이끌어가는 데
도움을 줍니다!

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETINGS

운영위원회 회의

Steering Committee Meeting #6

September 16, 2025

Expo Center

Steering Committee Meeting #7

November 18, 2025

Expo Center

WEBSITE

웹사이트


The project website will be updated with the draft PNA for the public to review!

프로젝트 웹사이트가 업데이트되어 주민들이 검토할 수 있도록 PNA 초안이 게시될 예정입니다!

Each chapter of the PNA will live on the website and link to other chapters.

PNA의 각 장은 웹사이트에 게시되며 다른 장과 연결됩니다.

needs.parks.lacity.gov





FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT | 자세한 정보를 원하시면 연락하세요
CITY OF LOS ANGELES | DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

Email: LACityParksNeeds@theolinstudio.com

Website: needs.parks.lacity.gov

 **@LACityParksNeeds**

OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE