

PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Welcome!

Come review major
components of the **Draft**
Park Needs Assessment and
provide your feedback!

欢迎！

来审查公园需求评估草案的主要内容
并提供您的反馈！！



COMMUNITY MEETING GUIDELINES

社区会议指南



Your input and feedback are of the utmost importance to us, and to share your ideas effectively we are asking for your assistance. During this community meeting please:

- Turn your cell phone or other mobile devices off or set to silent mode.
- Respect the meeting format and give everyone a chance to speak with members of the project team.
- Listen respectfully. Do not interrupt others and leave space for other community members to address their concerns.
- Be patient with other community members.
- Treat ALL with respect both during and after the meeting.
- Be respectful of the space and clean up any debris you may have left behind.
- Address all project-related comments and questions to project team members – not to other attendees.
- Do not block the view of other participants.

We are committed to ensuring that all participants can clearly ask questions, share ideas, comments, and concerns about the LA Park Needs Assessment.

We reserve the right to end the meeting at any point if we are unable to conduct a meeting that adheres to these guidelines and standards

您的意见和反馈对我们至关重要，为了有效分享您的想法，我们希望得到您的协助。在本次社区会议期间，请：

- 关闭手机或其他移动设备，或设置为静音模式。
- 尊重会议形式，让每个人都有机会与项目团队成员交谈。
- 尊重他人。不要打断他人的发言，给其他社区成员留出发言的空间。
- 对其他社区成员要有耐心。
- 在会议期间和会后都要尊重所有人。
- 尊重会场，清理可能留下的任何杂物。
- 向项目团队成员而不是其他与会者提出所有与项目相关的意见和问题。
- 不要遮挡其他与会者的视线。

我们致力于确保所有与会者都能清楚地就洛杉矶公园需求评估提出问题、分享想法、意见和疑虑。

如果我们无法按照这些准则和标准举行会议，我们保留在任何时候结束会议的权利。

ABOUT THE PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT

关于公园需求评估



The City of Los Angeles is undertaking a Park Needs Assessment to evaluate how well the City's nearly 16,000 acres of public parkland and amenities are serving Angelenos.

We need **YOUR input** to help determine park and recreation priorities!

洛杉矶市正在进行公园需求评估，以评估该市近 16,000 英亩的公共公园和设施为安吉拉人提供服务的情况。

我们需要您的意见来帮助确定公园和娱乐活动的优先事项！

To learn more, visit **needs.parks.lacity.gov** or scan the QR code here!

要了解更多信息，请访问 needs.parks.lacity.gov 或扫描此处的二维码！

Where are we in the process?
我们在这个过程中处于什么位置？



TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF!

谈谈你自己吧！



Place a dot in each column. 在符合你的栏中点上一个小圆点。

What generation do you belong to? 您属于哪一代人？

The Silent Generation	(1909 – 1945)	静默一代
Baby Boomers	(1946 – 1964)	婴儿潮一代
Gen X	(1965 – 1980)	X 世代
Millennials	(1981 – 1996)	千禧世代
Gen Z	(1997 – 2012)	Z 世代
Generation Alpha	(2013 – 2025)	阿尔法世代

How long have you lived in the City of Los Angeles? 您在洛杉矶市居住了多久？

5 years or less		5年及以下
6 – 10 years		6-10 年
11 – 15 years		11-15 年
16+ years		16年以上
Born & raised!		土生土长
I don't live in Los Angeles		我不住在洛杉矶

WELCOME TO THE PNA

欢迎来到公园需求评估 (PNA)



The PNA is organized into **five sections!**
公园需求评估 (PNA) 分为五个部分！

CONTEXT 背景

Context sets the stage for the PNA and goes through why this document is needed and how it will be used, and the vision for LA parks.

“背景”部分为 PNA 奠定基础，阐述了这份文件的必要性、使用方式，以及对洛杉矶公园的整体愿景。

- EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
执行摘要
- PLANNING CONTEXT
规划背景
- ENGAGEMENT
公众参与



RECREATION AND PARKS TODAY 今天的娱乐和公园

Recreation and Parks Today lays out an overview of RAP, including its historical development, current system, and budget structure.

“当今的休闲与公园”部分对洛杉矶市休闲与公园局作了整体概述，其中包括其历史发展、现有体系以及预算结构。

- HISTORY
历史
- RAP BY THE NUMBERS
数据看休闲与公园局
- CURRENT BUDGET AND FINANCE
当前预算与财务



COMMUNITY NEEDS 社区需求

Community Needs situates LA's park system against peer cities and identifies areas of need.

“社区需求”将洛杉矶的公园体系与同类城市进行对比，并识别出存在需求的领域。

- BENCHMARKING
基准对比
- SITE PRIORITIZATION
场地优先排序
- REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS
区域概览



GUIDELINES 指导方针

Guidelines provide a framework and tools for planning the future of RAP and the park system.

“指导方针”为洛杉矶市休闲与公园局及其公园体系的未来规划提供了框架和工具。

- CAPITAL LIFE CYCLE
资本生命周期
- ONGOING ENGAGEMENT
持续参与
- SITE PLANNING
场地规划
- LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS
服务水平标准
- PARK CLASSIFICATIONS
公园分类



IMPLEMENTATION 实施

Implementation outlines a path to realize the PNA vision and help guide decision making in the future.

实施部分勾画了实现 PNA 愿景的路径，并为未来的决策提供指导。


- COST AND FUNDING
成本和资金
- ACTION PLAN
行动计划



Park Pixels: Visualizing Park Data 公园像素：公园数据可视化

To address the challenge of visualizing over 500 parks and park facilities across the City, a ‘park pixel’ method was developed for the PNA to depict each park in a simplified format. This abstraction helps make complex data more accessible and enables a clearer visualization of the full park system at a citywide scale!

为应对全市 500 多个公园及公园设施可视化的挑战，PNA 开发了一种“公园像素”方法，用简化的形式呈现每个公园。这种抽象化处理有助于让复杂数据更易理解，并能够更清晰地在全市范围内展示完整的公园体系。



Each park is assigned to 1 pixel and located in its geographic region.

每个公园对应一个像素，并根据其地理位置分布在相应区域。



COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

社区参与



Community feedback from across LA has been foundational to the Park Needs Assessment. So far, over **72 in-person events have been held and over 100,000 Angelenos** have participated through direct engagement or online outreach!


来自洛杉矶各地的社区反馈是《公园需求评估》的基础。截至目前，已举办超过 72 场线下活动，超过 10 万名洛杉矶市民通过直接参与或在线交流方式提供了意见！



4,600+
Online Survey Responses




450+
Community Meeting Attendees



1,000+
Statistically Valid Survey Responses



11
Pop-Ups Around the City



10
Council District Briefings



17
Interest Group Meetings




12
Community Partner Organizations



3
Youth Workshops and Events

LESS THAN HALF OF RESPONDENTS FEEL THAT THERE ARE ENOUGH PARKS AND/OR RECREATION CENTER WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE OF THEIR HOME.

不到一半的受访者认为其住所附近有足够的公园和/或休闲中心可步行到达。



THE MOST IMPORTANT OUTDOOR FACILITIES:
最重要的户外设施:




UNPROGRAMMED GREEN SPACES
非规划绿地



NATURAL AREAS AND WILDLIFE HABITATS
自然区域和野生动物栖息地



SWIMMING POOL
游泳池



EXERCISE AND FITNESS EQUIPMENT
运动和健身器材



PAVED, MULTI-USE TRAILS (WALKING, JOGGING, BIKING)
铺砌的多用途步道 (步行、慢跑、骑自行车)



NON-PAVED, MULTI-USE TRAILS (WALKING, JOGGING, BIKING)
非铺砌的多用途步道 (步行、慢跑、骑自行车)




WALKING/JOGGING TRACK
步行/慢跑道



WEIGHT ROOM/GYM
举重室/健身房

AROUND 2/3 OF RESPONDENTS THINK PARKS ARE IN EXCELLENT OR GOOD CONDITION.

约三分之二的受访者认为公园的状况为优秀或良好。



THE MOST IMPORTANT PROGRAMS:
最重要的项目:



FITNESS/WELLNESS PROGRAM
健身/健康计划



SPECIAL EVENTS/FESTIVALS
特别活动/节日

PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS IS THE MOST COMMON REASON RESPONDENTS DO NOT VISIT.

受访者不去公园的最常见原因是遇到无家可归人员。

People experiencing homelessness there
那里的无家可归者
#1


Too far from our residence
离我们住的地方太远了
#2

Do not know where to go/what is offered
不知道去哪里/不知道提供什么
#3

Facilities are not well-maintained
设施维护不佳
#4

AROUND 60% OF RESPONDENTS THINK RECREATION CENTERS ARE IN EXCELLENT OR GOOD CONDITION.

约 60% 的受访者认为休闲中心的状况为优秀或良好。




NATURE EXPERIENCES OR ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION
自然体验或环境教育



ARTS AND CRAFTS CLASSES
艺术和手工艺课程

CLOSE TO 2/3 OF RESPONDENTS ARE SUPPORTIVE OF A BOND, TAX, OR LEVY TO FUND PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES.

接近三分之二的受访者支持通过债券、税收或附加费为公园和休闲设施提供资金。



TELL US HERE!
在这里告诉我们!


RECREATION AND PARKS HISTORY

休闲和公园历史



Significant events in the growth and development of the city of Los Angeles’ recreation and parks system.

洛杉矶市休闲与公园体系发展过程中具有重大意义的事件




RAP'S AUTHORITY FROM THE CITY CHARTER

洛杉矶市休闲与公园局依据《市宪章》的职权

While RAP has authority to manage its budget and much of what happens on recreation and park sites, some authority for recreation and parks is vested in other authorities.

虽然洛杉矶市休闲与公园局拥有管理其预算及大部分公园和休闲场所事务的权力，但部分公园和休闲事务的管理权仍归其他机构所有。



RAP BY THE NUMBERS

休闲与公园局数据概览

16,000+

ACRES OF PARKLAND

公园用地面积（英亩）

92

MILES OF TRAILS

小径长度（英里）

489
PARKS
公园

1,711
FULL-TIME
EMPLOYEES
全职员工

5,000
PART-TIME
EMPLOYEES
兼职员工

32 Skate Parks	5 Golf Courses	300 Diamond Fields	26 Amphitheaters	8 Community Gardens	617 Parking Lots
165 Recreation & Senior Centers	374 Outdoor Fitness Areas	446 Basketball Hoops	223 Picnic Shelters	11 Concession Stands	51.5 Pickleball Courts
447 Playgrounds	370.5 Tennis Courts	115 Fitness Zones	1 Bandshell	44 Equestrian Rings	3 Roller Hockey Rinks
59 Swimming Pools	13 Splash Pads	1,504 Bathrooms	89 Volleyball Courts	2 Disc Golf Courses	1 Nature Centers
12 Museums	14 Dog Parks	94 Gymnasium	235 Multipurpose Fields	101 Rectangular Fields	3 Childcare Buildings

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们！

CURRENT BUDGET AND FINANCE

当前预算和财务



Like many major cities since the Great Recession, Los Angeles struggles with **chronic underfunding of park maintenance**, limiting its ability to steward existing parks and facilities and fully realize the potential of these critical public spaces.

与许多自大衰退以来的主要城市一样，洛杉矶面临公园维护长期资金不足的问题，这限制了其管理现有公园和设施的能力，也阻碍了充分发挥这些关键公共空间潜力的可能性。

RAP'S OPERATING BUDGET 洛杉矶市休闲与公园局的运营预算

RAP'S \$359 MILLION OPERATING BUDGET IS CONSTRAINED BY GENERAL FUND REIMBURSEMENTS.

洛杉矶市休闲与公园局的 3.59 亿美元运营预算受到一般基金拨款限制。

RAP'S OPERATING BUDGET HAS INCREASED MORE SLOWLY THAN THE CITY BUDGET OVERALL.

洛杉矶市休闲与公园局的运营预算增长速度低于全市整体预算的增长速度。


Between FY2009 and FY2023, RAP's operating budget **grew by half as much** of the City's.

在 2009 财年至 2023 财年期间，洛杉矶市休闲与公园局的运营预算增长仅为全市预算增长的一半。

40%

of RAP's operating budget in FY2025-2026 is **allocated to the General Fund** to pay for staff benefits and utilities.

在 2025-2026 财年，洛杉矶市休闲与公园局 40% 的运营预算用于向一般基金支付员工福利和公用设施费用。



STAFFING SNAPSHOT 员工概况

RAP MANAGES A GROWING PARK SYSTEM WITH A SHRINKING WORKFORCE.


洛杉矶市休闲与公园局在员工数量减少的情况下管理着日益扩大的公园体系。

Between FY2008 and FY2025 there has been a...

在 2008 财年至 2025 财年期间，...

RAP HAS NOT RECOVERED FROM STAFFING CUTS DURING THE GREAT RECESSION.


洛杉矶市休闲与公园局尚未从大衰退期间的裁员中恢复过来。



INVESTMENTS IN THE PARK SYSTEM 公园体系投资


THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES INVESTS LESS IN PARKS PER CAPITA THAN PEER CITIES.

洛杉矶市的人均公园投资低于同类城市。



BETWEEN 2001 AND 2025, RAP INVESTED APPROXIMATELY \$1.2 BILLION IN CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ACROSS THE CITY.

在 2001 年至 2025 年期间，洛杉矶市休闲与公园局在全市范围内的资本改进项目中投资了约 12 亿美元。



LOOKING AHEAD 展望未来

RAP WILL FACE SIGNIFICANT FUNDING CHALLENGES WITH THE EXPIRATION OF PROPOSITION K IN 2026 AND PLAYLA IN 2028.

随着 2026 年《K 号提案》和 2028 年 PlayLA 计划的到期，洛杉矶市休闲与公园局将面临重大资金挑战。

SIMULTANEOUSLY, THE CITY IS PROJECTING A \$1 BILLION BUDGET DEFICIT IN FY2026 AND REDUCED PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENTS RESULTING FROM THE FIRES IN JANUARY 2025, WHICH WILL REDUCE RAP'S CITY- CHARTER MANDATED ASSESSMENT REVENUE.

与此同时，由于 2025 年 1 月的火灾导致财产税评估减少，洛杉矶市预计在 2026 财年将面临 10 亿美元的预算赤字，这也将减少休闲与公园局依据市宪章获得的评估收入。




BENCHMARKING

基准对比



Understanding where Los Angeles stands relative to peer cities provided a foundation for **setting realistic and ambitious new targets** that will better serve residents’ needs.

了解洛杉矶相对于同类城市的现状，为设定更切实且具有挑战性的目标奠定了基础，从而更好地满足市民的需求。



FINANCIAL BENCHMARKING 财务基准对比							
City 城市	Category 类别	Population (2023) 人口 (2023年)	Annual Budget (2023) 年度预算 (2023)	% of City Budget (2023) 占城市预算的百分比 (2023年)	Park System Area, Acres (2023) 公园系统面积，英亩 (2023)	Full-Time Staff per Acre 每英亩全职员工数量	Per Capita Public Investment 人均公共投资
Los Angeles		3,858,000	\$355 million	3%	16,000	0.10	\$92
San Francisco	Regional 区域性	851,000	\$496 million	4%	4,000	0.49	\$583
San Diego	Regional 区域性	1,385,000	\$215 million	4%	42,000	0.02	\$155
Chicago	Comparable 可比	2,708,000	\$493 million	4%	9,000	0.36	\$182
New York City	Comparable 可比	8,516,000	\$1 billion	1%	30,000	0.16	\$137
Dallas	Comparable 可比	1,300,000	\$301 million	7%	21,000	0.07	\$232
Washington, D.C.	Aspirational 抱负的	672,000	\$274 million	1%	9,000	0.10	\$407

How does Los Angeles do against peer cities?
洛杉矶与其他同类城市相比表现如何？

Los Angeles generally has **fewer recreation amenities per person and spends less public money** on its recreation and parks system than its peers.

与同类城市相比，洛杉矶的人均休闲设施数量通常较少，且在休闲与公园体系上的公共支出也更低。

AMENITY BENCHMARKING 便利设施基准对照										
Amenity 便利设施	Total RAP Count		Level of Service 服务水平							
	RAP 设施总数	Metric 人均基准	Los Angeles	Peer Median	San Francisco	San Diego	Dallas	Chicago	New York	Washington, D.C.
Basketball Hoops 篮球篮	446	1/10,000	1.2	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.1	3.9	3.4	6.5
Community Garden Sites 社区花园场地	8	1/1,000	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Diamond Fields 钻石球区 (棒球/垒球场)	300	1/10,000	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.5	1.0	2.6	0.9	1.2
Dog Parks 狗公园	14	1/100,000	0.4	1.8	5.1	1.7	0.7	1.1	1.8	2.7
Pickleball Courts 匹克球场	51.5	1/20,000	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.4
Playgrounds 游乐场	447	1/10,000	1.2	1.9	2.3	2.0	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.8
Rectangular Fields 矩形运动场 (足球等)	101	1/10,000	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.2	1.2	1.1	0.5	0.8
Tennis Courts 网球场	370.5	1/20,000	1.9	3.7	3.7	2.0	3.9	3.7	1.5	3.9
Volleyball Courts 排球场	89	1/20,000	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4
Pools 泳池	59	1/100,000	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.5	2.9	0.8	4.7
Splashpads 喷戏水区	13	1/100,000	0.3	3.3	1.2	0.3	1.3	8.9	6.8	5.3
Restrooms 卫生间	1,504	1/10,000	3.9	1.5	3.6	1.8	1.2	1.2	0.9	2.8
Nature Trails (Miles) 自然步道 (英里)	92	1/100,000	2.4	3.5	4.0	20.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	1.0
Disc Golf Courses 飞盘高尔夫球场	2	1/100,000	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1
Rec & Senior Centers 娱乐和老年中心	165	1/20,000	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	1.8	2.4	2.0
Skate Parks 滑板公园	32	1/100,000	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.9
Park Acreage 公园面积	19,081	1/1,000	4.9	10.0	6.6	29.8	16.2	5.1	4.5	13.3




SITE PRIORITIZATION: UNIVERSE OF SITES & CRITERIA

场地优先级：候选场地与评估标准



To determine where RAP should prioritize investment over the coming decades, a system of criteria based on community, agency, and stakeholder feedback as well as best practices were used to assess a **“Universe of Sites.”** The Universe of Sites includes all **489 existing and 36 prospective park sites.**

为确定洛杉矶市娱乐及公园局（RAP）在未来几十年应优先投资的方向，本研究建立了一套评估体系。该体系结合了社区、政府部门及利益相关方的反馈意见，并参考行业最佳实践，用于评估“场地全集”。所谓“场地全集”，包括 489 个现有公园场地和 36 个潜在新建公园场地。



PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA 优先级标准

HIGHEST WEIGHT | 最高权重

- Park Pressure** 公园使用压力
- Measured Walkability** 步行可达性测量
- Parks Condition Assessment** 公园状况评估
- PNA Equity Score** PNA公平性评分
- Low Shade Cover** 低遮荫覆盖率
- Climate Vulnerability** 气候脆弱性
- Perceived Park Safety** 感知的公园安全性

MEDIUM WEIGHT | 中等权重

- Criminalization Burden** 刑事化负担
- Capital Improvement History** 资本改进历史
- Extreme Heat Risk** 极端高温风险
- Lack of Private Open Space** 缺乏私人开放空间
- Biodiversity + Habitat Conservation** 生物多样性+栖息地保护
- Metro Corridors** 地铁走廊

LOWEST WEIGHT | 最低权重

- Parks Physical Condition** 公园物理状况
- Rec Centers Physical Condition** 娱乐中心物理状况
- Perceived Walkability** 感知步行性
- Community Priority Amenities** 社区优先便利设施
- Park Visitation** 公园参观率
- MyLA311** 市政服务举报/反馈平台
- Habitat Connectivity** 栖息地连通性
- Tree Species Composition** 树种组成
- Infiltration and Recharge Opps** 渗透和补给机会
- Water Quality Priority** 水质优先级
- LA County PNA** 洛杉矶县 PNA

LEGEND


- Uses 2050 Population Projections | 使用 2050 年人口预测
- Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results | 使用统计有效的调查结果

Rec and Parks
休闲和公园

Equity
公平

Resiliency
韧性

City/County
市/县



SITE PRIORITIZATION: RANKINGS


场地优先排序：排名结果



Each site was sorted into **one of five levels of priority.**


Of the 519 sites:

每个地点按优先级别分为五个等级。519个地点中：



SITE PRIORITIZATION RANKINGS

场地优先级排名



THE RANKINGS SHOW HIGH PRIORITY SITES CLUSTERED IN EAST, CENTRAL, AND SOUTH LA AS WELL AS THE SOUTHERN AND EASTERN SAN FERNANDO VALLEY.


排名结果显示，高优先级场地主要集中在洛杉矶东部、中部和南部，以及圣费尔南多谷的南部和东部地区。

LEGEND

- ◇ RAP Site
- ✕ Prospective Park Site
- First Priority
- Second Priority
- Third Priority
- Fourth Priority
- Fifth Priority


FIRST PRIORITY SITES 第一优先场地

105TH STREET POCKET PARK




Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.11

11TH AVENUE PARK




Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.21

97TH STREET POCKET PARK




Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.13

ARTS DISTRICT PARK




Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 0.51

CABALLERO CREEK CONFLUENCE PARK




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Valley 谷地
Acres: 1.5

LEO POLITI ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (CSP)




Classification: Community School Park
分类：社区学校公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 2.02

LITTLE GREEN ACRES PARK



Classification: Specialty Facility
分类：专业设施
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.23

LOS ANGELES RIVER GREENWAY - MASON TO VANALDEN




Classification: Greenway
分类：绿道
Region 地区: Valley 谷地
Acres: 6.22

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - DOWNTOWN



Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - EAST VERMONT SQUARE




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - UNIVERSITY PARK NORTH



Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - EXPOSITION PARK




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - N HIST SOUTH CENTRAL




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - NORTH HOLLYWOOD



Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Valley 谷地
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - PICO-UNION



Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - WESTLAKE-KOREATOWN




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - WESTLAKE




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 3

PROSPECTIVE PARK SITE - VAN NUYS VALLEY GLEN




Classification: Neighborhood Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Valley 谷地
Acres: 3

ROLLAND CURTIS PARK



Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.09

SAINT JAMES PARK




Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 0.9

SAN JULIAN PARK




Classification: Specialty Facility
分类：专业设施
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 0.29

SIXTH STREET VIADUCT PARK




Classification: Community Park
分类：社区公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 12.52

SOUTH VICTORIA AVENUE PARK




Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.26

VALENCIA TRIANGLE



Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: Central/East 中/东
Acres: 0.06

VERMONT MIRACLE PARK



Classification: Mini Park
分类：迷你公园
Region 地区: South 南
Acres: 0.22

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们！

REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS

区域概览



Regional snapshots help document how **needs vary** in different parts of Los Angeles. 区域概览有助于记录和展示洛杉矶不同地区在需求上的差异。

EAST/CENTRAL 东部/中部



SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES AND ENGAGEMENT FINDINGS

关键问题及参与调研结果总结



- Increasing Need for Parks + Access

对停车位和通道的需求日益增加
- Displacement and Green Gentrification Issues

流离失所与绿色绅士化问题
- Improved Maintenance, Safety, and Facilities

改进维护、安全和设施

WEST 西部



SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES AND ENGAGEMENT FINDINGS

关键问题及参与调研结果总结



- More Parks Within Walking Distance

步行距离内有更多公园
- Accessibility

无障碍
- Cleanliness, Maintenance and Safety

清洁、维护和安全

SOUTH 南部



SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES AND ENGAGEMENT FINDINGS

关键问题及参与调研结果总结



- Overcrowding and Increasing Strain on Limited Parks

公园过度拥挤，资源紧张
- Heightened Environmental Burdens and Health Risks

环境负担和健康风险加剧
- Underfunded Programs, Staffing, Services, and Safety

资金不足的项目、人员配备、服务和安全

VALLEY 谷地



SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES AND ENGAGEMENT FINDINGS

关键问题及参与调研结果总结

- Need for Increased Interconnectivity

需要增强互联互通
- Parks are Resilience Infrastructure

公园是韧性基础设施
- Valley Density is Increasing Park Pressure

山谷地区的密度增加导致公园压力加大

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们！

SITE PLANNING GUIDELINES

场地规划指南



Site planning guidelines provide a clear and consistent roadmap for **designing new** parks and recreation facilities, **refreshing existing** sites, and **evaluating** whether improvements are needed.

场地规划指南为“新建公园和娱乐设施的设计”、“现有场地的更新”以及“评估是否需要改进”提供了清晰一致的路线图。

The guidelines are intended to **inform**:
该指南旨在告知：

- **The development of framework and site plans**
 - 框架和场地规划的制定

- **The periodic evaluation of parks and recreation facilities to assess functionality and performance**
 - 定期评估公园和娱乐设施的功能和性能

- **The design of refreshed or new parks**
 - 翻新或新建公园的设计

DESIGN 设计

System Wide Language

Use a consistent design language throughout the system, while maintaining flexibility.
系统范围语言
在整个系统中使用一致的设计语言，同时保持灵活性。

Design Vocabulary

Ensure the vocabulary of design is appropriate for the scale and materials of the landscape and existing infrastructure.
设计词汇
确保设计词汇适合景观和现有基础设施的规模 and 材料。

Cohesive Site Layout

Consider the holistic design and layout of a space.
有凝聚力的网站布局
考虑空间的整体设计和布局。

Park Core

Locate key park and recreation elements together, creating a hub/core for the space.
公园核心
将主要的公园和娱乐元素放在一起，为空间创建一个中心/核心。

Native Planting Variety

Move beyond mown turf grass and include a variety of native plantings to create inviting spaces within parks.
本地种植品种
除了修剪草坪之外，还应种植各种本地植物，以在公园内创造诱人的空间。

Indoor-Outdoor Connections

Consider recreation centers and parks as extensions of one another during capital improvements.
室内外连接
在资本改善期间，将娱乐中心和公园视为彼此的延伸。

Park User Equity

Design spaces and experiences for all. Design recreation areas to encourage use by multiple genders and age groups.
公园使用者权益
设计适合所有人的空间和体验。设计休闲区，鼓励不同性别和年龄段的人们使用。

Cultural & Historic Features

Preserve culturally and historically significant features.
文化与历史特色
保留具有重要文化和历史意义的特征。

Water Conservation

Promote water conservation in the design of landscape features and water-based amenities.
水利
在景观特征和水基设施的设计中提倡节约用水。

CONTEXT 场地背景

PSU **Park Supportive Uses**
Ensure a mix of surrounding uses that support parks and recreation facilities.
公园辅助用途
确保周边的多种用途能够支持公园和娱乐设施。

Building Frontage
Ensure that buildings adjacent to and across the street from parks and recreation facilities have frontages or entrances designed to face them.
建筑正面
确保公园和娱乐设施附近和街对面的建筑物有面向它们的正面或入口。

County and Regional Park Connectivity
Connect to adjacent Los Angeles County and regional parks.
县和地区公园连通性
连接到邻近的洛杉矶县和地区公园。

Streetscape Enhancements
Surround parks and recreation facilities with enhanced streetscapes.
街景改善
以改进后的街景环绕公园和娱乐设施。

Public Property/Institutional
Connect to adjacent public properties or institutional facilities.
公共财产/机构
连接到相邻的公共财产或机构设施。

CONNECTIVITY 连接性

Street Grid Connectivity
Maintain physical and visual connections to the street grid.
街道网格连通性
保持与街道网格的物理和视觉连接。

Seamless Public Space
Ensure seamless connections between parks and recreation facilities and other adjacent public spaces.
无缝公共空间
确保公园和娱乐设施与其他相邻公共空间之间的无缝连接。

Trail Mode Separation
Separate trails into paths for horses, cyclists, and pedestrians.
步道模式分离
将步道划分为 马道、自行车道和人行道。

Internal Walking Trail
Connect features in parks to each other using circulation.
内部步行道
通过步行流线将公园内的各个功能要素连接起来。

Loop Trail
Provide a loop trail for people to explore, circulate, and recreate.
环形步道
提供一条环形步道，方便人们探索、流动与休闲娱乐。

Trail Connection
Surround parks and recreation facilities with enhanced streetscapes.
步道连接
以改进后的街景环绕公园和娱乐设施。

Safe Routes/Passages
Create safe routes to parks and recreation facilities.
安全路线/通道
建设通往公园和娱乐设施的安全通道。

WAYFINDING 寻路

Gateways (Primary & Secondary)
Create gateway features at major entrances to parks and recreation facilities.
网关（主要和次要）
在公园和娱乐设施的主要入口处创建门户特色。

Path Hierarchy
Ensure on-site paths have a clear hierarchy.
路径层次结构
确保现场路径具有清晰的层次结构。

Universal Wayfinding Signage
Ensure universal design for wayfinding systems. Incorporate signage elements that can be used by those with visual impairments. Prioritize the use of pictograms in the communication of park policies.
通用导航标识
确保寻路系统的通用设计。融入视障人士可使用的标识元素。在公园政策的传达中优先使用象形文字或图示。



SITE PLANNING GUIDELINES

场地规划指南



INFRASTRUCTURE 基础设施



Regional Water Partnerships

Identify opportunities for regional partnerships that can contribute to local sustainable water supplies, mitigate flood risk, and improve water quality.

区域水资源合作

识别区域合作的机会，以促进本地可持续水资源供给，降低洪水风险，并提升水质。



Bike Parking

Provide adequate places for cyclists to secure their bikes.

自行车停放处

为骑自行车的人提供足够的空间来停放他们的自行车。



Safe Crossings

Provide safe ways to cross streets that surround parks.

安全路口

提供穿过公园周围街道的安全通行方式。



Shared Parking

Pursue shared parking strategies to eliminate or reduce on-site surface parking.

共享停车位

推行共享停车策略，消除或减少现场地面停车。



On-Site Parking

When needed, integrate on-site parking with park and recreation facility site design.

现场停车

必要时，将现场停车与公园和娱乐设施场地设计相结合。



Transit Stop

Connect parks and recreation facilities to transit.

公共交通站点

将公园和娱乐设施与公共交通相连接。



Accessible Van Parking and Drop-Off

Provide adequate spaces for accessible parking and drop-off.

无障碍厢式车停车与上下客区

提供足够的无障碍停车位和上下客空间。



Comfort Facilities

Provide amenities that support the use of parks and recreation facilities. Provide facilities that support park programming and community needs

便民设施

提供支持公园和娱乐设施使用的便利设施。同时提供满足公园活动安排和社区需求的配套设施。



Maintenance Facilities

Consider areas for facilities that support maintenance needs.

维护设施

为满足维护需求预留相应的设施空间。

ZONES 区域

IU

Intensive Use Areas

Design spaces that can accommodate intensive use.

密集使用区域

设计能够承载高强度使用的空间。

PG

Programmable Gathering Areas

Provide flexible open spaces that can be used as gathering spaces.

可编程聚会区

提供灵活的开放空间，可用于集会或活动。

NA

Natural System Areas

Define areas that focus on the function and enhancement of natural systems.

自然系统区

确定并强化自然系统功能的重点区域。

RE

Recreation Areas

Provide areas and facilities that are specifically designed for recreation and are responsive to the needs of surrounding neighborhoods.

娱乐区

提供专为娱乐而设计的区域和设施，以满足周边社区需求。

CU

Casual Use Areas

Provide areas that are specifically designed for casual, impromptu use.

休闲区

提供适合日常休憩和即兴使用的空间。

Universal Elements

Ensure appropriate shade, seating, and other universal elements throughout all zones.

通用元素

在所有区域配置适当的遮阴、座椅等通用设施。

ARCHITECTURE 建筑

Programming

Align the use of built structures with a park's goals to enhance the user experience. Design structures for flexibility in programming.

功能规划

将建筑用途与公园目标结合，提升使用体验，并为功能安排保留灵活性。。

Integration with the Landscape

Design architectural elements to reflect the unique character of the park and thoughtfully respond to context. Aim to distribute programs evenly across parks. Design architectural amenities to respect and preserve the beauty of the surrounding landscape.

与景观融合

建筑设计应体现公园独特性，并与周边环境协调，均衡分布功能，同时尊重并保护景观之美。

Environmental Sustainability

Prioritize the environmental sustainability of built elements through low-impact design principles. Embed sustainability in both the construction phase and the final built form of architectural elements.

环境可持续性

通过低影响设计原则确保建筑的环境可持续性，将其融入施工过程和最终建成形式。

Accessibility and Equity

Ensure architectural elements and structures provide equitable access for all visitors. Incorporate flexible programming within architectural elements to accommodate users of all genders, ages, and body types.

无障碍和公平

确保建筑元素和结构为所有访客提供公平使用体验，并通过灵活设计满足不同性别、年龄和体型的需求。

Material Strategies

Select materials for constructing new and repairing existing structures to help ensure strength, resilience, and lasting quality. Use imaginative design solutions in the application of project materials.

材料策略

选择适用于新建和修复的材料，以保证强度、韧性和耐久性，并在材料应用中体现创新。

Design Excellence

Design functional architectural elements that embody visual harmony, design clarity, and a high level of craftsmanship. Seek a variety of perspectives in selecting architectural designers.

卓越设计

设计兼具视觉和谐、清晰表达和高超工艺的建筑元素，并在设计师选择中融入多元视角。


Innovation and Artistry

Craft design solutions that are both inventive in nature and capable of offering unexpected moments of joy.

创新与艺术

打造既富有创造性又能带来意外喜悦的设计方案。

APPLYING THE GUIDELINES 指南应用



- DESIGN**
- ☒ SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
 - ☒ DESIGN VOCABULARY
 - ☒ COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
 - ☒ PARK CORE
 - ☒ INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
 - ☒ NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
 - ☒ PARK USER EQUITY
 - ☒ CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
 - ☒ WATER CONSERVATION
- CONTEXT**
- ☒ PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
 - ☒ BUILDING FRONTAGE
 - ☒ COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
 - ☒ PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
 - ☒ STREETScape ENHANCEMENTS
- CONNECTIVITY**
- ☒ STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
 - ☒ SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
 - ☒ TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
 - ☒ LOOP TRAIL
 - ☒ INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
 - ☒ TRAIL CONNECTION
 - ☒ SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES
- WAYFINDING**
- ☒ GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
 - ☒ PATH HIERARCHY
 - ☒ UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

- ZONES**
- UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES**
- Seating
 - Shade
 - Identity Features
 - Low Impact Development BMPs
- IU INTENSIVE USE**
- Outdoor Event Space
 - Group Picnic Area
 - Restroom
 - Dog Park
- PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING**
- Park Shelter
 - Seating
- RE RECREATION**
- Outdoor Fitness Equipment
 - Creative Play Attraction
 - Playground
 - Rectangular Field
 - Diamond Field
 - Basketball Court
 - Tennis / Pickleball Court
 - Volleyball Court
 - Water Play
 - Pool
- CU CASUAL USE**
- Individual Picnic Area
 - Casual Use Space
 - Internal Walking Trail
 - Shade Structure
- NA NATURAL**
- Stormwater Management
 - Natural Space
 - Community Garden
 - Unique Landscape Feature
- INFRASTRUCTURE**
- Bike Rack
 - Transit Stop
 - Street Parking
 - On-Site Parking
 - Safe Pedestrian Access
 - Comfort Facility
 - Maintenance Facility
 - Pickup/ Dropoff
 - Regional Water Partnerships

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们!

PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

公园分类



The new PNA park classification system seeks to clarify how the existing parks function and provides guidelines about future park and recreation facility typologies that may be necessary to **meet the needs of current and future residents.**

新的PNA公园分类体系旨在阐明现有公园的功能，并为未来可能需要的公园与娱乐设施类型提供指导，以满足当前及未来居民的需求。

Each park and recreation facility classification includes **a general description** of it:
每个公园和娱乐设施分类都包括它的一般描述：

- **typical size range**
典型规模范围
- **typical length of visit**
典型的访问时长
- **access provisions**
出入规定
- **list of appropriate amenities**
适用设施清单
- **applicable site planning guidelines**
相关的场地规划指南



MINI PARK 迷你公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
<1

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
0.25-1

Example Park: Patton St Pocket Park.
Source: Lauren Elachi, 2025.



NEIGHBORHOOD PARK 邻里公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
1-3

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
0.5-1.5

Example Park: Watts Serenity Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK 大型邻里公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
3-10

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-2

Example Park: Evergreen Recreation Center
Source: Maria Lamadrid, 2025.



NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK 邻里自然公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
<10

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
0.25-2

Example Park: Moon Canyon Park
Source: Leslie Dinkin, 2025.



COMMUNITY PARK 社区公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
10-20

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-2

Example Park: Leland Recreation Center
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



LARGE COMMUNITY PARK 大型社区公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
20-40

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
2-3

Example Park: MacArthur Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



COMMUNITY NATURE PARK 社区自然公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
10-40

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-3

Example Park: Sullivan Canyon Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



REGIONAL PARK 地区公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
3-10

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-2

Example Park: Lincoln Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



REGIONAL NATURE PARK 地区自然公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
<10

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
0.25-2

Example Park: White Point Park Nature Preserve
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



HISTORIC LANDMARK SITE 历史地标

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-3

Example Park: Little Landers Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



GREENWAY 绿道

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
0.5-1

Example Park: Carthay Circle Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



LINEAR PARK 线性公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
<20

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-2

Example Park: Bandini Canyon Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



CANYON PARK 峡谷公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
20+

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-4

Example Park: Temescal Canyon Park
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



COMMUNITY SCHOOL PARK 社区学校公园

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
0.5-1

Example Park: Camellia Avenue Elementary School (CSP)
Source: Image via Homes.com.



SCHOOL POOL 学校泳池

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-2

Example Park: Venice High School Pool
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



BEACH 海滩

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
1-5

Example Park: Will Rogers State Beach
Source: Shutterstock/fivetonine, 2022



MOUNTAIN CAMP 山地营地

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
Varies 各不相同

Example Park: Camp Seely
Source: Shutterstock, 2025.



SINGLE PURPOSE SITE 单一用途场地

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
Varies 各不相同

Example Park: El Sereno Senior Citizen Center
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.



GOLF COURSE 高尔夫球场

Typical Size (Acres):
典型面积 (英亩) :
Varies 各不相同

Typical Length of Visit (Hours):
典型访问时长 (小时) :
Varies 各不相同

Example Park: Penmar Golf Course
Source: RAP City of LA, 2025.

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们!

ONGOING ENGAGEMENT GUIDELINES

持续性公众参与指南



Ongoing engagement helps parks **reflect the diverse needs and priorities** of Los Angeles’ many neighborhoods. Feedback from engagement directly shapes park **programming, amenities, and site planning** for updated and new facilities.

持续的公众参与有助于公园反映洛杉矶各个社区多样化的需求和优先事项。来自参与过程的反馈直接影响公园的功能规划、配套设施以及新建和改造项目的场地规划。

The PNA’s engagement guidelines aim to create more **inclusive and accessible** public spaces, foster a **sense of ownership**, identify and **cultivate community leaders**, and **increase equity** in access and outcomes.

PNA的公众参与指南旨在营造更加包容和便捷的公共空间，培育社区归属感，发现并培养社区领袖，并提升在公共空间获取和成果上的公平性。

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 资本改善项目

Project Pre-Planning

- Identify the level of engagement a project needs.
- Incorporate meaningful engagement requirements in RFPs.
- Identify key community stakeholders.
- Coordinate with related community planning efforts.

项目前期规划

确定项目所需的参与程度。
在项目建议书征集文件 (RFP) 中加入有意义的参与要求。
识别关键的社区利益相关者。
与相关社区规划工作保持协调。

Community-Driven Planning and Design Process

- Create an inclusive and targeted outreach strategy.
- Create an open forum when announcing new capital projects to the community.
- Offer a spectrum of engagement opportunities.

社区驱动的规划和设计流程

制定兼顾包容性与针对性的外展策略。
在向社区公布新的资本项目时建立开放的交流平台。
提供多样化的参与机会。

- Consider community context.
- Employ inclusive and accessible engagement tactics.
- Share decision-making power with the community.
- Identify opportunities to uplift community history and stories.

充分考虑社区背景。
采用包容且易于理解的参与方式。
与社区共享决策权。
发掘并传承社区的历史与故事。

Implementation

- Conduct engagement meetings during the construction process.
- Create opportunities for community co-build and planting.
- Evaluate project success.

执行

在施工过程中召开公众参与会议。
为社区共建与植树创造机会。
评估项目的成效。

LONG TERM STEWARDSHIP, OPERATIONS, AND PROGRAMMING

长期管理、运营和规划

Parks Ambassador Program

- Develop and implement a Parks Ambassador program.

公园大使计划

制定并实施公园大使计划。

Programming

- Regularly assess RAP programming.
- Provide a clear mechanism for community-driven programming.
- Prioritize cross-beneficial programming.
- Provide context-specific programming.

编程/项目规划

定期评估RAP项目。
提供清晰的社区驱动项目机制。
优先考虑具有跨领域效益的项目。
提供符合具体情境的项目安排。

‘Friends Of’ groups and Park Advisory Boards

- Support community stewardship.

“朋友”团体和公园咨询委员会支持社区管理。

Hiring

- Create local hiring opportunities.
- Appoint staff community liaisons.
- Appoint accessible guides.

招聘

创造本地招聘机会。
任命员工社区联络员。
配备无障碍导览员。

Due to both staffing shortages and the need for specialized support in parks, the park system would benefit from an ambassador program at key locations.

Accessibility should be a meaningful part of the overall park experience and not something added later.

Programmed activities increase safety through park activation.

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们!



LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

服务水平标准



Level of service standards help guide decisions about **how many recreational amenities are needed** to serve Los Angeles’ diverse and growing population.

服务水平标准有助于指导决策需要多少娱乐设施来服务洛杉矶多样化和不断增长的人口。

Note: The following amenities are tracked by The Trust for Public Land annually. This list is not exhaustive of RAP’s park system, as it excludes features such as unprogrammed open space, a highly desired amenity.

注：以下设施由公共土地信托基金每年跟踪。此列表并非RAP公园系统的详尽列表，因为它不包括诸如未规划的开放空间等备受欢迎的设施。

The **PNA defines population-based standards** that address how many amenities are needed in the City, informed by:

PNA 制定了基于人口的标准，用于确定城市需要多少便利设施，其依据包括：

- **Current level of service**
目前的服务水平
 - **Community priorities**
社区优先事项
- **Level of service in peer cities**
同等城市的服务水平
 - **National participation trends**
全国参与趋势

LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY 服务水平汇总								
Amenity 便利设施	Total RAP Count RAP设施总数	Metric 人均基准	Current LOS 当前服务水平	Peer Median LOS 对等平均服务水平	Priority Investment Rating 优先投资评级	5-Year Change in Participation 五年参与度变化	Recommended LOS 推荐服务水平	# of Amenities by 2050 2050 年的便利设施数量
Basketball Hoops 篮球架	446	1/10,000	1.2	3.9	66	+28%	3.8	1,640
Community Garden Sites 社区花园场地	8	1/1,000	0.0	0.0	127	-	0.0	9
Diamond Fields 钻石球区（棒球/垒球场）	300	1/10,000	0.8	1.2	59	+6%	1.0	432
Dog Parks 狗公园	14	1/100,000	0.4	2.0	104	-	1.8	78
Pickleball Courts 匹克球场	52	1/20,000	0.3	1.1	78	+473%	0.4	86
Playgrounds 游乐场	447	1/10,000	1.2	1.9	90	-	1.9	820
Rectangular Fields 矩形运动场（足球等）	101	1/10,000	0.3	0.9	65	+14%	0.7	302
Tennis Courts 网球场	371	1/20,000	1.9	3.2	78	+46%	3.7	798
Volleyball Courts 排球场	89	1/20,000	0.5	0.3	53	+4%	0.5	100
Pools 泳池	59	1/100,000	1.5	1.5	130	+6%	1.5	66
Splashpads 喷戏水区	13	1/100,000	3.4	36.3	89	-	3.3	142
Restrooms 卫生间	1,504	1/10,000	3.9	1.6	-	-	3.9	1,683
Nature Trails (Miles) 自然步道（英里）	92	1/100,000	2.4	3.8	154	+29%	3.5	151
Disc Golf Courses 飞盘高尔夫球场	2	1/100,000	0.1	0.2	51	-	0.2	9
Rec & Senior Centers 娱乐和老年中心	165	1/20,000	0.9	1.0	-	-	0.9	194
Skate Parks 滑板公园	32	1/100,000	0.8	0.7	55	+40%	0.8	36
Park Acreage 公园面积	16,000	1/1,000	4.2	6.3	-	-	10	43,159

Supports raising the current standard
支持提高现行标准

No information available
无可信息

Supports maintaining the current standard
支持维持现行标准

Supports lowering the current standard
支持降低现行标准

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们！

COSTS AND FUNDING

成本和资金



The PNA includes a rough order-of-magnitude **estimate of the dollar amount needed to maintain, refresh, and build new** parks and recreational amenities, facilities, and infrastructure across the city **over the next 25 years** as well as meet staffing and operational needs.

公园需求评估（PNA）提供了一个粗略的数量级估算，说明在未来 25 年内，为了维护、更新并建设全市范围内的新公园和娱乐设施、配套基础设施，以及满足人员配置和运营需求，所需的资金总额。

Over the past 25 years, RAP’s operations and maintenance budget **has not kept up with inflation or growth of the system.**

在过去的 25 年里，RAP 的运营和维护预算未能跟上通货膨胀或系统的增长。

PERSONNEL AND EXPENSE ESTIMATES

人员和费用估计

RAP could **restore staffing levels, a higher level of service, and align capacity to peers** by increasing its operating budget by 75%.

RAP 可以通过将运营预算增加 75% 来恢复人员配备水平、提高服务水平，并使产能与同行保持一致。

↑ 75%

ADDITIONAL STAFF NEEDS 额外的人员需求



MAINTENANCE - NATIVE HABITAT MANAGEMENT AND ECOLOGISTS
维护——原生栖息地管理和生态学家



ACCESSIBILITY SPECIALISTS
无障碍专家



RANGERS
护林员



PARK AMBASSADORS
公园大使

COST ESTIMATES (WITHOUT ESCALATION) 成本估算（未计入通胀/增长因素）

ONE TIME CAPITAL NEED (IN 2025 DOLLARS)
一次性资本需求（以2025年美元计算）
\$14.76B

Deferred Maintenance 延期维护
~\$2.67B

Level of Service Goals (New facilities and acres to meet peer city levels)
服务水平目标（新设施和土地面积达到同等城市水平）
~\$12.1B

ANNUAL OPERATING NEEDS
年度运营额外需求
~\$525-\$625M

Total for Staff Increases to Meet Staffing Gap (Year 1)
为弥补人员缺口而增加的员工总数（第一年）
~\$322M

Total for Expenses (Year 1)
费用总额（第 1 年）
~\$68M

Total for General Fund Reimbursements (2026)
普通基金偿还总额（2026年）
UP TO \$220M

TELL US
HERE!
在这里告诉我们！

FUNDING STRATEGY RECOMMENADATIONS 融资策略建议	Applicable Use 适用用途	
	Capital 资本 / 建设资金	Operations and Maintenance 运营与维护
RAP-Led Funding Strategies RAP主导的融资策略		
Increase earned-revenue generation 增加收入		X
Expand partnerships with non-profits and build a conservancy model 扩大与非营利组织的合作并建立保护模式	X	X
Leverage State and Federal funding sources 利用州和联邦资金来源	X	
Funding Strategies Requiring Partnership 需要合作的融资策略		
Increase the Charter mandated allocation of property tax revenue to RAP 增加宪章规定的分配给 RAP 的财产税收入	X	X
Evaluate property tax assessments 评估房产税评估	X	X
Evaluate sales taxes 评估销售税	X	X
Evaluate City bond options: general obligation and revenue bonds 评估城市债券选择：一般责任债券和收益债券	X	

ACTION PLAN

行动计划




When making decisions or responding to the Los Angeles community or to elected or appointed officials, the PNA serves as the reference point for **decision making**.

在作出决策或回应洛杉矶社区以及民选或任命官员时，公园需求评估（PNA）作为决策的参考依据。


THE PNA IS A ROADMAP.

公园需求评估（PNA）是一份行动路线图。




! FOCUS ON THE HIGHEST PRIORITY SITES 优先关注最重要的场地

SEE THE SITE PRIORITIZATION BOARD! 查看“场地优先排序”板!




! SEEK TO ADDRESS RESIDENTS’ TOP CITYWIDE PRIORITIES FOR AMENITIES AND PROGRAMS 力求回应居民在全市范围内对便利设施和项目的首要需求

SEE THE ENGAGEMENT BOARD! 查看“公众参与”板!




! ACCOUNT FOR DIFFERENT PRIORITIES IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY 考虑城市不同地区不同的优先事项

SEE THE REGIONAL SNAPSHOTS BOARD! 查看“区域概览”板!




! USE THE SITE PLANNING GUIDELINES TO EVALUATE AND IMPROVE FORM AND FUNCTION 使用场地规划指南来评估和改进形式和功能

SEE THE SITE PLANNING BOARD! 查看“场地规划”板!




! CONSIDER CITYWIDE NEEDS BASED ON LEVEL OF SERVICE 根据服务水平考虑全市的需求

SEE THE LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS BOARD! 查看“服务水平标准”板!




! CONSULT THE CLASSIFICATIONS TO IDENTIFY TYPICAL AMENITIES AND APPLICABLE GUIDELINES 参考分类以识别典型便利设施并确定适用的指导方针

SEE THE PARK CLASSIFICATIONS BOARD! 查看“公园分类”板!



! CONTINUE TO MEANINGFULLY ENGAGE WITH RESIDENTS 持续与居民进行有意义的互动

SEE THE ONGOING ENGAGEMENT BOARD! 查看“持续性公众参与”板!



! SECURE SUSTAINABLE FUNDING FOR RECREATION AND PARKS 确保娱乐和公园的可持续资金

SEE THE COSTS AND FUNDING BOARD! 查看“成本和资金”板!

