APPENDIX

PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

December 2025

PREPARED FOR:
LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

This document is an appendix to the 2025 LA Park Needs Assessment. Resources provided as a part of this document are meant to provide additional context to the findings of that report.

PREPARED BY:

OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Karen Bass, Mayor

Jacqueline Hamilton, Deputy Mayor for Neighborhood Services

Randall Winston, Deputy Mayor of Infrastructure

Karren Lane, Deputy Mayor of Community Safety

Robert Clark, Deputy Mayor of Public Safety

Nancy Sutley, Deputy Mayor for Energy and Sustainability

Dr. Etsemaye Agonafer, Deputy Mayor of Homelessness and Community Health

CITY COUNCIL

Eunisses Hernandez, Councilmember District 1

Adrin Nazarian, Councilmember District 2

Bob Blumenfield, Councilmember District 3

Nithya Raman, Councilmember District 4

Katy Yaroslavsky, Councilmember District 5

Imelda Padilla, Councilmember District 6

Monica Rodriguez, Councilmember District 7

Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Councilmember District 8

Curren D. Price, Jr., Councilmember District 9

Heather Hutt, Councilmember District 10

Traci Park, Councilmember District 11

John Lee, Councilmember District 12

Hugo Soto-Martínez, Councilmember District 13

Ysabel J. Jurado, Councilmember District 14

Tim McOsker, Councilmember District 15

BOARD OF RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSIONERS

Renata Simril, President

Luis Sanchez, Vice President

Marie Lloyd, Commissioner

Benny Tran, Commissioner

Tafarai Bayne, Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

Jimmy Kim, General Manager

Matthew Rudnick, Executive Officer

Cathie Santo Domingo, Assistant General Manager

Brenda Aguirre, Assistant General Manager

Chinyere Stoneham, Assistant General Manager

Noel Williams, Chief Financial Officer

Darryl Ford, Superintendent of Planning, Project Manager

Meghan Luera, Senior Management Analyst II

Jeremy Silva, City Planning Associate

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)

Helen Olivares

Adriana Rubalcava

Tino Reynoso

Rebecca Lobos

City of Los Angeles Sanitation and Environment (LASAN)

Barbara Romero

Susie Santilena

City of Los Angeles Bureau of Engineering (BOE)

Steven Fierce

Ohaji Abdallah

University of Southern California (USC)

Cindy Olnick

Esther Marguiles

United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

Trevor Snyder

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro)

Heather Repenning

Isabelle Garvanne

Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation

Sean Woods

Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA)

Brian Baldauf

Fernando Gomez

Streets LA

Ana Tabuena-Ruddy

City of Los Angeles Civil + Human Rights and Equity Department

Monet Bagneris-Tolbert

California State Parks

Sedrick Mitchell

Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD)

Krisztina Tokes

Isela Lopez

Jennifer Natividad

City of Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD)

Billy Brockway

City of Los Angeles Department on Disability (DOD)

Alison Everett

Matan Koch

City of Los Angeles City Planning

Delia Arriaga

City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT)

Jesus Serrano

City of Los Angeles Department of Neighborhood Empowerment

Thomas Soong

Office of Forest Management

Clarissa Boyajian

Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst

Xochitl Ramirez

Office of the City Administrative Officer

Anne Johnson

Maria Gutierrez

Mindy Pothongsunun

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

STEERING COMMITTEE

Board of Recreation and Park Commissioners Representative

Marie Lloyd

California Conservation Corps

Duane Wilson

Nikki Morales

Community Partners

Yvette-Lopez Ledesma

Deputy Mayor

Jacqueline Hamilton

Randall Winston

Karren Lane

Friends of the Los Angeles River

Candice Dickens-Russell

Individual Expert

Deborah Cohen

Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy (LAANE)

Lauren Ahkiam

Stephany Calvillo

Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation

Sean Woods

Sheela Mathai

Los Angeles Neighborhood Initiative

Veronica Hahni

Aleigh Lewis

Los Angeles Neighborhood Land Trust

Tori Kjer

Los Angeles Parks Foundation

Amber Martinez

Lindsey Kozberg

Los Angeles Waterkeeper

Bruce Reznik

Mayor's Office

Geoff Thompson

Estefany Garcia

Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA)

Brian Baldauf

Native American Indian Commission Representative

Rich Toyon

Prevention Institute

Francisco Romero

Rob Baird

Resources Legacy Fund

Alfredo Gonzalez

The Nature Conservancy

Kelsey Jessup

Trust for Public Land

Guillermo Rodriguez

Sarah Friedman

University of Southern California (USC)

Vanessa Carter Fahnestock

We are deeply grateful to the Steering Committee members who generously took time out of their busy schedules to share their insights with us through meetings, interviews, and collaborations.

Figure 1. The Steering Committee receives an update on PNA progress on May 20, 2025. Source: OLIN, 2025.

CONSULTANT TEAM

OLIN

Planning, Landscape Architecture, Urban Design, Engagement

Jessica M. Henson, Project Director

Andrew Dobshinsky, Associate-in-Charge and Parks Planner

Sarah Swanseen, Project Coordinator; Senior Landscape Architect and Planner

Max Dickson, Senior Planner

Joanna Karaman, Ecological Systems Specialist

Selina Cheah

Kate Lawler

Darian Ehya

Derek Lazo

Evangeline Sheridan

Zitong Huang

Jiewen Hu

Priyanjali Sinha

Rachita Saxena

Farzana Rahman

Miranda Ayres

Kristen Juen

Hanrui Fu

AGENCY ARTIFACT

Landscape Architecture and Engagement

Christopher Torres, Founding Principal

Viraj Chauhan

Emilio Uranga

Melanie Buffa

THE ROBERT GROUP

Community Engagement

Christine Robert

Isaiah Ford

Christina Monzer

Mary Alice Williams

DaYoung Lee

Brock Morgan

KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE

Landscape Architecture and Engagement

Chelina Odbert, Founding Principal

Lauren Elachi

Eric Riley

Leslie Dinkin

Nora Healy

ESTOLANO ADVISORS

Strategy, Equity, and Facilitation

Cecilia Estolano

Thomson Dryjanski

Sasha Ragland

DHARAM CONSULTING

Cost Estimation

Steve Kelly

Allan Duncan

Andrew Jonsson

Rey Sasuman

BETTER WORLD GROUP

Strategy and Coalition Building

Shona Calzada Ganguly

Kimberly Guo

HR&A ADVISORS

Economic Analysis and Strategy

Connie Chung

Jill Schmidt Bengochea

Lauren Kim

GREENINFO NETWORK

Park Data and Prioritization

Dan Rademacher

Jon Christensen

Jayita Bhojwani

Amanda Kochanek

Data Visualization and User Needs

Maria del Carmen Lamadrid

Tom Allnutt

Andrew Nham

WEST OF WEST ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN

Architecture

Jai Kumaran

Jonathan Rieke

Jess Smith

Angela Lufkin

LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY

Digital Engagement and Animation

Chris Landau

Gina Rattanakone

Yaoze Yu

Sabrina Rattanakone

Timothy Day

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

Water Resources and Civil Engineering

Mark Hanna

Daniel Lee

Stephanie Zinn

Haley Bauer

CALVADA SURVEYING, INC.

Professional Land Surveying, LiDAR & Photogrammetry, and UAS – Unmanned Aerial Systems (Drone) Imagery

Armando DuPont

Saul Melgarejo Jr.

Daniel Oswaldo Gonzalez

ETC INSTITUTE

Statistically Valid Surveying

Chris Tatham

Jason Morado

Ryan Murray

COMMUNITY PARTNERS

Friends of the LA River

Heart of Los Angeles

Homeless Health Care Los Angeles

Koreatown Youth + Community Center (KYCC)

LA Compost

Los Angeles County Bicycle Coalition (BikeLA)

Los Angeles Walks

Nature for All

Northeast Neighborhood Outreach (NENO)

Pacoima Beautiful

Tia Chucha's Centro Cultural and Bookstore

TreePeople

TABLE OF CONTENTS

13 Section I: Engagement

17 Section II: Site Prioritization Criteria Details

43 Section III: PerSquareMile Details

119 Section IV: Detailed Site Prioritization Scores

145 Section V: Council District Snapshots

207 Section VI: Parks by Classification

227 Section VII: Top three Sites by Classification

239 Section VIII: Additional Site Planning Guidelines

301 Section IX: Level of Service Details

326 Section X: Resources



Figure 2. Griffith Park has a beloved trail system crossing through the park. Source: City of LA Recreation and Parks, 2025.

Figure 3. Engagement meetingsin Phase 3 included food venders and informational boards out in the parks. Source: The Robert Group, 2025.

PARK NEEDS
REC & PARKS
ASSESSMENT
3 in a row
DID WE MISS ANYTH
123
130+
27
13
100+

ENGAGEMENT

ENGAGEMENT MEETINGS

Community feedback from across LA was foundational to the Park Needs Assessment.

Residents stopped by information tables at community events, attended community workshops and equity sessions, and shared thoughts at park community open house meetings. In addition, thousands of community members participated digitally in virtual meetings and by taking online surveys. A list of in-person engagement meetings organized by PNA engagement phase and meeting type is below.

PHASE 1 MEETINGS

Interest Group Meetings

2/20/2025	Funders and Foundations
2/28/2025	Broad Ranging Interest Groups

Traditional and Virtual Large Format Meetings

3/4/2025	Ramona Hall
3/5/2025	Wilmington RC
3/6/2025	Algin Sutton
3/8/2025	Victory Vineland
3/11/2025	Tarzana Rec Center
3/13/2025	Westchester RC
3/15/2025	Lincoln Park RC
3/18/2025	Virtual Open House

Tribal Conversations, Briefings and Workshops

3/10/2025	Tribal Briefing 1: Tongva
3/10/2025	Tribal Briefing 2: Fernandeño Tataviam Band of Mission Indians
3/18/2025	Tribal Briefing 3: LA City/County Native American Indian Commission Briefing
3/24/2025	Tribal Briefing 4: Tongva Taraxat Paxaavxa Conservancy
3/27/2025	Tribal Briefing 5: Gabrielino-Shoshone
3/28/2025	Tribal Briefing 6: San Gabriel Band of Mission Indians Gabrieleno Tongva

Equity Sessions

3/14/2025	Equity Session 1: Access for All
3/20/2025	Equity Session 1: Parks in Place
3/21/2025	Equity Session 1: The Public Stage
3/27/2025	Equity Session 1: Critical Social Services

Pop-Ups and Youth Events

4/5/2025	Tarzana Earth Day Celebration
4/6/2025	CicLAvia: Ktown Meets Hollywood
4/13/2025	YMCA 2025 Healthy Kids Day
4/26/2025	Earth Day LA with LASAN

Council District Briefings

2/3/2025	CD 14 Briefing
2/10/2025	CD 15 Briefing
2/10/2025	CD 12 Briefing
2/10/2025	CD 5 Briefing
2/10/2025	CD 7 Briefing
2/11/2025	CD 2 Briefing
2/11/2025	CD 4 Briefing
2/11/2025	CD 11 Briefing
3/4/2025	CD 6 Briefing
3/17/2025	CD 1 Briefing

PHASE 2 MEETINGS

Interest Group Meetings

4/4/2025	Equipo Verde
4/5/2025	LA Neighborhood Council Commission
5/15/2025	2025 LANI Forum
5/21/2025	Broad Ranging Interest Group
5/22/2025	Foundations and Funders Roundtable #2
5/30/2025	Joining Us In Moving People to Play Briefing
6/25/2025	Homeless Healthcare LA Briefing
7/21/2025	Golf Advisory Committee
7/28/2025	Equestrian Advisory Committee

PHASE 2 MEETINGS

Traditional and Virtual Large Format Meetings

5/29/2025	JT Harvard
6/3/2025	Lake View Terrace RC
6/4/2025	Lafayette RC
6/5/2026	South Park RC
6/7/2025	Evergreen RC
6/10/2025	Peck Park RC
6/11/2025	Friendship Auditorium
6/18/2025	Sepulveda RC
6/21/2025	Pan Pacific Senior Activity Center
6/25/2025	Alpine RC
6/28/2025	Granada Hills RC
7/1/2025	Virtual

Tribal Conversations, Briefings and Workshops

7/15/2025	LA City/County Native American Indian Commission Briefing

Equity Sessions

4/8/2025	Phase 2A: Access for All
4/10/2025	Phase 2A: Parks in Place
4/24/2025	Phase 2A: Critical Social Services
4/30/2025	Phase 2A: The Public Stage
6/17/2025	Equity: General Session #1
6/18/2025	Equity: General Session #2
7/8/2025	Equity: Special Session #1
7/8/2025	Equity: Special Session #2

Pop-ups, Youth Events, and Walkshops

4/7/2025	SEACA Youth Workshop
4/12/2025	LA Maker Faire
5/16/2025	Salute to Recreation
6/14/2025	Walkshop #1: Venice
6/14/2025	Walkshop #2: Griffith
6/14/2025	Walkshop #3: Debs Park
7/13/2025	Lotus Festival
8/5/2025	National Night Out (Sun Valley)
8/23/2025	7th Annual Beautification Conference

PHASE 3 MEETINGS

Interest Group Meetings

8/28/2025	Broad Ranging Interest Group
8/28/2025	Foundations and Funders Roundtable #3

Council District Briefings

9/18/2025	All City of LA Council Districts: PNA Briefing

Traditional and Virtual Large Format Meetings

9/4/2025	Bellview RC
9/6/2025	Westwood RC
9/9/2025	Virtual Open House
9/10/2025	Deep Dive Webinar: Budget and Finance
9/11/2025	Deep Dive Webinar: Classifications, Guidelines, and Level of Service
9/18/2025	Deep Dive Webinar: Site Prioritization

Tribal Conversations, Briefings and Workshops

9/9/2025	LA City/County Native American Indian Commission Listening Session

Equity Sessions

9/9/2025	Equity Session 3: Northeast LA
9/10/2025	Equity Session 3: South LA
9/23/2025	Equity Session 3: Valley
9/24/2025	Equity 3.4 (Virtual)

Pop-Ups and Youth Engagement

9/14/2025	CicLAVia South Central
9/24/2025	Park Intercept - Felicia Mahood Movie Night
9/26/2025	Park Intercept - North Hollywood SC Fiesta
9/27/2025	Pop-up - LA Congress of Neighborhoods
9/27/2025	Pop Up - ShineLA
9/27/2025	School/Youth Advisory Council
10/9/2025	Pop Up - Rancho Cienega Recreation Center
10/12/2025	Pop Up - CicLAVia Heart of LA

Figure 4. A celebration marks opening day at Hope and Peace playground. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

SITE PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA DETAILS

PARK PRESSURE

High-Weight Criteria

THE DEMAND ON THE PARK BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE PROJECTED TO LIVE IN THE AREA BY 2050.



Dataset and/or source
Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points [2025] and LA County CAMS Road Segments)[1], and Projected 2050 Population (Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Connect SoCal 2024 Growth Projections)[2]

Description
Projected people per park-acre, using the number of people within a 10-minute walk of each site and the site's acreage. The number of people is based on 2050 population projections by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) at the Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) level. For New Park Priority Areas, 3 acres (the median size of a Community School Park) was used for this analysis.

Scale
Parkshed

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest park pressure (lowest people per park acre)

Highest Priority (1)
Highest park pressure (highest people per park acre)

New Park Priority Areas Scoring Notes
People per imagined park acre (3 acres) within imagined parkshed from center point of square

Figure 5. PNA Criteria: Park Pressure. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), and Projected 2050 Population (Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Connect SoCal 2024 Growth Projections)

WALK NETWORK CONNECTIVITY

High-Weight Criteria

THE PERCENT OF AREA AROUND A PARK THAT CANNOT BE WALKED TO WITHIN 10 MINUTES.



Dataset and/or source
Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments)[3] and idealized walkshed (Half-mile buffer from the boundary of RAP parks).

Description
How much of the area within a half mile is also within a 10-minute walk of each park, measured as the amount of overlap between A) a walkshed drawn by measuring a half-mile distance along streets from RAP facility access points and B) the area within a half-mile straight line buffer around the RAP facility access points.

Scale
Parkshed

Lowest Priority (0)
Highest amount of overlap between measured walkshed and buffer

Highest Priority (1)
Lowest amount of overlap between measured walkshed and buffer

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Median Score

Figure 6. PNA Criteria: Walk Network Connectivity. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments) and idealized walkshed (Half-mile buffer from the boundary of RAP parks).

PARK CONDITIONS ASSESSMENT

High-Weight Criteria

THE PHYSICAL CONDITION OF THE PARK'S AMENITIES, BASED ON RAP'S YEARLY ASSESSMENT.

Dataset and/or source

Parks Conditions Assessment, City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks. 2024.

Description
Condition of park amenities, based on RAP's annual Park Conditions Assessment (PCA). The PCA rates the following amenities as being in good, fair, or poor condition. This data is reported annually to the LA County Department of Parks and Recreation for Measure A funding. During 2024, RAP completed an assessment of about 34 types of recreational amenities at 355 sites. RAP completes this assessment annually.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Best average reported condition

Highest Priority (1)
Worst average reported condition

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Score of 0 (Same as park with no amenities)



Figure 7. PNA Criteria: Park Conditions Assessment. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Parks Conditions Assessment, City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks. 2024.

ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND HEALTH EQUITY

High-Weight Criteria

THE BURDEN A COMMUNITY NEAR THE PARK FACES DUE TO ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS.

Dataset and/or source
CalEnviroScreen 4.0[4], 2021, CoLA Equity Index (2024)[5], SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities.[6]

Description
Whether the site falls in a block group that meets at least one of the following thresholds: at or above the 75th percentile of CalEnviroScreen 4.0. the top 50% of the City of LA Equity Index score any SB 535 identified tract

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Does not meet at least one of the above thresholds

Highest Priority (1)
Meets at least one of the above thresholds

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site



Figure 8. PNA Criteria: Environmental, Social, and Health Equity. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: CalEnviroScreen 4.0, 2021, CoLA Equity Index (2024), SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities.

LOW SHADE COVER

High-Weight Criteria

THE LACK OF SHADE AT OR AROUND THE PARK.



Dataset and/or source
Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Tree Canopy Cover by Block Group (Tree People and Loyola Marymount University)[7], and Park Landcover (City of LA Bureau of Public Works - Forest Management, 2025).

Description
Availability of shade within each park and within a 10-minute walk of each park, based on land cover data.

Scale
Parkshed

Lowest Priority (0)
Highest canopy cover inside the park and within a 10-minute walk of the park

Highest Priority (1)
Lowest canopy cover inside the park and within a 10-minute walk of the park

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site

Figure 9. PNA Criteria: Low Shade Cover. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Tree Canopy Cover by Block Group (Tree People and Loyola Marymount University), and Park Landcover (City of LA Bureau of Public Works - Forest Management, 2025).

CLIMATE VULNERABILITY

High-Weight Criteria

THE NUMBER OF CLIMATE RISK FACTORS THE PARK IS VULNERABLE TO.

Dataset and/or source
LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LAC Climate Vulnerability Assessment.[8]

Description
Number of climate risk factors each site is vulnerable to, based on mid-century (2050) RCP85 projections from LA County for risk of fire, inland flooding, coastal flooding, drought, severe heat, and extreme precipitation.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Least number of climate risk factors

Highest Priority (1)
Greatest number of climate risk factors

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as parkshed



Figure 10. PNA Criteria: Climate Vulnerability. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LAC Climate Vulnerability Assessment.

PERCEIVED PARK SAFETY

High-Weight Criteria

THE NUMBER OF SAFETY CONCERNS THAT RESIDENTS HAVE WITH PARKS BY COUNCIL DISTRICT.

Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results

Dataset and/or source
PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

Description
Residents' perception of safety, based on the number of safety-related responses to the question "Please check all the reasons that prevent you or members of your household from visiting parks and recreation centers more often."

Scale
Council District

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest percentage of respondents indicating a safety concern

Highest Priority (1)
Highest percentage of respondents indicating a safety concern

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site



Figure 11. PNA Criteria: Perceived Park Safety. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

CRIMINALIZATION BURDEN

Medium-Weight Criteria

THE NEED FOR MORE PREVENTION-FIRST CRIMINAL JUSTICE POLICIES IN COMMUNITIES AROUND THE PARK.

Dataset and/or source
Justice Equity Need Index (JENI) Criminalization Risk (Catalyst California)[9]

Description
Presence of conditions by ZIP code where the criminal justice system has historically taken a detention-first, prevention-last approach, based on JENI's Criminalization Risk analysis, which measures: Mental Health Hospitalizations (per 1,000 people); Substance Use-Related Hospitalizations (per 1,000 people); Homelessness Rate (per 1,000 people).

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest presence of detention-first, prevention-last conditions

Highest Priority (1)
Highest presence of detention-first, prevention-last conditions

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site



Figure 12. PNA Criteria: Criminalization Burden. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Justice Equity Need Index (JENI) Criminalization Risk (Catalyst California)

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT HISTORY

Medium-Weight Criteria

THE HISTORIC CAPITAL INVESTMENT IN THIS SITE PER PARK ACRE.

Dataset and/or source
RAP Prop K, Quimby, Grants and Non Grants Funding

Description
Capital project investment per park acre from 2003-2024. New Park Priority Areas and sites with no investment data are rated as having low historic investment.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Highest historic investment

Highest Priority (1)
Lowest historic investment

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
One (Same as a park that has had no money spent)



Figure 13. PNA Criteria: Capital Improvement Project History. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: RAP Prop K, Quimby, Grants and Non Grants Funding

EXTREME HEAT RISK

Medium-Weight Criteria

THE RISK OF EXTREME HEAT IMPACTING COMMUNITIES AROUND THE PARK.

Dataset and/or source
LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LAC Climate Vulnerability Assessment.[10]

Description
The LA County CVA (Climate Vulnerability Assessment) categorizes Extreme Heat Risk for communities that are both exposed to, and at high social risk of the impacts of, extreme heat. These take into account the projected average and high temperatures as well as indicators such as ER hospitalization rates due to extreme heat exposure and other demographic and social risk factors.

Scale
Parkshed

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest projected exposure and susceptibility to extreme heat

Highest Priority (1)
Highest projected exposure and susceptibility to extreme heat

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as parkshed



Figure 14. PNA Criteria: Extreme Heat Risk. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LAC Climate Vulnerability Assessment.

LACK OF PRIVATE OPEN SPACE

Medium-Weight Criteria

THE LACK OF PRIVATE OPEN SPACE NEAR THE PARK.



Dataset and/or source
Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Impervious Cover by Residential Parcel (Tree People and Loyola Marymount University)[11]

Description
Percent Impervious land cover of residential parcels within a 10-minute walk of each park, calculated as the combined percentage of roads, buildings, driveways and other pavement.

Scale
Parkshed

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest percentage of impervious landcover

Highest Priority (1)
Highest percentage of impervious landcover

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as parkshed

Figure 15. PNA Criteria: Lack of Private Open Space. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Impervious Cover by Residential Parcel (Tree People and Loyola Marymount University)

BIODIVERSITY AND HABITAT CONSERVATION

Medium-Weight Criteria

THE PRESENCE, OR ABSENCE, OF A VARIETY OF PLANTS AND ANIMALS NEAR THE PARK.



Dataset and/or source
Urban Habitat Quality Score. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN).[12]

Description
Proximity to biodiverse and habitat rich areas, based on the presence of an area within a half-mile walk that is rated 7 or higher in LASAN's Biodiversity Index Urban Habitat Quality Score, which rates areas within the city on a scale from 1 to 10 from low to high quality habitat.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Does not contain high quality habitat and is within a half mile of high quality habitat (already close by)

Highest Priority (1)
Contains high quality habitat (that should be maintained) or is further than a half mile from quality habitat (introduction of habitat is needed)

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site

Figure 16. PNA Criteria: Biodiversity and Habitat Conservation. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Urban Habitat Quality Score. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN).

METRO CORRIDORS

Medium-Weight Criteria

THE PROXIMITY OF THE PARK TO A METRO STATION.



Dataset and/or source
Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), LA County Metropolitan Transit Authority (LAC MTA).

Description
Proximity to Metro Rail and Metrolink, as measured by being within a 10-minute walk of an existing station.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Sites outside of a 10-minute walk of an existing station

Highest Priority (1)
Sites within a 10-minute walk of an existing station

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site

Figure 17. PNA Criteria: Metro Corridors. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), LA County Metropolitan Transit Authority (LAC MTA).

PERCEIVED PARK CONDITION

Low-Weight Criteria

THE PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO THINK PARKS ARE IN POOR CONDITION BY COUNCIL DISTRICT.

Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results



Dataset and/or source
PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

Description
Residents' perception of the physical condition of parks by council district, based on responses to the question "How would you rate the physical condition?" by those who have visited any RAP parks in the past 12 months. Responses were multiple choice: Excellent, Good, Fair, or Poor.

Scale
Council District

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest percentage of respondents who rated the physical condition of parks as fair or poor

Highest Priority (1)
Highest percentage of respondents who rated the physical condition of parks as fair or poor

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site

Figure 18. PNA Criteria: Perceived Park Condition. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

PERCEIVED RECREATION CENTER CONDITION

Low-Weight Criteria

THE PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO THINK RECREATION CENTERS ARE IN POOR CONDITION BY COUNCIL DISTRICT.

Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results



Dataset and/or source
PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

Description
Residents' perception of the physical condition of recreation centers by council district, based on responses to the question "How would you rate the physical condition?" by those who have visited any RAP recreation center in the past 12 months. Responses were multiple choice: Excellent, Good, Fair, or Poor.

Scale
Council District

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest percentage of respondents who rated the physical condition of recreation centers as fair or poor

Highest Priority (1)
Highest percentage of respondents who rated the physical condition of recreation centers as fair or poor

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site

Figure 19. PNA Criteria: Perceived Recreation Center Condition. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

PERCEIVED WALKABILITY

Low-Weight Criteria

INDICATES IF RESIDENTS FEEL THAT THEY CAN WALK TO A PARK OR RECREATION CENTER FROM THEIR HOME BY COUNCIL DISTRICT.

Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results



Dataset and/or source
PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

Description
Residents' perception of walkability by council district, based on responses to the question "Do you feel there is a sufficient number of parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of your residence?" Responses were collected as either "yes" or "no".

Scale
Council District

Lowest Priority (0)
Highest percentage of respondents who feel there are a sufficient parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance

Highest Priority (1)
Lowest percentage of respondents who feel there are sufficient parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as site

Figure 20. PNA Criteria: Perceived Walkability. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

PRESENCE OF COMMUNITY PRIORITY AMENITIES

Low-Weight Criteria

THE AVAILABILITY AT THIS PARK OF THE TOP 5 AMENITIES RESIDENTS PRIORITIZED IN THIS COUNCIL DISTRICT.

Uses Statistically Valid Survey Results



Dataset and/or source
PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

Description
Availability of the top 5 indoor and outdoor facilities by council district, as identified by residents' responses to the question "Which four of the outdoor facilities [indoor facilities] listed above do you think are most important to you and the members of your household?", are available at each site.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Has all of residents' top 5 most important facilities

Highest Priority (1)
Has none of residents' top 5 most important facilities

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
One (Same as a park with no amenities)

Figure 21. PNA Criteria: Presence of Community Priority Amenities. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025.

PARK VISITATION

Low-Weight Criteria

THE DIFFERENCE IN NUMBER OF VISITORS PER ACRE AT THIS PARK COMPARED TO THE CITYWIDE AVERAGE.



Dataset and/or source
Placer.ai[13] data of park visitation

Description
Estimated number of visits per acre between April 1, 2024 and March 31, 2025 based on Placer.ai's statistical extrapolation of aggregated, anonymized cell phone data. Roughly 50 parks do not have visitation data because Placer.ai's privacy policy precludes publishing data on sensitive locations such as schools and small sites with only playgrounds to protect younger park users.[14] These sites will be considered to have median visitation in the prioritization process.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Visitation around the median

Highest Priority (1)
Visitation that is much higher (overuse) or much lower (underuse) than the median

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Median value (same as Park with No Data)

Figure 22. PNA Criteria: Park Visitation. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Placer.ai data of park visitation

MYLA311 REQUESTS

Low-Weight Criteria

THE NUMBER OF MYLA311 REQUESTS PER ACRE FOR THIS PARK.

Dataset and/or source
City of LA MyLA311 requests (2025)

Description
Number of MyLA311 requests per acre, based on published MyLA311 data from April 1, 2024 through March 31, 2025.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
lowest number of MyLA311 requests per acre

Highest Priority (1)
highest number of MyLA311 requests per acre

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Median value

Figure 23. PNA Criteria: MyLA311 Requests. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: City of LA MyLA311 requests (2025)

HABITAT CONNECTIVITY

Low-Weight Criteria

THE LOCATION OF A PARK WITHIN A HALF MILE OF A HABITAT CONNECTIVITY POINT.



Dataset and/or source
Citywide Wildlife Connectivity. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN).[15]

Description
Proximity to a wildlife connectivity pinch point, based on the presence of a pinch point from the LASAN Biodiversity Index Citywide Wildlife Connectivity Score within a half-mile walk. The Biodiversity Index defines a pinch point as a bottleneck where further habitat loss could restrict movement.[16]

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Is farther than a half mile from a pinch point

Highest Priority (1)
Contains or is within a half mile of a pinch point

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Use square as site

Figure 24. PNA Criteria: Habitat Connectivity. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Citywide Wildlife Connectivity. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN).

TREE SPECIES COMPOSITION

Low-Weight Criteria

PERCENTAGE OF LIVING NONNATIVE TREES IN THE PARK.



Dataset and/or source
City of LA RAP, Tree Inventory and OLIN, 2025.

Description
Percentage of trees in each park that are native species and viable, based on RAP's inventory of trees within their system. Approximately 40% of viable trees in this database are native species.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Highest percentage of trees that are native species

Highest Priority (1)
Lowest percentage of trees that are native species

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Median Value

Figure 25. PNA Criteria: Tree Species Composition. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: City of LA RAP, Tree Inventory and OLIN (2025).

INFILTRATION AND RECHARGE OPPORTUNITIES

Low-Weight Criteria

IF THE PARK IS LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE GROUNDWATER RECHARGE AND INFILTRATION ARE MOST FEASIBLE.



Dataset and/or source
LADWP Stormwater Capture Master Plan, 2015.

Description
Suitability for groundwater recharge and infiltration, based on the geophysical categories for infiltration in LADWP's Stormwater Capture Master Plan.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest infiltration opportunity

Highest Priority (1)
Highest infiltration opportunity

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Use square as site

Figure 26. PNA Criteria: Infiltration and Recharge Opportunities. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: LADWP Stormwater Capture Master Plan, 2015.

WATER QUALITY PRIORITY

Low-Weight Criteria

IF THE PARK IS LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE IMPROVING WATER QUALITY IS A COUNTY PRIORITY.



Dataset and/or source
Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Water Quality Priority from LA County Public Works, Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP) LSPC Model Input (2012) and Geosyntec (2018).

Description
Priority of the watershed each site is in for water quality improvements, based on LA County Public Works' Water Quality Priority scores.

Scale
Site

Lowest Priority (0)
Within a watershed that has the lowest water quality need

Highest Priority (1)
Within a watershed that has the highest water quality need

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as parkshed

Figure 27. PNA Criteria: Water Quality Priority. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Water Quality Priority from LA County Public Works, Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP) LSPC Model Input (2012) and Geosyntec (2018).

LA COUNTY PNA

Low-Weight Criteria

THE SITE'S PARK NEED ACCORDING TO THE 2016 LA COUNTY PARK NEEDS ASSESSMENT.



Dataset and/or source
Detailed LA County Park Needs Assessment Park Need (LA County)[17]

Description
Park need as identified by the 2016 LA County Park Needs Assessment, which considered a walkshed analysis, park pressure, and park conditions.

Scale
Parkshed

Lowest Priority (0)
Lowest park need score

Highest Priority (1)
Highest park need score

New Park Priority Area Scoring Notes
Used square as parkshed

Figure 28. PNA Criteria: LA County Park Needs Assessment. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Detailed LA County Park Needs Assessment Park Need (LA County)

Figure 29. Drone image of Echo Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025.

PERSQUAREMILE DETAILS

NEW PARK PRIORITY AREAS

The PerSquareMile tool identifies potential locations where RAP might invest in developing new parks.

WHAT IS A PERSQUAREMILE GRID?

The PerSquareMile analysis identifies the most populous, park-underserved 1-mile grid areas in Los Angeles. It then narrows these areas by identifying the grids with people experiencing the highest social and environmental vulnerabilities. This analysis guides investments in creating new park spaces to maximize impact.

ACCESS AND SUPPLY METRICS

The square-mile grid cells chosen as New Park Priority Areas contain the top 25% of residents lacking in either the park access or park supply and are also either in the top quartile of exposure based on their CalEnviroScreen4.0 (CES) or identified as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). The PerSquareMile grid uses the DAC definition from the Statewide Parks Program, the largest park funding program in California history. In that program, DAC is defined as areas below 80% of statewide median household income. Through this process, 36 New Park Priority Areas were added to the Universe of Sites alongside the 482 existing parks.

PERSQUAREMILE GRIDS

1. PerSquareMile - Vermont Knolls
2. PerSquareMile - Florence
3. PerSquareMile - Vermont-Slauson
4. PerSquareMile - Harvard Park
5. PerSquareMile - Vermont-South Park
6. PerSquareMile - South Park-Florence
7. PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda
8. PerSquareMile - East Vermont Square
9. PerSquareMile - Historic South Central
10. PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda-North
11. PerSquareMile - Exposition Park
12. PerSquareMile - North Historic South Central
13. PerSquareMile - University Park North
14. PerSquareMile - Harvard Heights-Pico
15. PerSquareMile - Pico-Union
16. PerSquareMile - Downtown
17. PerSquareMile - Boyle Heights
18. PerSquareMile - Windsor Square
19. PerSquareMile - Koreatown
20. PerSquareMile - Westlake-Koreatown
21. PerSquareMile - Westlake
22. PerSquareMile - East Hollywood-Koreatown
23. PerSquareMile - East Hollywood
24. PerSquareMile - Tarzana-Encino
25. PerSquareMile - Van Nuys – Valley Glen
26. PerSquareMile - Winetka
27. PerSquareMile - Van Nuys West
28. PerSquareMile - Van Nuys Central
29. PerSquareMile - Van Nuys East
30. PerSquareMile - North Hollywood
31. PerSquareMile - Reseda
32. PerSquareMile - South Panorama City
33. PerSquareMile - Sun Valley
34. PerSquareMile - North Hills
35. PerSquareMile - Panorama City West
36. PerSquareMile - Arleta



THIS MAP IDENTIFIES NEW PARK PRIORITY AREAS FOR ADDRESSING THE TOP 25% OF THE NEED FOR NEW PARKS ACCESS AND ADDITIONAL PARK ACREAGE (SUPPLY), AS PART OF THE UNIVERSE OF SITES FOR THE PNA.

LEGEND

- Priority Areas due to Lack of Park Proximity (10 Minute Walk)
- Priority Areas due to Lack of Park Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents)
- Priority Areas due to Lack of Both Park Proximity and Park Supply

Figure 30. Thirty-six New Park Priority Areas were added to the "Universe of Sites" using the PerSquareMile tool. These sites were selected to help address both Park Access and Park Supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

VERMONT - KNOLLS





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 31. Parks within and near the Vermont - Knolls Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Florence, Broadway-Manchester, Vermont Knolls, Vermont Vista

Council Districts: CD 8, CD 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquare Mile - Vermont Knolls reside in an area where there is not enough park space for the number of people who live nearby. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Vermont Knolls can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

VERMONT - KNOLLS CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 10.63% of population, 1,893 out of 17,800 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.82 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Vermont - Slauson Grid:

Algin Sutton Recreation Center, Vermont Miracle Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Vermont - Knolls Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 7.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,950 to 23,537.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 7.2% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.82 to 0.76.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 8.1% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,800 to 19,249.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 7.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,888 to 23,456.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 6.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,893 to 2,017.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 7.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,804 to 1,939.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 6.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,893 to 2,017.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 7.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,804 to 1,939.



FLORENCE



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 32. **Parks within and near the Florence Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.**
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Broadway-Manchester, Florence, Green Meadows

Council Districts: 8, 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Florence for the amount of people who live there. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Florence can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

FLORENCE CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 1.20% of population, 302 out of 15,359 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.35 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Florence Grid:

Green Meadows Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Florence Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 1.34% between 2023 and 2050, from 23,165 to 22,855.

PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 1.34% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.35 to 0.36.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 2.3% between 2023 and 2050, from 15,359 to 15,705.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 1.34% between 2023 and 2050, from 23,165 to 22,855.

TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 13.91% between 2023 and 2050, from 302 to 260.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 13.91% between 2023 and 2050, from 302 to 260.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 13.91% between 2023 and 2050, from 302 to 260.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 13.91% between 2023 and 2050, from 302 to 260.

VERMONT - SLAUSON





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 33. Parks within and near the Vermont - Slauson Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Vermont Knolls, Vermont-Slauson, Florence

Council Districts: CD 8, CD 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquareMile - Vermont-Slauson reside in an area where there is not enough parkspace for the number of people who live nearby. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Vermont-Slauson can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

VERMONT - SLOUSON CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 1.08% of population, 224 out of 20,795 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.23 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Vermont - Slauson Grid:

76th St Pocket Park, Hoover Gage Park, Mount Carmel Recreation Center, Mary McLeod Bethune Middle School (CSP), South Los Angeles Activity Center, Vermont Gage Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Vermont - Slauson Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 0.03% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,255 to 21,261.

PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 0.03% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people staying the same, 0.23.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 0.37% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,795 to 20,871.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 0.22% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,902 to 20,948.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 5.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 224 to 236.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 5.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 224 to 236.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 5.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 224 to 236.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 5.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 224 to 236.



HARVARD-PARK



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 34. Parks within and near the Harvard-Park Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Chesterfield Square, Harvard Park, Vermont-Slauson, Vermont Square

Council Districts: 8, 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Harvard-Park for the amount of people who live there. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Harvard Park can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

HARVARD-PARK CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 14.00% of population, 2,830 out of 20,221 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.41 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Harvard-Park Grid:

Challengers Boys & Girls Club, Harvard Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Harvard-Park Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 11.59% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,221 to 17,877.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 11.59% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.41 to 0.46.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 0.72% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,086 to 9,021.

CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 11.59% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,221 to 17,877.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 13.25% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,830 to 2,455.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 7.65% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,738 to 1,605.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 13.25% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,830 to 2,455.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 7.65% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,738 to 1,605.

VERMONT - SOUTH PARK





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 35. Parks within and near the Vermont - South Park Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Chesterfield Square, Harvard Park, Vermont-Slauson, Vermont Square

Council Districts: CD 8, CD 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquareMile - Vermont-South Park reside in an area where there is not enough parkspace for the number of people who live nearby. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Vermont-South Park can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

VERMONT - SOUTH PARK CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 73.42% of population, 16,605 out of 22,615 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.01 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Vermont - South Park Grid:

Senator Bill Greene Memorial Park, South Broadway Pocket Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Vermont - South Park Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 5.34% between 2023 and 2050, from 22,615 to 21,408.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 5.34% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people staying the same, 0.01.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 5.87% between 2023 and 2050, from 15,476 to 14,568.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 5.34% between 2023 and 2050, from 22,615 to 21,408.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 9.28% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,605 to 1,456.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 19.78% between 2023 and 2050, from 455 to 365.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 9.28% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,605 to 1,456.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 19.78% between 2023 and 2050, from 455 to 365.



SOUTH PARK - FLORENCE



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 36. Parks within and near the South Park - Florence Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: South Park, Florence

Council Districts: CD 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquareMile - South Park-Florence reside in an area where parks are too small for the number of people who live nearby. This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - South Park-Florence can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

SOUTH PARK - FLORENCE CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0% of population, 0 out of 19,131 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 1.48 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population: Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the South Park - Florence Grid:

61st St Pocket Park, South LA Wetlands Park, South Park Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the South Park - Florence Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 7.84% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,131 to 17,631.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 7.84% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 1.48 to 1.61.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 7.27% between 2023 and 2050, from 18,668 to 17,310.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 7.84% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,131 to 17,631.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, from 0 to 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, from 0 to 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, from 0 to 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, from 0 to 0.



CENTRAL-ALAMEDA



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 37. Parks within and near the Central-Alameda Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Central-Alameda, Florence, South Park

Council Districts: 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

CENTRAL-ALAMEDA CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 21,337 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.80 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the Central-Alameda Grid:

James Slauson Recreation Area, Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Central-Alameda Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 9.27% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,337 to 19,359.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 9.27% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.80 to 0.88.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 8.29% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,035 to 18,374.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 9.27% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,337 to 19,359.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

EAST VERMONT SQUARE



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 38. **Parks within and near the East Vermont Square, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.** Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: South Park, Historic South-Central, Vermont Square, Exposition Park

Council Districts: 8, 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - East Vermont Square for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - East Vermont Square can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

EAST VERMONT SQUARE CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 6.60% of population, 1,656 out of 25,242 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.30 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the East Vermont Square Grid:

EXPO Center, Orchard Ave Park, Julian C Dixon Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the East Vermont Square Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 3.15% between 2023 and 2050, from 25,242 to 24,448.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 3.15% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.30 to 0.31.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 1.64% between 2023 and 2050, from 23,656 to 23,269.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 2.97% between 2023 and 2050, from 25,093 to 24,348.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 2.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,656 to 1,689.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 2.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,656 to 1,689.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 2.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,643 to 1,684.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 2.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,643 to 1,684.

HISTORIC SOUTH CENTRAL





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 39. Parks within and near the Historic South Central Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: South Park, Historic South Central

Council Districts: CD 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquareMile - Historic South Central reside in an area where parks are too small for the number of people who live nearby. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Historic South Central can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

HISTORIC SOUTH CENTRAL CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 26,397 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.83 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Historic South Central Grid:

Gilbert W Lindsay Recreation Center, South Park Recreation Area

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Historic South Central Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 4.83% between 2023 and 2050, from 26,397 to 25,122.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 4.83% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.83 to 0.87.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 5.55% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,117 to 19,946.

CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 4.83% between 2023 and 2050, from 26,397 to 25,122.

TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to remain the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to remain the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to remain the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CENTRAL-ALAMEDA-NORTH



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 40. Parks within and near the Central-Alameda-North Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: South Park, Historic South-Central, Central-Alameda

Council Districts: 10, 13

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda-North for the amount of people who live there. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda-North can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

CENTRAL-ALAMEDA-NORTH CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 21,653 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.66 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Central-Alameda-North Grid:

Fred Roberts Recreation Center, Ross Snyder Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Central-Alameda-North Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 4.07% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,653 to 20,772.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 4.07% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.66 to 0.68.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 1.64% between 2023 and 2050, from 18,190 to 17,891.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 4.07% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,653 to 20,772.

TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

EXPOSITION PARK



Figure 41. Parks within and near the Exposition Park, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Adams-Normandie, Exposition Park, Jefferson Park

Council Districts: 8, 10

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Exposition Park for the amount of people who live there. This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

EXPOSITION PARK CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 22,112 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.39 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the Exposition Park Grid:

Denker Recreation Center, Martin Luther King Jr Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Exposition Park Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 8.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 22,112 to 24,052.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 8.8% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.39 to 0.36.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 9.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,220 to 21,063.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 6.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,918 to 19,158.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



NORTH HISTORIC SOUTH CENTRAL



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 42. Parks within and near the North Historic South Central, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Historic South-Central

Council Districts: 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - North Historic South Central for the amount of people who live there. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - North Historic South Central can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

NORTH HISTORIC SOUTH CENTRAL CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 3.40% of population, 832 out of 21,695 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.10 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the North Historic South Central Grid:

Trinity Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the North Historic South Central Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 2.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,695 to 22,315.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 2.9% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.10 to 0.09.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 7.3% between 2023 and 2050, from 16,526 to 17,732.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 2.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,695 to 22,315.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 5.41% between 2023 and 2050, from 832 to 787.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 2.70% between 2023 and 2050, from 704 to 685.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 5.41% between 2023 and 2050, from 832 to 787.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 2.70% between 2023 and 2050, from 704 to 685.

UNIVERSITY PARK NORTH



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 43. Parks within and near the University Park North, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: Adams-Normandie, Historic South-Central, Pico-Union, University Park

Council Districts: 1, 8, 9

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - University Park North for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - University Park North can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

UNIVERSITY PARK NORTH CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, , 0 out of 21,770 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.35 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the University Park North Grid:

Hoover Recreation Center, Parque Nativo Lopez, Saint James Park, Toberman Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the University Park North Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 13% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,770 to 24,545.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 13% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.35 to 0.31.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 15% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,460 to 23,508.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 13% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,756 to 24,528.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

HARVARD HEIGHTS-PICO



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 44. Parks within and near the Harvard Heights-Pico Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Pico-Union, Koreatown, Harvard Heights

Council Districts: 1, 10

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Harvard Heights-Pico for the amount of people who live there. This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Harvard Heights-Pico can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

HARVARD HEIGHTS-PICO CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.05% of population, 146 out of 27,182 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.25 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the Harvard-Heights-Pico Grid:

Normandie Recreation Center, Seoul Internation Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Harvard Heights-Pico Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 26% between 2023 and 2050, from 27,182 to 34,211.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 26% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.25 to 0.20.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 26% between 2023 and 2050, from 26,395 to 33,291.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 30% between 2023 and 2050, from 16,905 to 22,002.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 5.48% between 2023 and 2050, from 146 to 138.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 5.48% between 2023 and 2050, from 146 to 138.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 5.48% between 2023 and 2050, from 146 to 138.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 5.48% between 2023 and 2050, from 146 to 138.

PICO-UNION



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 45. Parks within and near the Pico-Union, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: Westlake Pico-Union, Koreatown

Council Districts: 1, 10

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Pico-Union for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

PICO-UNION CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 1.53% of population, 616 out of 40,301 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.04 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Pico-Union Grid:

Alvarado Terrace Park, Hope and Peace Park, Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Pico-Union Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 40,301 to 45,071.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.04 to 0.03.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 40,152 to 44,954.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 11% between 2023 and 2050, from 37,979 to 42,295.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 35% between 2023 and 2050, from 616 to 829.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 35% between 2023 and 2050, from 616 to 829.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 35% between 2023 and 2050, from 616 to 829.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 35% between 2023 and 2050, from 616 to 829.

DOWNTOWN



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 46. Parks within and near the Downtown, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: South

Neighborhoods: Downtown

Council Districts: 1, 14

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Downtown for the amount of people who live there. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Downtown can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

DOWNTOWN CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 27,533 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.61 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Downtown Grid:

1st and Broadway Civic Center, City Hall Park, Pershing Square, San Julian Park, Spring Street Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Downtown Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 158% between 2023 and 2050, from 27,533 to 70,978.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 158% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.61 to 0.24.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 220% between 2023 and 2050, from 14,752 to 47,255.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 170% between 2023 and 2050, from 24,751 to 66,743.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



BOYLE HEIGHTS



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 47. Parks within and near the Boyle Heights Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: Boyle Heights

Council Districts: 14

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Boyle Heights for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Boyle Heights can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

BOYLE HEIGHTS CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 20,970 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.55 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the Boyle Heights Grid:

Brooklyn Heights Park, Hollenbeck Park, Prospect Park, Ross Valencia Community Park, State Street Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Boyle Heights Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 2.96% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,970 to 20,350.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 2.96% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people increasing from 0.55 to 0.56.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 2.86% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,290 to 18,739.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 2.35% between 2023 and 2050, from 20,461 to 19,981.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.

WINDSOR SQUARE



Figure 48. Parks within and near the Windsor Square Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: Hancock Park, Koreatown, Mid-Wilshire, Windsor Square

Council Districts: CD 5, CD 10, CD 13

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Windsor Square lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Windsor Square can counterbalance the well-being challenges these communities face by bringing parks closer.

WINDSOR SQUARE CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 60.60% of population, 12.117 out of 19,995 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.08 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Windsor Square Grid:

Harold A Henry Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Windsor Square Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 18% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,995 to 23,689.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 18% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.08 to 0.07.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 21% between 2023 and 2050, from 14,614 to 17,704.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 43% between 2023 and 2050, from 402 to 574.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 16% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,117 to 14,093.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 19% between 2023 and 2050, from 10,698 to 12,712.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 88% between 2023 and 2050, from 83 to 156.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 88% between 2023 and 2050, from 83 to 156.



KOREATOWN





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 49. Parks within and near the Koreatown Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Central/East

Neighborhoods: Koreatown

Council Districts: CD 1, CD 10, CD 13

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

People in PerSquare Mile - Koreatown live in a park-underserved Disadvantaged Community. Many people lack access to parks within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). Others are in areas where the parks are too small for the number of people who live nearby. Most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Koreatown can increase the amount of available park space per person and improve their access to nearby parks.

KOREATOWN CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 37.01% of population, 17,970 out of 48,561 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.0 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the Koreatown Grid:

Pio Pico Library Pocket Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Koreatown Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 14% between 2023 and 2050, from 48,561 to 55,509.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 14% between 2023 and 2050, from 45,408 to 51,610.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 14% between 2023 and 2050, from 15,357 to 17,491.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 21% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,970 to 21,783.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 21% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,907 to 21,688.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 22% between 2023 and 2050, from 4,065 to 4,953.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 22% between 2023 and 2050, from 4,065 to 4,953.



WESTLAKE-KOREATOWN



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 50. Parks within and near the Westlake-Koreatown Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: Koreatown, Westlake

Council Districts: 1, 10

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Westlake-Koreatown for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

WESTLAKE-KOREATOWN CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 92,950 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.43 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Westlake-Koreatown Grid:

Golden Age Park, Lafayette Recreation Center, MacArthur Park, Shatto Park Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Westlake-Koreatown Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 17% between 2023 and 2050, from 40,384 to 47,259.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 17% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 1.17 to 1.00.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 19% between 2023 and 2050, from 35,633 to 42,532.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 19% between 2023 and 2050, from 33,281 to 39,589.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



WESTLAKE



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 51. Parks within and near the Westlake Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: Westlake, Downtown, Echo Park

Council Districts: 1, 13

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - Westlake for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Westlake can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

WESTLAKE CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 38,451 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.46 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Westlake Grid:

Echo Park, Lake Street Park, Rockwood Community Park, Unidad Park, Vista Hermosa Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Westlake Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 9.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 38,451 to 42,111.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 9.5% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.46 to 0.42.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 30,679 to 34,320.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 8.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 36,605 to 39,737.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



EAST HOLLYWOOD - KOREATOWN



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 52. Parks within and near the East Hollywood-Koreatown Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: East Hollywood, Koreatown

Council Districts: 10, 13

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - East Hollywood-Koreatown for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - East Hollywood-Koreatown can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

EAST HOLLYWOOD-KOREATOWN CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 29,982 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.01 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the East Hollywood-Koreatown Grid:

Harvard Elementary School (CSP)

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the East Hollywood-Koreatown Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 7.7% between 2023 and 2050, from 29,982 to 32,288.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 7.7% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people staying the same, 0.01.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 7.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 29,609 to 31,924



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 8.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 21,651 to 23,487.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 7.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,701 to 2,890.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 7.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,701 to 2,890.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 5.86% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,161 to 1,093.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 5.86% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,161 to 1,093.

EAST HOLLYWOOD



Figure 53. Parks within and near the East Hollywood Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: East/Central

Neighborhoods: East Hollywood, Los Feliz

Council Districts: 4, 13

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - East Hollywood for the amount of people who live there. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Florence can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

EAST HOLLYWOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 28,209 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.41 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the East Hollywood Grid:

Barnsdall Park, La Mirada Park, Lemon Grove Recreation Center, Ramona Elementary School (CSP)

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the East Hollywood Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 6.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 28,209 to 29,912.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 6.0% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.41 to 0.39.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 4.7% between 2023 and 2050, from 26,743 to 28,004.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 9.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 24,387 to 26,573.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access is predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to stay the same between 2023 and 2050, at 0.



TARZANA - ENCINO



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 54. **Parks within and near the Tarzana - Encino Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.** Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Tarzana, Encino

Council Districts: CD 3, CD 4

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquare Mile - Tarzana-Encino lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Tarzana-Encino can counterbalance the well-being challenges these communities face by bringing parks closer.

TARZANA - ENCINO CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 87.93% of population, 16,817 out of 19,126 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.00 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

Existing Parks within the Tarzana Encino Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Tarzana Encino Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 1.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,126 to 19,319.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 5.22% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,393 to 11,746.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 1.36% between 2023 and 2050, from 6,772 to 6,680.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 0.40% between 2023 and 2050, from 16,817 to 16,884.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 1.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 13,184 to 13,381.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.21% between 2023 and 2050, from 6,356 to 6,279.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.21% between 2023 and 2050, from 6,356 to 6,279.



VAN NUYS – VALLEY GLEN



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 55. Parks within and near the Van Nuys – Valley Glen Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Van Nuys, Valley Glen

Council Districts: 2, 4, 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). They also face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

VAN NUYS – VALLEY GLEN CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.00% of population, 0 out of 18,370 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.08 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Van Nuys – Valley Glen Grid:

Van Nuys Multipurpose Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Van Nuys - Valley Glen Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 7.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 18,370 to 19,823.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 7.9% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.08 to 0.07.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 6.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 15,346 to 16,359.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 6.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 16,566 to 17,698.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 13% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,317 to 10,485.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 13% between 2023 and 2050, from 6,492 to 7,338.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 7,778 to 8,690.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 6,492 to 7,268.



WINETKA



Figure 56. Parks within and near the Winetka Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Winetka, Encino, Tarzana

Council Districts: CD 3, CD 4

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Winetka lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). They also face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Winetka would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

WINETKA CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 76.70% of population, 9,925 out of 12,895 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.00 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Winetka Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Winetka Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 4.84% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,895 to 12,271.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 7.63% between 2023 and 2050, from 3,461 to 3,197.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 4.84% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,895 to 12,271.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 4.35% between 2023 and 2050, from 10,376 to 9,925.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 10.43% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,943 to 2,636.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 4.35% between 2023 and 2050, from 10,376 to 9,925.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 10.43% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,943 to 2,636.



VAN NUYS WEST





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 57. Parks within and near the Van Nuys West Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Van Nuys

Council Districts: CD 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Van Nuys West lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Van Nuys West would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

VAN NUYS WEST CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 89.28% of population, 13,738 out of 15,388 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.00 acres, *Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres*

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Van Nuys West Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Van Nuys West Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 8.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,015 to 18,442.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 7.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 15,388 to 16,605.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 8.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,015 to 18,442.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 9.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 13,738 to 15,085.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 9.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,111 to 13,248.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 9.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 13,738 to 15,085.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 9.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,111 to 13,248.



VAN NUYS CENTRAL



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 58. **Parks within and near the Van Nuys Central Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.** Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Van Nuys

Council Districts: CD 2, CD 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Van Nuys Central lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California.Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Van Nuys Central would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

VAN NUYS CENTRAL CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 63.02% of population, 11,799 out of 18,722 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.00 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Van Nuys Central Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Van Nuys Central Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 13% between 2023 and 2050, from 18,722 to 21,116.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 16% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,510 to 13,340.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 16% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,510 to 13,340.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 5.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,799 to 12,448.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 7.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,083 to 8,664.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 5.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,799 to 12,448.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 7.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,083 to 8,664.



VAN NUYS EAST



Figure 59. Parks within and near the Van Nuys East Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Van Nuys, Valley Glen

Council Districts: CD 2, CD 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys East reside in an area where parks are too small for the number of people who live nearby. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys East can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

VAN NUYS EAST CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 5.19% of population, 1,082 out of 20,856 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.22 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population: CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Van Nuys East Grid:

Greenwood Square Park, Hartland Mini Park, Van Nuys Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Van Nuys East Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 5.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,826 to 20,856.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 5.2% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.22 to 0.21.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 4.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 17,308 to 18,071.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 5.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,826 to 20,856.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 1.10% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,094 to 1,082.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.10% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,094 to 1,082.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.10% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,094 to 1,082.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.10% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,094 to 1,082.

NORTH HOLLYWOOD





LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 60. Parks within and near the North Hollywood, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: North Hollywood, Sun Valley

Council Districts: 2

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

There are not enough parks in PerSquareMile - North Hollywood for the amount of people who live there. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

NORTH HOLLYWOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 1

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 75.0% of population, 9,964 out of 13,557 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People:0.00 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the North Hollywood Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the North Hollywood Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 3.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 13,557 to 14,069



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 8.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,361 to 12,293.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 3.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 13,557 to 14,069.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 1.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,964 to 10,155.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 6.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,344 to 8,922.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 1.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,964 to 10,155.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 6.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,344 to 8,922.



RESEDA



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 61. Parks within and near the Reseda Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Reseda, Northridge

Council Districts: CD 3, CD 4, CD 12

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Reseda lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). They also face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Reseda would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

RESEDA

CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 77.11% of population, 8,776 out of 11,381 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.07 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Reseda Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Reseda Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 8.2% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,381 to 12,316.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 8.2% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.07 to 0.06.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 7.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 6,652 to 7,144.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 6.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 10,153 to 10,801.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 8.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,776 to 9,547.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 10% between 2023 and 2050, from 5,889 to 6,482.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 8.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,669 to 9,415.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 10% between 2023 and 2050, from 5,889 to 6,482.



SOUTH PANORAMA CITY



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 62. Parks within and near the South Panorama City Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Panorama City

Council Districts: CD 2, CD 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - South Panorama City lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). They also face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - South Panorama City would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

SOUTH PANORAMA CITY CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 82.60% of population, 9,207 out of 11,147 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.0 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the South Panorama City Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the South Panorama City Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 5.6% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,147 to 11,766.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 7.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,474 to 10,180.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 5.7% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,037 to 11,667.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 3.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,207 to 9,558.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 5.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 7,534 to 7,973.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 4.0% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,097 to 9,459.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 5.8% between 2023 and 2050, from 7,527 to 7,965.



SUN VALLEY



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 63. Parks within and near the Sun Valley Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Sun Valley

Council Districts: CD 2, CD 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Sun Valley lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). This is an area where most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. Creating new parks in PerSquare Mile - Sun Valley would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

SUN VALLEY CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 87.93% of population, 16,817 out of 19,126 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.00 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Sun Valley Grid:

Camillia Ave Elementary School (CSP)

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Sun Valley Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 0.42% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,577 to 12,630.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 0.30% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,803 to 9,774.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 0.42% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,577 to 12,630.

TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 0.65% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,149 to 11,076.

DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.84% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,375 to 8,221.



CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 0.65% between 2023 and 2050, from 11,149 to 11,076.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to decrease by 1.84% between 2023 and 2050, from 8,375 to 8,221.



NORTH HILLS



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 64. Parks within and near the North Hills Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: North Hills, Panorama City, Van Nuys

Council Districts: CD 6, CD 7, CD 12

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquareMile - North Hills reside in an area where parks are too small for the number of people who live nearby. People here may face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - North Hills can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

NORTH HILLS CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 16.21% of population, 3,308 out of 20,410 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.036 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the North Hills Grid:

North Hills Community Park

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the North Hills Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 4.7% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,499 to 20,410.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to increase by 4.7% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people decreasing from 0.20 to 0.19.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 6.9% between 2023 and 2050, from 16,394 to 17,521.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 4.7% between 2023 and 2050, from 19,499 to 20,410.

TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to decrease by 4.50% between 2023 and 2050, from 3,464 to 3,308.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 18% between 2023 and 2050, from 679 to 799.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to decrease by 4.50% between 2023 and 2050, from 3,464 to 3,308.

CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 18% between 2023 and 2050, from 679 to 799.

PANORAMA CITY WEST



LEGEND

Existing Parks | Proximity (10 Minute Walk) | Supply (Less than 3 park acres per 1,000 residents) | Both

Figure 65. Parks within and near the Panorama City West Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Panorama City, Van Nuys

Council Districts: CD 6, CD 7

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

All people in PerSquareMile - Panorama City West reside in an area where there is not enough park space for the number of people who live nearby. This is one of the most vulnerable areas in the city. The area faces higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+), and most households earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California. That is defined as a Disadvantaged Community (DAC). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Panorama City West can increase the amount of available park space per person and avoid overcrowding.

PANORAMA CITY WEST CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 2

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 0.49% of population, 140 out of 29,872 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.036 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Panorama City West Grid:

Sepulveda Recreation Center

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Panorama City West Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to decrease by 0.34% between 2023 and 2050, from 29,872 to 29,769.



PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

Park pressure is predicted to decrease by 0.34% between 2023 and 2050, with acres available per thousand people staying the same, 0.36.



DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to decrease by 0.27% between 2023 and 2050, from 29,457 to 29,376.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to decrease by 0.34% between 2023 and 2050, from 29,872 to 29,769.

TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 140 to 157.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 140 to 157.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 140 to 157.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 12% between 2023 and 2050, from 140 to 157.



ARLETA



Figure 66. Parks within and near the Arleta Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply.
Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025.

LOCATION

Region: Valley

Neighborhoods: Arleta, Panorama City

Council Districts: CD 6

WHY WAS THIS AREA SELECTED?

Many people in PerSquareMile - Arleta lack access to a park within a 10-minute walk (a half mile). They also face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+). Creating new parks in PerSquareMile - Arleta would provide needed green space, recreation, and health benefits to residents.

ARLETA CHARACTERISTICS

Prioritization Tier: 3

Total Population Farther Than a 10 Minute Walk to a Park: 74.88% of population, 9,255 out of 12,359 people

Park Supply per 1,000 People: 0.00 acres

Suggested optimal is 3.0 acres

Population:

Disadvantaged Community (DAC)

CalEnvironScreen 75+ (CES75+)

Existing Parks within the Arleta Grid:

None

FUTURE PARK NEED PROJECTIONS

These graphs portray what would happen to people living in the Arleta Grid if no actions are taken to increase park access and supply.

POPULATION OF GRID

The population for this grid is predicted to increase by 0.82% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,359 to 12,460.

PARK ACRES PER 1000 PEOPLE

There are no parks in this PerSquareMile grid. The absence of parks means there is no parkland to relieve the population pressure at all, and it gets more urgent as the population increases.

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (DAC) POPULATION

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) are predicted to increase by 2.5% between 2023 and 2050, from 2,604 to 2,669.



CALENVIROSCREEN 75TH+ PERCENTILE (CES75+) POPULATION

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) are predicted to increase by 0.79% between 2023 and 2050, from 12,334 to 12,432.



TOTAL POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

The population for this grid without park access is predicted to increase by 0.88% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,255 to 9,336.



DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

Households that earn less than 80% of what the median household earns in California (DAC) without park access are predicted to increase by 8.4% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,238 to 1,342.

CES75+ POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People that face higher environmental risks than 75% of communities in California (CES75+) without park access are predicted to increase by 0.83% between 2023 and 2050, from 9,230 to 9,307.



CES75+ AND DAC POPULATION WHO LACK PARKS WITHIN A 10-MINUTE WALK

People considered CES75+ and DAC without park access are predicted to increase by 8.3% between 2023 and 2050, from 1,213 to 1,314.



Figure 67. Flying over Sixth Stree Viaduct during the 2025 CicLAVia Heart of LA event when cyclists have exclusive right-of-way. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025.



DETAILED SITE PRIORITIZATION SCORES

UNIVERSE OF SITES

Organized alphabetically by priority tier.

Highest Weight

FIRST PRIORITY

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
24	105th Street Pocket Park	**0.11**	Mini Park	South	0.8057	1.00	0.30	0.25	1.00
1	11th Avenue Park	**0.21**	Mini Park	South	1.0000	1.00	0.32	0.50	1.00
5	97th Street Pocket Park	**0.13**	Mini Park	South	0.8741	1.00	0.37	0.50	1.00
11	Arts District Park	**0.51**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.8537	1.00	0.45	0.50	1.00
13	LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	**6.22**	Greenway	North	0.8499	0.75	0.14	0.00	1.00
20	Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	**2.02**	Community School Park	Cen/East	0.8184	0.75	0.34	0.00	1.00
12	Little Green Acres Park	**0.23**	Mini Park	South	0.8535	1.00	0.19	0.50	1.00
25	Ord And Yale Street Park	**0.60**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.8049	0.75	0.40	0.00	1.00
10	PerSquareMile - Downtown	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.8573	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
15	PerSquareMile - East Vermont Square	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.8475	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
19	PerSquareMile - Exposition Park	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.8271	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
14	PerSquareMile - N Hist South Central	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.8495	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
23	PerSquareMile - North Hollywood	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.8058	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
22	PerSquareMile - Pico-Union	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.8139	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
6	PerSquareMile - University Park North	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.8667	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
17	PerSquareMile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.8349	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
7	PerSquareMile - Westlake	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.8630	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
9	PerSquareMile - Westlake-Koreatown	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.8603	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
21	Rolland Curtis Park	**0.09**	Mini Park	South	0.8151	1.00	0.19	0.00	1.00
2	Saint James Park	**0.90**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.9024	0.75	0.46	1.00	1.00
3	San Julian Park	**0.29**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.8948	1.00	0.20	0.67	1.00
16	Sixth Street Viaduct Park	**12.52**	Community Park	Cen/East	0.8451	0.25	0.06	0.00	1.00
4	South Victoria Avenue Park	**0.26**	Mini Park	South	0.8871	1.00	0.27	0.25	1.00
8	Valencia Triangle	**0.06**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.8610	1.00	0.20	0.00	1.00
18	Vermont Miracle Park	**0.22**	Mini Park	South	0.8329	1.00	0.23	0.50	1.00

SECOND PRIORITY

45	111th Place Pocket Park	**0.09**	Mini Park	South	0.7707	1.00	0.31	0.50	1.00
80	1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	**1.96**	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7249	0.75	0.17	0.00	1.00
132	4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	**0.48**	Single Purpose Site	South	0.6561	1.00	0.24	0.00	1.00
119	49th Street Pocket Park	**0.19**	Mini Park	South	0.6720	0.50	0.30	0.75	1.00
97	61st Street Pocket Park	**0.12**	Mini Park	South	0.6969	1.00	0.31	0.33	1.00
74	6th & Gladys Street Park	**0.34**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7317	1.00	0.15	0.20	1.00
73	76th Street Pocket Park	**0.13**	Mini Park	South	0.7323	1.00	0.30	0.50	1.00
162	Algin Sutton Recreation Center	**16.46**	Community Park	South	0.6155	0.25	0.18	0.11	1.00
29	Aliso Triangle	**0.04**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7919	1.00	0.34	0.00	1.00
158	Alpine Recreation Center	**1.94**	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.6206	0.75	0.24	0.30	1.00
69	Alvarado Terrace Park	**0.91**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7337	0.75	0.24	0.00	1.00
84	Amistad Park	**0.14**	Mini Park	North	0.7163	1.00	0.29	0.75	1.00
65	Angeles Mesa Park	**0.15**	Mini Park	South	0.7397	1.00	0.17	0.00	1.00
110	Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	**0.73**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.6872	0.50	0.54	0.00	1.00
120	Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	**8.12**	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.6672	0.50	0.13	0.50	1.00
112	Boyle Heights Sports Center	**8.51**	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.6854	0.25	0.35	0.70	1.00
66	Brooklyn Heights Park	**0.20**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7373	1.00	0.24	0.50	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.73	0.40	0.74	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.72	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.75	0.77
0.79	0.80	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.83	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.29	0.00	1.00	0.78	0.50	1.00	0.78
0.81	0.40	0.74	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.55	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.87
0.92	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.10	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.54
0.74	0.60	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.81	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.39	0.75	0.60
0.87	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.89
0.82	0.40	0.74	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.72	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.77
0.96	0.40	0.76	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.84	0.00	0.25	0.41
0.59	0.60	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.63	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.34	1.00	0.43
0.80	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.68	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.60	1.00	0.95
0.77	0.60	0.74	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.26	1.00	0.86
0.79	0.60	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.68	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.92	1.00	0.95
0.72	0.60	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.66	1.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	1.00	1.00	0.84
0.74	0.60	0.76	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.66	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.34	1.00	0.91
0.79	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.66	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.42	1.00	0.91
0.85	0.40	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.47	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.50	0.75	0.86
0.74	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.04	0.75	0.63
0.76	0.60	0.76	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.23	1.00	0.89
0.78	0.60	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	1.00
0.83	0.60	0.76	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.81	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.02	0.75	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.63
0.67	0.60	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.10	1.00	0.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.54
1.00	0.60	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.03	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.39
0.84	0.80	0.74	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.83	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.63	1.00	0.00	0.57	0.50	1.00	0.78
0.93	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.22	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.86
0.88	0.40	0.74	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.53	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.46	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.82
0.89	0.40	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.10	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.66
0.99	0.40	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.19	0.25	1.00	0.88	0.00	1.00	0.43
0.89	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95
0.76	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.90
0.68	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.78
0.85	0.60	0.90	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.22	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.54
0.73	0.40	0.74	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	1.00	0.90
0.86	0.40	0.74	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.72	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.60	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.90	0.36	1.00	0.88
0.76	0.60	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.07	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.36
0.90	0.40	0.76	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.54	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.06	0.25	0.41
0.74	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.31	0.75	0.00	0.98	0.50	1.00	0.91
0.72	0.40	0.64	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.49	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.75	0.72
0.78	0.80	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.35	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.11	1.00	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.75	0.54
0.68	0.60	0.90	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.86	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.46
0.51	0.60	0.80	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.45	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.02	0.25	1.00	0.40	0.75	1.00	0.73
0.64	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.88	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.96	0.23	0.50	0.50
0.66	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.82	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.02	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.93

UNIVERSE OF SITES

SECOND PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
28	Caballero Creek Confluence Park	**1.53**	Neighborhood Park	North	0.7969	0.50	0.38	0.00	1.00
70	Camellia Avenue Elem School (CSP)	**2.37**	Community School Park	North	0.7337	0.75	0.26	0.00	1.00
153	Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	**0.77**	Single Purpose Site	North	0.6278	1.00	0.25	0.50	1.00
151	Carlton Way Park	**0.19**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.6285	1.00	0.30	0.75	1.00
44	Central Avenue Jazz Park	**0.19**	Mini Park	South	0.7714	1.00	0.18	1.00	1.00
161	Central Recreation Center	**1.45**	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6157	0.75	0.29	0.20	1.00
114	Challengers Boys And Girls Club	**0.84**	Single Purpose Site	South	0.6797	0.75	0.30	0.00	1.00
88	Circle Park (5th Ave)	**0.17**	Mini Park	South	0.7134	1.00	0.19	0.00	1.00
72	Circle Park (S Gramercy Pl)	**0.17**	Mini Park	South	0.7335	1.00	0.18	0.00	1.00
104	City Hall Park	**1.71**	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.6922	0.75	0.11	0.00	1.00
169	Culver-Slauson Park	**3.27**	Large Neighborhood Park	West	0.6042	0.50	0.83	0.25	1.00
129	David M Gonzales Recreation Center	**6.80**	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.6598	0.50	0.33	0.30	1.00
101	Denker Recreation Center	**2.81**	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6947	0.75	0.24	0.17	1.00
78	Dorothy J and Benjamin B Smith Park	**0.49**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7278	1.00	0.27	1.00	1.00
150	East Los Angeles Park	**0.32**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.6295	0.75	0.51	0.00	1.00
61	Echo Park Community Center	**0.29**	Single Purpose Site	Cen/East	0.7444	0.75	0.37	0.50	1.00
170	Echo Park Deep Pool	**2.07**	Single Purpose Site	Cen/East	0.6042	0.50	0.38	0.50	1.00
77	El Pueblo de LA Historic Monument	**2.03**	Historic Landmark Site	Cen/East	0.7280	0.75	0.16	0.00	1.00
94	El Sereno Community Garden	**0.77**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7045	1.00	0.35	0.50	1.00
167	El Sereno Recreation Center	**13.94**	Community Park	Cen/East	0.6135	0.25	0.63	0.30	1.00
91	Elysian Park	**547.54**	Regional Park	Cen/East	0.7110	0.00	0.44	0.19	1.00
165	Ernest E Debs Regional Park	**318.62**	Regional Park	Cen/East	0.6145	0.00	0.46	0.50	1.00
157	Evergreen Recreation Center	**6.66**	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.6217	0.50	0.28	0.22	1.00
64	EXPO Center	**6.65**	Regional Park	South	0.7402	0.50	0.28	0.38	1.00
124	Exposition Park Rose Garden	**10.39**	Historic Landmark Site	South	0.6628	0.25	0.36	0.00	1.00
79	Francis Avenue Community Garden	**0.15**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7253	1.00	0.24	0.50	1.00
163	Fred Roberts Recreation Center	**2.90**	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6149	0.50	0.25	0.25	1.00
36	Fremont High School Pool	**0.64**	School Pool	South	0.7792	1.00	0.38	1.00	1.00
128	Fulton Avenue Park	**0.40**	Mini Park	North	0.6603	1.00	0.31	0.00	1.00
144	Gage and Avalon Triangle Pocket Park	**0.26**	Mini Park	South	0.6411	1.00	0.37	0.00	1.00
58	Grand Hope Park	**2.00**	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7501	1.00	0.11	0.50	0.00
49	Greenwood Square Park	**0.31**	Mini Park	North	0.7673	1.00	0.25	0.00	1.00
171	Grigsby Pocket Park	**0.28**	Mini Park	South	0.6037	0.75	0.40	0.00	1.00
142	Harbor View Memorial Park	**2.85**	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6444	0.50	0.36	0.50	1.00
86	Hartland Mini-Park	**0.07**	Mini Park	North	0.7142	1.00	0.34	0.00	1.00
172	Harvard Elementary School (CSP)	**1.22**	Community School Park	Cen/East	0.6020	1.00	0.31	0.00	1.00
37	Hazard Recreation Center	**24.99**	Large Community Park	Cen/East	0.7767	0.25	0.24	0.46	1.00
125	Hollenbeck Park	**18.30**	Community Park	Cen/East	0.6616	0.25	0.20	0.31	1.00
141	Hoover Pedestrian Mall	**2.16**	Greenway	South	0.6454	0.75	0.31	0.00	1.00
71	Hoover Recreation Center	**2.95**	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7336	0.75	0.28	0.25	1.00
147	Hoover-Gage Park	**0.21**	Mini Park	South	0.6354	1.00	0.23	0.25	1.00
43	Hope and Peace Park	**0.57**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7725	1.00	0.21	0.40	1.00
145	Inell Woods Park	**0.27**	Mini Park	South	0.6383	1.00	0.14	0.00	1.00
90	Jacaranda Park	**5.35**	Linear Park	South	0.7129	0.75	0.16	0.30	1.00
155	Julian C Dixon Park	**0.96**	Mini Park	South	0.6221	0.75	0.16	0.33	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.62	0.60	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.11	1.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.34
0.80	0.60	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.84
0.74	0.40	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.95	0.10	0.50	0.77
0.66	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.10	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.49
0.89	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.19	0.75	0.00	0.88	1.00	1.00	0.97
0.97	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.80	1.00	1.00	0.81
0.87	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.93	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.87
0.90	0.80	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.67
0.91	0.80	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.78	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.67
0.59	0.60	0.90	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.63	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.03	0.00	1.00	0.80	0.00	1.00	0.43
0.69	1.00	0.41	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.68	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.06	1.00	1.00	0.72	0.00	0.75	0.78
0.78	0.40	0.64	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.76	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.01	0.50	1.00	0.90	1.00	0.75	0.44
0.84	0.60	0.74	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.80	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.65	0.00	1.00	0.86
0.55	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.30	0.75	0.48
0.80	0.40	0.90	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.91	1.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.03	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.54
0.83	0.60	0.76	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.25	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.63
0.72	0.60	0.76	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.00	0.18	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.00	0.75	0.63
0.69	0.40	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.64	0.75	1.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	0.43
0.50	0.60	0.90	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.61	1.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.50	0.68
0.75	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.76	1.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.13	0.50	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.25	0.30
0.60	0.60	0.76	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.58	0.25	1.00	0.81	0.02	0.25	0.15
0.63	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.36	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.90	0.25	0.00	0.54	0.01	0.50	0.32
0.79	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.65	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.04	0.50	0.00	0.90	0.40	0.50	0.80
0.82	0.60	0.80	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.87	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.40	0.40	0.25	1.00	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.67
0.69	0.60	0.80	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.87	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.16	0.00	1.00	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.67
0.45	0.60	0.76	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.99	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.03	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.89
0.75	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.11	0.50	0.00	0.76	1.00	1.00	0.75
0.88	0.60	0.80	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.88
0.69	0.60	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.01	1.00	0.00	0.96	1.00	0.75	0.77
0.85	0.60	0.80	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.33	0.75	0.00	0.98	0.50	1.00	0.78
0.80	0.60	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.24	0.75	1.00	0.63	0.50	1.00	0.43
0.79	0.60	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.95
0.73	0.60	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.59	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.01	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.75	0.50
0.71	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.82	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.99	0.50	1.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.47
0.56	0.60	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.39	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.86
0.88	0.60	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.50	0.75	1.00
0.77	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.71	0.25	1.00	0.85	0.00	0.75	0.35
0.73	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.29	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.26	0.75	1.00	0.86	0.18	0.75	0.58
0.67	0.60	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.81	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.63
0.81	0.60	0.76	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.81	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.06	0.75	0.00	0.94	0.50	1.00	0.63
0.63	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.15	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.75	0.89
0.82	0.60	0.76	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.89	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.23	0.75	0.00	0.77	0.50	1.00	0.86
0.83	0.80	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.13	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.78
0.74	0.60	0.74	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.64	1.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.85	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.77
0.80	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.09	0.50	0.00	0.83	0.50	0.75	0.87

UNIVERSE OF SITES

SECOND PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
121	Ken Malloy Harbor Regional Park	**290.87**	Regional Park	South	0.6671	0.00	0.49	0.47	1.00
107	Keswick Park	**0.36**	Mini Park	North	0.6898	1.00	0.23	0.00	1.00
92	Kittridge Mini-Park	**0.09**	Mini Park	North	0.7064	1.00	0.38	0.00	1.00
160	LAPD SWAT Officer R.D.Simmons Pk	**8.08**	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.6158	0.25	0.27	0.00	1.00
156	LAR & Aliso Creek Confluence Park	**2.59**	Linear Park	North	0.6220	0.50	0.50	0.00	1.00
152	Latham Park	**0.19**	Mini Park	South	0.6278	1.00	0.20	0.00	1.00
111	Lincoln Park	**42.81**	Regional Park	Cen/East	0.6867	0.00	0.21	0.64	1.00
126	Loren Miller Recreation Center	**2.4**	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6615	0.75	0.19	0.29	1.00
103	Los Angeles Maritime Museum	**2.5**	Historic Landmark Site	South	0.6924	0.00	0.62	0.50	1.00
63	Lou Costello Jr Recreation Center	**3.46**	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7437	0.75	0.27	0.39	1.00
95	MacArthur Park	**29.90**	Large Community Park	Cen/East	0.7027	0.25	0.04	0.00	1.00
137	Madison West Park	**0.52**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.6502	1.00	0.43	0.75	1.00
87	Marson Street Pocket Park	**0.29**	Mini Park	North	0.7142	1.00	0.55	0.50	1.00
139	Martin Luther King Jr Park	**6.53**	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.6469	0.50	0.19	0.33	1.00
134	Mary McLeod Bethune Mid. Sch. (CSP)	**8.20**	Community School Park	South	0.6535	0.25	0.55	0.00	1.00
102	McKinley Avenue Park	**0.11**	Mini Park	South	0.6946	1.00	0.20	0.50	1.00
135	Monsignor Ramon Garcia Rec Center	**6.49**	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.6530	0.25	0.44	0.28	1.00
122	Montecito Heights Recreation Center	**22.53**	Large Community Park	Cen/East	0.6638	0.00	0.76	0.38	1.00
75	Normandie Recreation Center	**3.27**	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7317	0.75	0.36	0.07	1.00
52	North San Fernando Park	**0.24**	Mini Park	North	0.7578	1.00	0.62	0.50	1.00
83	Obama Global Prep Academy (CSP)	**3.00**	Community School Park	South	0.7179	0.50	0.37	0.00	1.00
60	Orchard Ave Park	**0.14**	Mini Park	South	0.7455	1.00	0.34	0.50	1.00
106	Orthopedic Hospital UAP	**0.33**	Mini Park	South	0.6912	1.00	0.25	0.33	1.00
34	Parkview Photo Center	**0.96**	Single Purpose Site	Cen/East	0.7846	0.75	0.36	0.00	1.00
76	Parque Nativo Lopez	**0.72**	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7287	0.75	0.24	0.17	1.00
82	Parthenia Park	**1.42**	Neighborhood Park	North	0.7216	0.75	0.50	0.17	1.00
68	Pecan Recreation Center	**4.28**	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7343	0.50	0.35	0.44	1.00
118	Pershing Square	**4.44**	Community Park	Cen/East	0.6730	0.75	0.09	0.17	0.00
39	PerSquareMile - Boyle Heights	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.7742	0.25	0.35	0.00	1.00
55	PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7549	0.25	0.35	0.00	1.00
59	PerSquareMile - Central-Alameda-N.	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7475	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
41	PerSquareMile - E Hollywood-Ktown	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.7741	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
140	PerSquareMile - East Hollywood	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.6461	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
38	PerSquareMile - Florence	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7757	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
100	PerSquareMile - Harvard Heights-Pico	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.6952	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
62	PerSquareMile - Harvard Park	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7443	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
27	PerSquareMile - Historic South Central	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7982	0.25	0.35	0.00	1.00
57	PerSquareMile - Koreatown	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7510	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
98	PerSquareMile - North Hills	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.6967	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
136	PerSquareMile - Panorama City West	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.6515	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
89	PerSquareMile - Reseda	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7134	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
53	PerSquareMile - South Panorama City	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7576	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
46	PerSquareMile - South Park-Florence	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7703	0.25	0.35	0.00	1.00
30	PerSquareMile - Sun Valley	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7914	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
48	PerSquareMile - Tarzana-Encino	**3.00**	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7673	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.73	0.60	0.43	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.56	1.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.74	0.25	1.00	0.89	0.02	1.00	0.26
0.56	0.40	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.24	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.69
0.71	0.60	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.40	0.38	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.77
0.61	0.60	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.34	0.25	0.00	0.86	0.50	0.75	0.60
0.81	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.25	0.00	0.29	0.40	0.75	0.60
0.62	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.79	1.00	1.00	0.73
0.77	0.40	0.90	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.13	0.50	1.00	0.83	0.06	0.75	0.35
0.83	0.60	0.74	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.68	0.50	1.00	0.86
0.98	0.60	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.13	0.00	0.57
0.87	0.60	0.90	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.84	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.01	0.75	0.00	0.99	1.00	0.75	0.54
0.78	0.60	0.76	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.89	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.82	0.13	1.00	0.86
0.85	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.01	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.74
0.66	0.60	0.30	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.07	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.50	0.50	0.73
0.77	0.60	0.74	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.54	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.80	0.06	0.75	0.00	0.89	0.10	0.75	0.94
0.95	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.78
0.77	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.90
0.83	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.85	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.90	0.17	0.50	0.66
0.58	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.41	0.75	0.00	0.54	0.04	0.50	0.27
0.89	0.60	0.76	0.75	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.50	1.00	0.85
0.75	0.60	0.64	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	1.00	0.15	1.00	0.00	0.93	0.50	0.50	0.61
0.94	0.60	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.71	1.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.78
0.73	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.42	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.96
0.78	0.60	0.80	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.09	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.45
0.73	0.60	0.76	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.09	1.00	0.00	0.98	0.13	1.00	0.90
0.66	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.91
0.56	0.60	1.00	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.46	0.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.01	1.00	1.00	0.74	0.50	0.50	0.42
0.93	0.60	0.90	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.06	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.79	0.75	0.36
0.84	0.60	0.90	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.97	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.96	0.50	1.00	0.43
0.82	0.40	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.39	0.75	0.36
0.66	0.60	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.62	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.79	1.00	0.90
0.92	0.80	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.99	1.00	0.90
0.82	0.60	0.56	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.28	0.75	0.76
0.63	0.60	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.82	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.56	0.75	0.54
0.74	0.60	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.02	1.00	0.88
0.87	0.60	0.00	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.30	0.75	0.86
0.85	0.60	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.09	0.75	0.87
0.73	0.60	0.80	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.68	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.90	1.00	0.95
0.76	0.60	0.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.38	1.00	0.89
0.75	0.60	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.41	0.50	0.73
0.76	0.60	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.46	0.50	0.65
0.81	0.60	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.02	0.75	0.60
0.72	0.60	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.66	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.59	1.00	0.95
0.85	0.60	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.62	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.58	1.00	0.90
0.80	0.60	0.30	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.66	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.72	1.00	0.84
0.72	0.60	0.11	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.89	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.60	1.00	0.61

UNIVERSE OF SITES

SECOND PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
32	PerSquareMile - Van Nuys Central	3.00	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7860	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
26	PerSquareMile - Van Nuys East	3.00	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7997	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
56	PerSquareMile - Van Nuys West	3.00	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7530	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
131	PerSquareMile - Vermont Knolls	3.00	New Park Priority Area	South	0.6571	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
31	PerSquareMile - Vermont-Slauson	3.00	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7907	0.25	0.35	0.00	1.00
40	PerSquareMile - Vermont-South Park	3.00	New Park Priority Area	South	0.7742	0.25	0.35	0.00	1.00
130	PerSquareMile - Windsor Square	3.00	New Park Priority Area	Cen/East	0.6582	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
33	PerSquareMile - Winetka	3.00	New Park Priority Area	North	0.7853	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
67	Pio Union Community Garden	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7350	1.00	0.15	0.50	1.00
51	Prospect Park	2.71	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7582	0.25	0.61	0.50	1.00
115	Ramona Elementary School (CSP)	1.48	Community School Park	Cen/East	0.6768	0.75	0.36	0.00	1.00
109	Reseda Park	29.68	Large Community Park	North	0.6878	0.25	0.22	0.39	1.00
105	Reseda Skate Facility	2.28	Single Purpose Site	North	0.6917	0.75	0.32	0.00	1.00
47	Richardson Family Park	0.32	Mini Park	South	0.7692	1.00	0.25	0.33	1.00
123	Roosevelt High School Pool	1.49	School Pool	Cen/East	0.6637	0.50	0.34	0.50	1.00
164	Ross Snyder Recreation Center	11.34	Community Park	South	0.6148	0.25	0.28	0.29	1.00
96	Ross Valencia Community Park	0.30	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7023	1.00	0.23	0.00	1.00
166	Runnymede Park	5.93	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.6136	0.50	0.29	0.42	1.00
50	Selma Park	0.22	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7614	1.00	0.22	0.50	1.00
108	Senator Bill Greene Memorial Park	0.47	Mini Park	South	0.6878	1.00	0.14	0.00	1.00
149	Sepulveda Basin Recreation Area	1542.46	Regional Park	North	0.6311	0.00	0.37	0.77	1.00
117	South Broadway Pocket Park	0.1	Mini Park	South	0.6760	1.00	0.20	0.50	1.00
99	South Palos Verdes Street Park	0.41	Mini Park	South	0.6957	1.00	0.47	0.50	1.00
54	Spring Street Park	0.80	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.7558	1.00	0.18	0.50	1.00
113	State Street Recreation Center	2.62	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.6799	0.50	0.36	0.33	1.00
154	Strathern Park, West	9.40	Greenway	North	0.6224	0.00	0.68	0.00	1.00
133	Sun Valley Park	17.26	Community Park	North	0.6546	0.25	0.34	0.25	1.00
173	Telfair Park	1.29	Neighborhood Park	North	0.6008	0.50	0.37	0.50	1.00
35	Toberman Recreation Center	2.74	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7800	0.50	0.21	0.29	1.00
116	Trinity Recreation Center	2.06	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6763	0.75	0.16	0.17	1.00
85	Van Nuys Multipurpose Center	1.4	Neighborhood Park	North	0.7156	0.75	0.17	0.00	1.00
146	Vanalden Park	10.89	Community Park	North	0.6356	0.25	0.75	0.25	1.00
168	Vermont Gage Park	0.31	Mini Park	South	0.6051	1.00	0.18	0.00	1.00
42	Wabash Recreation Center	1.87	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.7739	0.75	0.34	0.25	1.00
148	Wall Street Community Park	0.10	Mini Park	South	0.6343	1.00	0.22	0.50	1.00
159	Watts Senior Citizen Center	1.30	Neighborhood Park	South	0.6198	0.75	0.28	0.50	1.00
93	West Adam Heights Park	0.09	Mini Park	South	0.7048	1.00	0.23	0.75	1.00
81	Western And Gage Community Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	0.7246	1.00	0.24	0.00	1.00
138	Wilmington Athletic Complex	18.87	Community Park	South	0.6479	0.00	0.70	0.50	1.00
143	Wilmington Town Square	0.48	Mini Park	South	0.6416	1.00	0.30	0.00	1.00
127	Winnetka Recreation Center	15.95	Community Park	North	0.6609	0.25	0.27	0.41	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.72	0.60	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.66	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.50	1.00	0.95
0.62	0.40	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.44	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.50	0.75	0.77
0.72	0.60	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.37	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.46	1.00	0.78
0.85	0.40	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.14	1.00	0.90
0.69	0.60	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.09	1.00	0.78
0.75	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.36	1.00	0.78
0.59	0.60	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.55	0.75	0.86
0.72	0.40	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.08	0.75	0.60
0.38	0.60	0.76	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.08	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.91
0.76	0.40	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.43	0.25	1.00	0.85	0.50	0.75	0.36
0.84	0.60	0.56	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.91
0.64	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.11	1.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.01	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.50	0.75	0.34
0.79	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.59	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.72
0.66	0.60	0.74	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.50	1.00	0.92
0.73	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.82	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.93
0.76	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.84	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.18	0.75	0.00	0.65	1.00	1.00	0.74
0.49	0.40	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.94	1.00	0.50	0.93
0.60	0.40	1.00	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.75	0.72
0.65	0.60	0.56	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.49
0.86	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.43	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.90
0.66	0.60	0.30	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.20	0.71	0.25	1.00	0.77	0.29	0.00	0.23
0.75	0.60	0.80	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.50	0.75	0.92
0.68	0.60	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.48	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.74	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.57
0.95	0.60	0.90	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.26	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.43
0.82	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.51	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.82	0.50	0.75	0.53
0.75	0.40	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	1.00	0.89	0.00	1.00	0.82	1.00	0.25	0.34
0.83	0.60	0.30	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.20	0.09	0.75	0.00	0.85	1.00	0.25	0.45
0.76	0.40	0.64	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.51	0.50	1.00	0.76	0.00	0.75	0.38
0.81	0.60	0.76	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.96	0.50	1.00	0.91
0.84	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.93	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.29	0.75	0.00	0.86	1.00	1.00	0.75
0.84	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.69	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.40	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.50	1.00	0.72
0.74	0.60	0.41	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.27	1.00	1.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.40	0.30	0.75	1.00	0.89	0.11	0.50	0.29
0.84	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.86	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.75	0.89
0.88	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.94	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.02	0.75	0.00	0.96	0.50	1.00	0.90
0.74	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.10	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.78
0.77	0.60	0.43	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.62	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.73
0.92	0.60	0.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.12	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.85
0.87	0.60	0.74	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.82	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.70
0.80	0.60	0.43	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.31	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.12	1.00	0.36
0.94	0.40	0.43	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.02	1.00	1.00	0.44	0.00	0.75	0.42
0.72	0.40	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.34	0.50	1.00	0.90	1.00	0.75	0.61

UNIVERSE OF SITES

THIRD PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
204	109th Street Recreation Center	3.17	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5707	0.50	0.44	0.36	1.00
315	Albert Piantanida Intergen. Cntr	2.66	Neighborhood Park	North	0.4409	0.75	0.42	0.00	0.00
198	Allegheny Park	1.05	Neighborhood Park	North	0.5774	0.75	0.46	0.00	1.00
175	Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Cntr	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	0.5959	0.75	0.21	0.38	1.00
232	Andres + Maria Cardenas Rec Cntr	0.70	Neighborhood Park	North	0.5352	0.75	0.55	0.25	1.00
263	Arroyo Seco Park	87.46	Regional Park	Cen/East	0.5053	0.00	0.41	0.25	0.00
218	Ascot Hills Park	92.44	Regional Nature Park	Cen/East	0.5570	0.00	0.58	0.25	1.00
264	Baldwin Hills Recreation Center	10.87	Community Park	South	0.5052	0.25	0.74	0.33	1.00
174	Bandini Canyon Park	4.97	Linear Park	South	0.5995	0.25	0.25	0.50	1.00
253	Banning High School Pool	0.52	School Pool	South	0.5146	0.75	0.38	0.00	1.00
249	Barnsdall Park	14.59	Historic Landmark Site	Cen/East	0.5217	0.50	0.22	0.00	1.00
258	Bellaire Avenue Park	0.14	Mini Park	North	0.5122	1.00	0.22	0.00	1.00
310	Bellevue Recreation Center	9.11	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4482	0.50	0.28	0.39	0.00
306	Benny H Potter W. Adams Ave Mem Pk	1.62	Neighborhood Park	South	0.4526	0.75	0.31	0.38	1.00
261	Betty F Day Park	0.12	Mini Park	South	0.5088	1.00	0.38	0.00	1.00
223	Brand Park	17.75	Community Park	North	0.5509	0.25	0.37	0.19	1.00
180	Cabrillo Beach	40.07	Beach	South	0.5906	0.00	0.84	0.58	1.00
245	Campo De Cahuenga	0.73	Historic Landmark Site	North	0.5268	0.50	0.64	0.50	0.00
283	Carey Ranch Park	23.80	Large Community Park	North	0.4738	0.00	0.61	0.50	0.00
313	Carlin G Smith Recreation Center	2.64	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4450	0.25	0.58	0.63	0.00
328	Charles F Lummis Home and Gardens	1.75	Historic Landmark Site	Cen/East	0.4288	0.25	0.43	0.50	1.00
327	Chatsworth Park South	73.07	Regional Nature Park	North	0.4289	0.00	0.77	0.25	0.00
184	Chesterfield Square Park	1.89	Neighborhood Park	South	0.5895	0.75	0.27	0.25	1.00
222	Chevy Chase Park	2.44	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.5533	0.50	0.39	0.25	1.00
269	Country Club Heritage Park	0.08	Mini Park	South	0.5007	1.00	0.13	0.50	1.00
193	Cypress Park Club House	0.18	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5805	1.00	0.43	0.00	1.00
307	Cypress Recreation Center	3.49	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4523	0.25	0.53	0.28	1.00
196	De Garmo Park	1.64	Linear Park	North	0.5786	0.50	0.50	0.00	1.00
252	De Longpre Park	1.4	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.5146	0.75	0.23	0.00	1.00
200	Delano Recreation Center	4.45	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5721	0.50	0.50	0.40	1.00
242	Devonshire Arleta Park	1.82	Neighborhood Park	North	0.5310	0.50	0.54	0.50	1.00
243	Devonwood Park	4.84	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5281	0.25	0.34	0.50	0.00
248	Downey Recreation Center	10.87	Community Park	Cen/East	0.5232	0.25	0.24	0.19	1.00
236	Drew Street Park	0.12	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5336	1.00	0.61	0.50	1.00
219	Drum Barracks Civil War Museum	0.92	Historic Landmark Site	South	0.5559	0.50	0.53	0.50	1.00
303	East Wilmington Greenbelt Comm Cntr	0.85	Mini Park	South	0.4558	0.50	0.38	0.25	1.00
212	East Wilmington Vest Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	0.5660	0.75	0.50	0.50	1.00
237	Echo Park	28.41	Regional Park	Cen/East	0.5334	0.25	0.11	0.24	1.00
230	El Dorado Avenue Park	1.18	Linear Park	North	0.5392	0.75	0.49	0.00	1.00
289	El Sereno Arroyo Playground	2.35	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4711	0.50	0.48	0.00	1.00
190	El Sereno Senior Citizen Center	0.91	Single Purpose Site	Cen/East	0.5821	0.50	0.58	0.00	1.00
342	Elysian Valley Recreation Center	1.99	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4036	0.50	0.58	0.17	1.00
251	Everett Park	0.53	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5171	0.75	0.73	0.00	1.00
332	Fernangeles Recreation Center	9.26	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4269	0.25	0.30	0.39	1.00
266	Fox And Laurel Park	0.28	Mini Park	North	0.5038	1.00	0.24	0.25	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.91	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.73	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.22	0.50	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.75	0.56
0.60	0.40	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.40	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.84	1.00	0.50	0.86
0.89	0.60	0.30	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.35	0.75	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.43
0.82	0.80	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.48	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.04	0.75	1.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.57
0.73	0.60	0.30	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.33	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.11	0.75	0.00	0.70	0.50	0.50	0.65
0.55	0.60	0.90	0.25	0.75	1.00	0.36	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.76	0.75	1.00	0.51	0.01	0.50	0.32
0.76	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.25	0.22
0.81	0.60	0.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.53	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.60	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.00	0.25
0.71	0.40	0.43	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.47	1.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.93	0.50	1.00	0.93	0.08	0.25	0.31
0.96	0.40	0.43	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.59	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.46
0.63	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.82	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.94	0.26	0.75	0.54
0.80	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.83	1.00	0.25	0.34
0.63	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.08	0.25	1.00	0.84	0.27	0.75	0.76
0.60	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.25	0.75	0.00	0.88	0.00	1.00	0.75
0.35	0.40	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.51	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.87
0.74	0.40	0.64	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.83	0.50	0.50	0.32
0.85	0.80	0.43	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.07	0.25	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.21
0.71	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.20	0.08	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.75	0.31
0.58	0.60	0.64	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.46	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.29	0.75	0.00	0.83	0.07	0.25	0.55
0.40	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.50	0.27
0.24	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.30	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.85	1.00	0.00	0.64	0.00	0.50	0.33
0.59	1.00	0.41	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.73	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.72	0.25	0.00	0.42	0.28	0.00	0.04
0.59	0.60	0.74	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.55	0.75	0.00	0.69	0.00	0.75	0.67
0.84	0.40	0.56	0.25	0.75	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.18	0.75	1.00	0.84	0.00	1.00	0.19
0.63	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.06	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.75	0.86
0.85	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.85	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.42
0.78	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.08	0.75	1.00	0.90	0.00	0.25	0.42
0.68	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.20	0.81	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.25	0.23
0.52	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.12	0.25	1.00	0.89	1.00	0.75	0.49
0.83	0.40	0.30	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.32	0.75	0.00	0.97	0.30	1.00	0.50
0.76	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.53	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.20	0.47	0.00	1.00	0.78	0.43	0.50	0.46
0.66	0.40	0.64	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.02	0.75	0.00	0.86	0.91	0.50	0.45
0.87	0.60	0.76	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.69	0.75	1.00	0.94	0.23	0.25	0.15
0.62	0.40	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.49	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.56
0.87	0.40	0.43	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.78	0.75	1.00	0.63	0.00	0.25	0.45
0.88	0.40	0.43	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.45
0.87	0.40	0.43	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.51
0.74	0.40	0.56	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.19	0.75	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.75	0.63
0.83	0.60	0.64	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	1.00	0.99	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.63	0.75	0.42
0.52	0.60	0.90	0.00	0.25	1.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.24	0.00	0.50	0.46
0.63	0.40	0.90	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.30
0.86	0.60	0.56	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.05	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.17
0.65	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.69	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.52
0.74	0.40	0.30	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.01	0.75	0.00	0.64	1.00	0.00	0.31
0.57	0.60	0.64	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.07	1.00	0.00	0.94	0.50	1.00	0.42

UNIVERSE OF SITES

THIRD PRIORITY

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
						Highest Weight			
246	Garden Grove Elementary School (CSP)	3.00	Community School Park	North	0.5262	0.50	0.38	0.00	1.00
284	Genesee Avenue Park	0.75	Mini Park	South	0.4728	0.75	0.32	0.00	1.00
192	Gilbert W Lindsay Recreation Center	14.62	Community Park	South	0.5815	0.25	0.13	0.17	1.00
268	Gladys Jean Wesson Park	0.21	Mini Park	South	0.5018	1.00	0.24	0.50	1.00
321	Glassell Park Rec Cen. and Youth Cen.	12.69	Community Park	Cen/East	0.4348	0.25	0.41	0.37	1.00
301	Glenhurst Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4579	1.00	0.44	0.00	1.00
279	Greayer's Oak Park	0.60	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4801	0.75	0.29	0.00	1.00
270	Green Meadows Recreation Center	7.64	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5001	0.50	0.07	0.29	1.00
265	Griffith Park	4574.35	Regional Park	North	0.5052	0.00	0.51	0.34	1.00
195	Hansen Dam Recreation Area	1450	Regional Park	North	0.5789	0.00	0.60	0.17	1.00
329	Harbor City Park	11.1	Community Park	South	0.4285	0.50	0.49	0.25	1.00
340	Harbor Highlands Park	3.24	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.4129	0.50	0.56	0.25	0.00
240	Heritage Square	4.16	Historic Landmark Site	Cen/East	0.5318	0.25	0.61	0.50	1.00
275	Hollywood Recreation Center	3.12	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4939	0.75	0.19	0.50	1.00
288	Howard Finn Park	3.66	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4714	0.50	0.19	0.50	0.00
305	Hubert H Humphrey Memorial Park	9.99	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4530	0.25	0.37	0.22	1.00
181	Isidore B Dockweiler State Beach	228.31	Beach	West	0.5902	0.00	0.82	0.36	1.00
255	Jackie Tatum / Harvard Rec Center	12.88	Community Park	South	0.5139	0.25	0.09	0.43	1.00
229	Jaime Beth Slavin Park	7.00	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5430	0.25	0.28	0.00	1.00
176	James Slauson Recreation Center	3.63	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5926	0.25	0.23	0.30	1.00
250	Jim Gilliam Recreation Center	17.63	Community Park	South	0.5216	0.25	0.58	0.15	1.00
311	John Quimby Park	3.82	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4467	0.75	0.34	0.50	0.00
320	Kagel Canyon Park	3.46	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4358	0.50	0.45	0.00	0.00
322	La Mirada Park	0.17	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4336	1.00	0.24	0.00	1.00
286	La Tierra de la Culebra	0.56	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4716	0.75	0.42	0.00	1.00
241	Lacy Street Neighborhood Park	0.37	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5312	0.75	0.36	0.00	1.00
207	Lafayette Recreation Center	9.72	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5701	0.50	0.10	0.20	1.00
205	Lanark Recreation Center	19.20	Community Park	North	0.5706	0.25	0.23	0.27	1.00
239	LAR Greenway - Brown's Creek	3.66	Greenway	North	0.5318	0.75	0.19	0.00	0.00
273	LAR Greenway - Coldwater to Whitsett	2.30	Greenway	North	0.4974	0.75	0.12	0.00	0.00
323	LAR Greenway - Sepulveda to Kester	2.59	Greenway	North	0.4322	0.50	0.19	0.00	0.00
213	LAR Greenway / Elysian Valley Bikeway	4.82	Greenway	Cen/East	0.5635	0.25	0.93	0.00	1.00
259	Larissa Parkway	0.22	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5102	1.00	0.45	0.00	1.00
254	Las Palmas Senior Citizen Center	1.14	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.5143	0.50	0.35	0.75	0.00
206	Laurel and Hardy Park	0.32	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5705	1.00	0.29	0.00	1.00
214	Leimert Plaza	1.14	Neighborhood Park	South	0.5624	0.75	0.06	0.00	1.00
331	Leland Recreation Center	15.76	Community Park	South	0.4274	0.00	0.43	0.00	1.00
290	Lemon Grove Recreation Center	3.87	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4694	0.75	0.47	0.25	1.00
271	Leslie N Shaw Park	0.66	Mini Park	South	0.4989	1.00	0.21	0.25	1.00
210	Lexington Avenue Pocket Park	0.17	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5676	1.00	0.26	1.00	1.00
282	Limekiln Canyon Park	95.78	Canyon Park	North	0.4766	0.00	0.60	1.00	0.00
325	Lincoln Heights Recreation Center	2.88	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4307	0.50	0.29	0.00	1.00
297	Lincoln Heights Youth Center	0.74	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4648	0.75	0.26	0.25	1.00
304	Linnie Canal Park	0.13	Mini Park	West	0.4536	1.00	0.38	0.50	0.00
316	Little Landers Park	1.14	Historic Landmark Site	North	0.4379	0.75	0.21	0.33	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.74	0.60	0.11	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.72
0.64	0.80	0.00	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.63	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.09	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.36
0.77	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.68	1.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.08	0.50	0.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.95
0.66	0.60	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.57	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.71
0.74	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.37	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.25	0.52
0.59	0.60	0.56	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.00	0.50	0.20
0.40	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.23	1.00	0.00	0.90	0.50	0.50	0.33
0.81	0.60	0.80	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.75	0.78
0.42	0.60	0.11	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.39	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.20	0.67	0.25	1.00	0.57	0.07	0.75	0.16
0.59	0.80	0.64	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.20	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.89	0.25	1.00	0.75	0.39	0.00	0.18
0.84	0.60	0.43	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.83	0.00	1.00	0.44
0.62	0.60	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.01	0.50	1.00	0.93	0.07	0.25	0.30
0.39	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.30	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.52	0.11	0.50	0.33
0.80	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.05	0.25	1.00	0.89	0.50	0.75	0.49
0.74	0.60	0.64	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.41	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.83	1.00	0.50	0.36
0.87	0.40	0.64	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.15	0.25	0.00	0.92	0.71	0.75	0.41
0.92	1.00	0.41	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.72	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.02	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.01	0.50	0.36
0.87	0.60	0.74	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.40	0.03	1.00	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.75	0.70
0.75	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.43	0.50	1.00	0.88	1.00	0.25	0.34
0.85	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.28	0.00	1.00	0.72	1.00	1.00	0.73
0.71	0.60	0.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.26	1.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.46	0.75	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.75	0.62
0.77	0.40	1.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.01	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.75	0.76
0.77	1.00	0.64	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.27	0.75	0.00	0.89	1.00	0.00	0.22
0.77	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.24	0.75	1.00	0.70	1.00	0.75	0.80
0.29	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.36	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.34	1.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.50	0.32
0.52	0.60	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.44	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.30	0.00	1.00	0.71	0.50	0.25	0.25
0.75	0.60	0.00	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.92	1.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.40	0.16	0.75	1.00	0.89	0.43	1.00	0.90
0.66	0.40	1.00	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.39	0.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.02	0.25	0.00	0.85	0.77	0.75	0.46
0.74	0.60	0.41	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.02	1.00	1.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.58	0.25	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.21
0.76	0.40	0.11	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.61	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.90	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.21	1.00	0.47
0.59	0.40	0.11	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.61	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.85	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.18	1.00	0.47
0.72	0.60	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.81	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.38	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.17
0.46	0.40	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.21	0.75	0.76
0.73	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.22	0.75	1.00	0.92	0.20	0.75	0.48
0.67	0.60	0.56	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.07	1.00	0.00	0.94	0.30	0.75	0.76
0.55	0.80	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.18	0.75	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.75	0.50
0.60	0.40	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.47	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.85	0.25	1.00	0.86	0.02	0.25	0.31
0.70	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.11	0.75	1.00	0.78	0.50	0.75	0.80
0.63	0.60	0.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.10	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	1.00	0.85
0.68	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.22	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.75	0.80
0.52	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.89	0.25	1.00	0.42	0.00	0.50	0.18
0.75	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.24	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.50
0.87	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.28	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.54
0.68	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.61
0.37	0.40	0.64	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.12	0.75	0.00	0.69	1.00	0.75	0.44

UNIVERSE OF SITES

THIRD PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
278	Los Angeles Sister Cities Plaza	0.33	Mini Park	South	0.4817	0.75	0.26	0.00	1.00
312	Louise Park	6.48	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4460	0.50	0.17	0.20	1.00
300	Lummis Public Forest Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4599	0.75	0.35	0.00	1.00
216	Madison Ave Park and Comm Garden	0.56	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5588	1.00	0.29	0.33	1.00
309	Mascot Park	0.19	Mini Park	South	0.4492	1.00	0.20	0.00	1.00
203	Mecca Avenue Park	0.18	Mini Park	North	0.5708	1.00	0.27	0.00	0.00
274	Media Park	1.00	Neighborhood Park	West	0.4969	0.75	0.21	0.00	1.00
189	Mount Carmel Recreation Center	3.41	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5834	0.50	0.24	0.33	1.00
262	Mount Olympus Park	8.91	Neighborhood Nature Park	Cen/East	0.5068	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.00
224	Nevin Avenue Park	0.26	Mini Park	South	0.5481	1.00	0.36	0.00	1.00
215	North East Valley Multipurpose Center	2	Neighborhood Park	North	0.5601	0.75	0.33	0.00	1.00
324	North Hollywood Recreation Center	55.60	Regional Park	North	0.4321	0.25	0.00	0.24	0.00
225	North Weddington Recreation Center	10.21	Community Park	North	0.5472	0.25	0.68	0.25	1.00
317	Northridge Middle School (CSP)	11.58	Community School Park	North	0.4369	0.25	0.61	0.00	1.00
302	Old Mission Trail	13.60	Linear Park	North	0.4572	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00
314	Open Magnet Charter School (CSP)	2.80	Community School Park	West	0.4448	0.50	0.45	0.00	0.00
318	Oro Vista Park	8.23	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4367	0.00	0.77	0.25	0.00
336	Pacific Region Headquarters	2.62	Single Purpose Site	South	0.4178	0.25	0.60	0.00	1.00
257	Panorama City Recreation Center	6.00	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5124	0.50	0.22	0.23	1.00
194	Patton St Pocket Park	0.40	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5794	0.50	0.39	0.50	1.00
187	PerSquareMile - Arleta	3.00	New Park Priority Area	North	0.5851	0.50	0.35	0.00	1.00
343	Pio Pico Library Pocket Park	0.55	Mini Park	South	0.4011	1.00	0.16	0.00	0.00
220	Pio Pico Middle School (CSP)	3.54	Community School Park	South	0.5551	0.75	0.34	0.00	1.00
201	Point Fermin Park	39.13	Community Park	South	0.5718	0.00	0.68	0.60	1.00
185	Ralph C Daniels Field Sports Center	3.59	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5888	0.50	0.23	0.44	1.00
256	Ritchie Valens Paxton Rec Center	25.77	Large Community Park	North	0.5136	0.25	0.29	0.42	1.00
235	Rockwood Community Park	0.43	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5336	0.50	0.24	0.50	1.00
234	Roger W Jessup Park	14.41	Community Park	North	0.5337	0.00	0.67	0.90	1.00
272	Rose Hill Recreation Center	2.26	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.4984	0.50	0.38	0.30	1.00
267	Rosecrans Recreation Center	10.55	Community Park	South	0.5033	0.25	0.61	0.08	1.00
333	Runyon Canyon Park	141.50	Canyon Park	North	0.4220	0.00	0.65	0.50	0.00
179	Saint Andrews Recreation Center	8.58	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5913	0.50	0.17	0.13	1.00
326	San Juan Garage	0.12	Single Purpose Site	West	0.4293	0.75	0.34	0.00	0.00
285	San Pedro Plaza Park	3.51	Linear Park	South	0.4719	0.50	0.41	0.00	1.00
233	San Pedro Welcome Park	0.40	Mini Park	South	0.5350	0.75	0.26	0.00	1.00
226	SE Valley Roller & Skateboard Park	2.20	Single Purpose Site	North	0.5465	0.25	0.43	0.75	1.00
276	Seily Rodriguez Park	0.34	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4838	1.00	0.28	0.00	1.00
337	Seoul International Park	3.47	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.4164	0.75	0.12	0.50	1.00
292	Sepulveda Recreation Center	10.59	Community Park	North	0.4681	0.50	0.21	0.23	1.00
186	Shatto Recreation Center	5.45	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5863	0.75	0.32	0.27	1.00
221	Sheldon-Arleta Park	45.16	Regional Park	North	0.5539	0.00	0.53	0.50	1.00
291	Sherman Oaks Castle Park	4.98	Single Purpose Site	North	0.4689	0.25	0.47	0.50	0.00
227	South LA Wetlands Park	9.01	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5448	0.25	0.16	0.00	1.00
228	South Los Angeles Sports Activity Cntr	1.00	Single Purpose Site	South	0.5447	0.75	0.22	0.00	1.00
208	South Park Recreation Center	18.25	Community Park	South	0.5689	0.25	0.07	0.13	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.71	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.47	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.05	0.00	1.00	0.54	0.00	0.25	0.31
0.72	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.08	0.50	0.00	0.84	1.00	0.75	0.33
0.31	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.54	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.27
0.64	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.15	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.74
0.57	0.60	0.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.03	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.93
0.65	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.59	1.00	0.00	0.85	0.50	1.00	0.61
0.53	0.60	0.01	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.85	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.12	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.40
0.88	0.40	0.74	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.02	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.80	0.08	1.00	0.00	0.71	0.00	1.00	0.90
0.53	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	1.00	0.98	0.25	0.00	0.85	0.07	0.25	0.34
0.80	0.40	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.74
0.88	0.40	0.64	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.83	0.50	0.75	0.41
0.81	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.55	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.47	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.40	1.00	0.52
0.67	0.40	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.34	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.20	0.07	0.50	1.00	0.69	0.45	0.75	0.45
0.70	0.40	0.41	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.95	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.81
0.77	0.60	0.41	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.99	0.50	1.00	0.82	0.04	0.50	0.18
0.64	0.60	0.41	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.57	0.00	1.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.13	1.00	0.71
0.87	0.80	0.64	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.63	0.25	0.00	0.59	0.67	0.00	0.32
0.57	0.60	0.43	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.38	0.25	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.75	0.48
0.82	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.62	1.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.03	0.25	0.00	0.99	0.50	0.75	0.70
0.66	0.60	0.76	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.04	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.75	0.63
0.72	0.40	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.63	0.50	0.86
0.79	0.60	0.00	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.19	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.88
0.87	0.60	0.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.86
0.85	0.80	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.01	0.25	1.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.21
0.79	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.65	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.59
0.75	0.40	0.64	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.09	0.50	1.00	0.79	0.95	0.75	0.42
0.61	0.40	0.56	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.11	1.00	1.00	0.59	0.00	0.75	0.63
0.54	0.40	0.64	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.20	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.55	0.00	1.00	0.83	0.30	0.00	0.18
0.74	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.39	0.50	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.50	0.33
0.83	0.40	0.43	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.24	1.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.12	0.50	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.75	0.52
0.62	0.60	0.11	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.72	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.61	0.25	1.00	0.88	0.00	0.75	0.48
0.76	0.80	0.74	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.80	0.51	0.50	0.00	0.88	0.00	0.50	0.52
0.94	0.60	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.67
0.65	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.48	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.61	0.00	1.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.57
0.71	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.47	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.81	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.31
0.75	0.40	0.30	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.06	0.50	0.00	0.63	1.00	0.00	0.31
0.86	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.28	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.75	0.80
0.77	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.60	0.47	0.75	0.00	0.87	0.50	0.75	0.90
0.75	0.60	0.30	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.39	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.06	0.75	0.00	0.77	0.33	0.50	0.87
0.79	0.60	0.00	0.25	0.25	1.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.40	0.15	1.00	1.00	0.91	0.29	1.00	0.90
0.86	0.40	0.30	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.79	0.75	0.00	0.75	0.45	0.00	0.31
0.68	0.40	0.11	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.95	0.41	1.00	0.44
0.81	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.62	1.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.07	1.00	0.00	0.36	0.50	1.00	0.90
0.89	0.60	0.74	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.80	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.00	1.00	0.93
0.85	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.62	1.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.60	0.02	0.75	0.00	0.93	0.95	1.00	0.90

UNIVERSE OF SITES

Highest Weight

THIRD PRIORITY

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
293	South Seas House Park	1.03	Historic Landmark Site	South	0.4679	0.75	0.21	0.50	1.00
335	South Weddington Park	14.48	Community Park	North	0.4188	0.00	0.70	0.17	0.00
183	Stetson Ranch Park	28.31	Large Community Park	North	0.5897	0.00	0.74	0.60	1.00
247	Stonehurst Recreation Center	13.71	Community Park	North	0.5256	0.00	0.58	0.29	1.00
209	Strathern Park - North	12.74	Community Park	North	0.5689	0.00	0.56	0.50	1.00
287	Sycamore Grove Park	15.87	Community Park	Cen/East	0.4714	0.00	0.70	0.44	0.00
280	Tarzana Recreation Center	5.57	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4785	0.25	0.64	0.43	0.00
244	Tiara Street Park	1.56	Neighborhood Park	North	0.5269	0.75	0.17	0.40	1.00
295	Tobias Avenue Park	1.61	Neighborhood Park	North	0.4658	0.75	0.28	0.17	1.00
338	Triangle Park	0.09	Mini Park	West	0.4160	1.00	0.54	0.50	0.00
277	Tujunga Greenbelt	8.22	Greenway	North	0.4835	0.50	0.19	0.00	0.00
330	Tujunga Infiltration Galleries	47.37	Regional Park	North	0.4280	0.00	0.93	0.50	0.00
238	Unidad Park	0.32	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.5320	1.00	0.29	0.50	1.00
182	Valley Plaza Park	77.64	Large Community Park	North	0.5898	0.25	0.10	0.27	1.00
199	Van Ness Recreation Center	7.81	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5738	0.25	0.38	0.18	1.00
202	Van Nuys Recreation Center	3.90	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5718	0.50	0.28	0.20	1.00
281	Venice Beach	160.75	Beach	West	0.4772	0.00	0.61	0.68	0.00
294	Verdugo Hills Pool	0.75	School Pool	North	0.4667	0.75	0.35	1.00	0.00
178	Vermont Square Park	3.01	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.5918	0.75	0.14	0.38	1.00
191	Vernon Branch Library Pocket Park	0.15	Mini Park	South	0.5818	1.00	0.19	0.00	1.00
177	Victory-Vineland Recreation Center	6.48	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5919	0.50	0.18	0.33	1.00
298	Vineyard Recreation Center	0.93	Mini Park	South	0.4637	0.75	0.15	0.00	1.00
339	Vista Del Mar Park	1.41	Neighborhood Park	West	0.4142	0.00	0.70	1.00	1.00
341	Warner Ranch Park	16.68	Community Park	North	0.4090	0.25	0.33	0.13	0.00
231	Washington Irving Pocket Park	0.13	Mini Park	South	0.5359	1.00	0.30	0.00	1.00
319	Watts Cultural Crescent	2.96	Linear Park	South	0.4362	0.50	0.27	0.00	1.00
296	Watts Serenity Park	1.12	Neighborhood Park	South	0.4648	0.50	0.40	0.00	1.00
197	Watts Skate Park	0.79	Single Purpose Site	South	0.5782	1.00	0.53	0.00	1.00
188	West Lakeside Street Park	6.16	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.5844	0.25	0.69	0.00	1.00
211	Westside Neighborhood Park	3.98	Linear Park	South	0.5675	0.50	0.44	0.17	1.00
217	White Point Park Nature Preserve	95.00	Regional Nature Park	South	0.5580	0.00	0.72	0.83	0.00
260	Whitnall Highway Park	10.52	Linear Park	North	0.5092	0.50	0.12	0.00	1.00
334	Will Rogers State Beach	102.90	Beach	West	0.4215	0.00	0.81	0.50	0.00
308	Woodbridge Park	4.71	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.4504	0.50	0.28	0.50	1.00
299	Yucca Community Center	0.97	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.4629	0.75	0.32	0.20	0.00

FOURTH PRIORITY

459	Aliso Canyon Park	60.45	Canyon Park	North	0.2448	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00
355	Alizondo Drive Park	6.65	Linear Park	North	0.3876	0.25	0.52	0.00	0.00
361	Alma Park	2.26	Neighborhood Park	South	0.3811	0.75	0.23	0.00	0.00
453	Amoroso Triangle	0.03	Mini Park	West	0.2529	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.00
358	Andres Pico Adobe Park	2.20	Historic Landmark Site	North	0.3868	0.25	0.49	0.50	0.00
363	Angels Gate Park	70.44	Regional Park	South	0.3801	0.00	0.48	0.43	0.00
413	Averill Park	10.75	Community Park	South	0.3158	0.25	0.26	0.50	0.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.68	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.05	0.75	0.00	0.92	0.19	1.00	0.75
0.62	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.71	0.75	1.00	0.87	0.50	0.75	0.31
0.77	0.40	0.64	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.03	0.00	0.25
0.65	0.60	0.64	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.74	1.00	1.00	0.00
0.92	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.40	0.33	0.50	0.00	0.56	1.00	0.25	0.34
0.49	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.50	0.27
0.63	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.37	0.00	1.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.12	0.50	1.00	0.92	0.50	0.50	0.31
0.87	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.20	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.82	1.00	1.00	0.75
0.57	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.40	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.50	0.50	0.86
0.88	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.52
0.52	0.40	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.00	1.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.40	0.51	0.25	0.00	0.84	0.38	0.75	0.50
0.60	0.80	0.64	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.97	0.25	0.00	0.47	0.22	0.00	0.04
0.70	0.40	0.56	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.22	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.69
0.81	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.47	1.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.04	0.75	1.00	0.79	0.50	0.75	0.29
0.83	0.60	0.74	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	0.60	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.93	0.00	0.75	0.67
0.82	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.69	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.30	1.00	0.00	0.84	0.50	1.00	0.72
0.95	0.80	0.41	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.48	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.07	0.75	1.00	0.98	0.00	1.00	0.61
0.81	0.80	0.64	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.36
0.79	0.60	0.80	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	0.80	0.07	0.75	0.00	0.85	0.50	0.75	0.87
0.78	0.60	0.80	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.57	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97
0.73	0.40	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.02	0.50	0.00	0.87	1.00	0.75	0.64
0.74	0.60	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.30	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.60	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.50
0.78	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.50	0.19
0.66	0.40	1.00	0.25	0.75	1.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.02	0.50	0.00	0.84	0.09	1.00	0.38
0.70	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.64	1.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.20	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.77
0.80	0.40	0.43	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.07	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.40	0.75	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.75	0.87
0.66	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.59	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.61	0.50	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.75	0.50
0.83	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.59	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.50
0.79	0.40	0.64	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.34	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	1.00	0.23	0.25	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.75	0.38
0.75	0.80	0.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.63	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.21	0.50	1.00	0.90	0.00	0.75	0.36
0.83	0.80	0.43	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.92	0.25	1.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.19
0.77	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.75	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.40	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.75	0.66
0.81	1.00	0.41	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.29	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.12	0.25	1.00	0.99	0.02	0.00	0.17
0.44	0.40	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.00	0.05	0.75	1.00	0.78	0.94	0.75	0.43
0.83	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.72	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.07	1.00	1.00	0.85	0.67	0.75	0.48
0.55	0.40	0.41	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.90	0.00	1.00	0.24	0.00	0.25	0.22
0.46	0.60	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.92	0.25	1.00	0.53	0.00	0.50	0.22
0.49	0.40	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.69	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.32	1.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.49
0.36	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.60	0.40	0.64	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.40	0.74	0.50	0.00	0.89	0.50	0.50	0.32
0.82	0.80	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.56	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.25	1.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.21
0.43	0.60	0.43	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.89	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.11	0.00	1.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.25

UNIVERSE OF SITES

FOURTH PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
357	Banning Park	21.09	Large Community Park	South	0.3869	0.25	0.33	0.39	1.00
414	Bee Canyon Park	22.21	Canyon Park	North	0.3157	0.00	0.49	0.50	0.00
386	Bell Canyon Park	122.78	Regional Nature Park	North	0.3438	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00
447	Bill Rosendahl Del Rey Park	4.82	Large Neighborhood Park	West	0.2654	0.50	0.54	0.29	0.00
370	Branford Recreation Center	13.38	Community Park	North	0.3723	0.25	0.30	0.08	1.00
377	Bridewell Armory	1.90	Single Purpose Site	Cen/East	0.3686	0.25	0.38	0.00	0.00
366	Browns Creek Park	51.99	Canyon Park	North	0.3750	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00
344	Budd Wiener Park	0.79	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.3982	0.75	0.59	0.00	0.00
410	Buena Vista Park	8.71	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.3223	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.00
372	Caplow Property	16.96	Community Nature Park	North	0.3707	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00
421	Carthay Circle Park	0.97	Greenway	West	0.3109	1.00	0.15	0.00	0.00
368	Chatsworth Oaks Park	2.90	Neighborhood Park	North	0.3734	0.00	0.82	0.50	0.00
371	Chatsworth Park North	24.15	Large Community Park	North	0.3714	0.00	0.70	0.29	0.00
391	Chatsworth Reservoir Site	149.54	Regional Park	North	0.3357	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00
417	Cheviot Hills Recreation Center	182.61	Large Community Park	West	0.3137	0.00	0.46	0.29	0.00
375	Claude Pepper Senior Citizen Center	0.40	Single Purpose Site	South	0.3699	1.00	0.24	0.50	0.00
423	Cleland Avenue Bicentennial Park	0.92	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.3092	0.75	0.54	0.00	0.00
446	Cleveland High School Pool	0.79	School Pool	North	0.2670	0.75	0.35	0.00	0.00
415	Cohasset-Melba Park	2.00	Neighborhood Park	North	0.3152	0.50	0.48	0.00	0.00
350	Corbin Canyon Park	40.51	Regional Nature Park	North	0.3925	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00
369	Costanso Fire Station 84 Park	0.36	Mini Park	North	0.3724	1.00	0.33	0.00	0.00
477	Crescent Place Triangle	0.02	Mini Park	West	0.2014	1.00	0.26	0.00	0.00
430	Dearborn Park	9.12	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.2913	0.25	0.22	0.08	0.00
471	Deervale-Stone Canyon Park	79.40	Regional Nature Park	North	0.2275	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00
440	Del Rey Lagoon	10.99	Community Park	West	0.2736	0.00	0.75	0.20	0.00
382	Eagle Rock City Hall	0.32	Historic Landmark Site	Cen/East	0.3511	1.00	0.30	0.00	0.00
393	Eagle Rock Hillside Park	27.58	Community Nature Park	Cen/East	0.3334	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.00
463	Eagle Rock Historical Landmark	2.17	Historic Landmark Site	Cen/East	0.2430	0.25	0.54	0.00	0.00
466	Eagle Rock Recreation Center	20.68	Large Community Park	Cen/East	0.2343	0.00	0.44	0.32	0.00
408	East Wilmington Greenbelt Park	3.96	Linear Park	South	0.3227	0.25	0.34	0.25	1.00
445	Eddleston Park	6.31	Neighborhood Nature Park	North	0.2678	0.00	0.59	0.00	0.00
359	El Escorpion Park	61.29	Regional Nature Park	North	0.3833	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00
406	Eleanor Green Roberts Aquatic Center	0.75	Single Purpose Site	South	0.3234	0.75	0.27	0.00	1.00
451	Fairfax Senior Citizen Center	0.38	Single Purpose Site	West	0.2570	1.00	0.18	0.50	0.00
379	Fallbrook Park	0.30	Mini Park	North	0.3583	0.50	0.68	0.50	0.00
352	Fehlhaber-Houk Park	1.16	Neighborhood Park	North	0.3904	0.50	0.66	0.00	0.00
399	Felicia Mahood Multipurpose Center	0.82	Single Purpose Site	West	0.3296	0.75	0.20	0.75	0.00
364	Franklin-Ivar Park	0.93	Mini Park	North	0.3778	0.75	0.21	0.00	0.00
405	Gaffey Street "Field of Dreams"	17.95	Community Park	South	0.3254	0.00	0.80	0.25	0.00
468	Garvanza Park	5.59	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.2327	0.50	0.24	0.44	0.00
411	Granada Hills Recreation Center	17.76	Community Park	North	0.3163	0.25	0.15	0.62	0.00
428	Granada Hills Youth Recreation Center	18.22	Community Park	North	0.2969	0.00	0.64	0.50	0.00
354	Guardia Park	3.08	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3893	0.25	0.65	0.30	0.00
395	Haines Canyon Park	52.38	Regional Nature Park	North	0.3325	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.00
412	Harbor Gateway Park	0.08	Mini Park	South	0.3161	1.00	0.35	0.00	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.67	0.60	0.43	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.02	0.25	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.00	0.46
0.79	0.60	0.41	0.25	0.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.76	0.25	1.00	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.08
0.60	0.80	0.41	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.03	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.99	0.00	1.00	0.58	0.09	0.00	0.23
0.64	0.40	0.41	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.10	0.50	1.00	0.81	0.00	1.00	0.56
0.75	0.60	0.30	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.40	0.07	0.50	0.00	0.83	1.00	0.75	0.63
0.57	0.60	0.90	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.36	1.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.75	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.32
0.54	0.80	0.41	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.99	0.25	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.55	0.40	0.90	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.01	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.25
0.68	0.60	0.11	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.13	0.75	0.16
0.38	0.60	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.10
0.45	0.80	0.01	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.60	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.25	0.75	0.57
0.60	0.60	0.41	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.28	0.00	0.09
0.58	1.00	0.41	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.73	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.69	0.25	0.00	0.29	0.21	0.00	0.04
0.60	0.80	0.41	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.98	0.25	0.00	0.14	0.17	0.00	0.09
0.64	0.80	0.01	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.40	0.62	0.25	1.00	0.82	0.02	0.75	0.48
0.90	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.36	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.80	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.68
0.51	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.49	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.62	0.10	0.25	0.34
0.81	0.60	0.11	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.75	0.60
0.54	0.60	0.41	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.62	0.75	0.00	0.91	0.50	0.25	0.23
0.56	0.40	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.29	1.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.04	0.50	0.01
0.70	0.40	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.15	0.75	1.00	0.65	0.00	1.00	0.26
0.35	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.59	0.60	0.41	0.25	0.50	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.03	0.75	0.00	0.88	0.73	0.75	0.35
0.23	0.40	0.11	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.10	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.98	0.00	1.00	0.86	0.03	0.50	0.26
0.84	0.60	0.41	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.72	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.05	0.75	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.50	0.36
0.55	0.40	0.90	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.48	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.25	0.26
0.29	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.94	0.25	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.25	0.13
0.40	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.03	0.75	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.25	0.13
0.84	0.40	0.43	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.02	0.75	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.25	0.45
0.65	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.97	0.00	1.00	0.27	0.00	0.25	0.26
0.58	0.80	0.41	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.37	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.95	0.25	1.00	0.14	0.09	0.00	0.22
0.89	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.60	0.20	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.65
0.73	0.40	0.01	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.30	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.69
0.95	0.60	0.41	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.53	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.50	0.00	0.12
0.38	0.40	0.64	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.77	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.08
0.82	0.20	0.41	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.13	1.00	1.00	0.86	0.00	1.00	0.54
0.74	0.60	0.11	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.61	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.43
0.93	0.40	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.63	0.00	1.00	0.90	0.07	0.75	0.10
0.68	0.40	0.90	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.80	0.18	0.75	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.50	0.40
0.72	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.25	1.00	0.72	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.04	0.50	0.00	0.79	0.50	0.50	0.64
0.72	0.60	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.55	0.00	1.00	0.91	0.29	0.75	0.00
0.63	0.60	0.90	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.44	0.25	0.00	0.85	0.00	0.50	0.46
0.42	0.40	0.64	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.25	0.08
0.75	0.60	0.43	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	1.00	0.72	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.66

UNIVERSE OF SITES

FOURTH PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
474	Harold A Henry Park	1.60	Neighborhood Park	West	0.2234	0.75	0.28	0.00	0.00
439	Highland Park Recreation Center	5.41	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.2751	0.25	0.25	0.29	0.00
381	Highland Park Senior Citizen Center	3.81	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3512	0.25	0.32	0.50	0.00
457	Holleigh Bernson Memorial Park	12.51	Community Park	North	0.2476	0.00	0.60	0.25	0.00
396	Holmby Park	8.52	Large Neighborhood Park	West	0.3303	0.25	0.41	0.15	0.00
473	Irving Schacter Park	0.31	Mini Park	West	0.2250	0.75	0.27	0.50	0.00
441	Jane and Bert Boeckmann Park	50.11	Regional Park	North	0.2733	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00
346	Jessie Owens Mini-Park	1.64	Neighborhood Park	North	0.3977	0.75	0.25	0.25	1.00
402	Juntos Family Park	1.64	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3261	0.25	0.64	0.50	1.00
365	Knapp Ranch Park	69.11	Regional Park	North	0.3761	0.00	0.57	0.42	0.00
404	LA Center for Enriched Studies	7.44	School Pool	South	0.3259	0.50	0.18	0.50	0.00
455	La Tuna Canyon Park	73.61	Regional Nature Park	North	0.2503	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00
397	Lake Street Park	1.52	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3298	0.75	0.25	0.25	0.00
392	Lanark Shelby Mini-Park	0.27	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.3350	1.00	0.38	0.50	0.00
449	LAR Greenway - Laurel Canyon Gwy	3.04	Greenway	North	0.2631	0.50	0.26	0.00	0.00
424	LAR Greenway - Laurelgrove Ped Bridge	0.03	Greenway	North	0.3077	1.00	0.52	0.00	0.00
470	Laurel Canyon Mulholland Park	1.71	Neighborhood Park	North	0.2307	0.25	0.51	0.00	0.00
444	Laurel Canyon Park	23.44	Large Community Park	North	0.2706	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00
426	Lookout Point Park	1.39	Neighborhood Park	South	0.3016	0.25	0.51	0.00	0.00
427	Mae Boyar Recreation Center	2.23	Neighborhood Park	North	0.2970	0.50	0.54	0.83	0.00
472	Marco Triangle	0.03	Mini Park	West	0.2270	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.00
351	Martin J Bogdanovich Recreation Cntr	13.52	Community Park	South	0.3916	0.00	0.61	0.50	0.00
347	Mason Recreation Center	17.07	Community Park	North	0.3972	0.25	0.31	0.35	0.00
362	McGroarty Park and Cultural Art Center	16.89	Historic Landmark Site	North	0.3803	0.00	0.57	0.83	0.00
420	Moon Canyon Park	4.49	Neighborhood Nature Park	Cen/East	0.3122	0.25	0.67	0.00	0.00
460	Moonshine Canyon Park	25.88	Canyon Park	North	0.2447	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00
383	Norman O Houston Park	9.50	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.3506	0.25	0.56	0.17	0.00
388	Normandale Recreation Center	8.32	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.3388	0.50	0.38	0.11	1.00
345	North Hills Community Park	3.89	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.3981	0.75	0.42	0.17	1.00
418	Northridge Recreation Center	24.02	Large Community Park	North	0.3135	0.25	0.38	0.50	0.00
456	Nowita Triangle	0.03	Mini Park	West	0.2482	1.00	0.28	0.00	0.00
462	Oakridge Residence	9.34	Historic Landmark Site	North	0.2437	0.00	0.75	0.25	0.00
443	O'Melveny Park	695.71	Regional Nature Park	North	0.2709	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00
454	Orcutt Ranch Horticultural Center	24.10	Historic Landmark Site	North	0.2525	0.00	0.74	0.25	0.00
467	Palisades Park (Pacific Palisades)	26.43	Community Nature Park	West	0.2335	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00
403	Palisades Park (Porter Ranch)	113.65	Canyon Park	North	0.3260	0.00	0.74	0.00	0.00
448	Palisades-Asilomar Park	1.44	Greenway	West	0.2653	0.25	0.78	0.00	0.00
398	Peck Park	74.52	Regional Park	South	0.3298	0.00	0.37	0.18	0.00
400	Pilson Property	14.59	Community Nature Park	North	0.3290	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.00
416	Porter Ranch Park	40.96	Canyon Park	North	0.3140	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00
458	Porter Ridge Park	17.78	Community Park	North	0.2456	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00
452	Queen Anne Recreation Center	5.23	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.2547	0.25	0.31	0.05	1.00
419	Ramona Hall Community Center	1.43	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3125	0.00	0.67	0.50	0.00
348	Rancho Cienega Park	28.97	Large Community Park	South	0.3954	0.25	0.27	0.08	1.00
407	Reynier Park	1.03	Neighborhood Park	South	0.3234	0.75	0.28	0.33	1.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.35	0.60	0.01	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.90	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.60	0.34	1.00	0.00	0.91	0.67	0.75	0.64
0.72	0.40	0.90	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.36	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.10	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.50	0.32
0.60	0.60	0.90	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.36	0.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.06	0.75	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.50	0.32
0.82	0.60	0.41	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.23	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.06	0.25	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.50	0.07
0.41	0.60	0.01	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.77	1.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.60	0.15	0.25	0.00	0.87	0.19	0.75	0.33
0.54	0.40	0.01	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.32	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.75	0.48
0.75	0.60	0.41	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.23	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.83	0.25	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.50	0.07
0.40	0.40	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.50	0.75	0.42
0.70	0.40	0.56	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.02	1.00	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.25	0.56
0.53	0.80	0.41	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.46	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.78	0.00	1.00	0.92	0.08	0.00	0.26
0.92	0.60	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.36	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.40	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.68
0.00	0.40	0.64	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.03	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	1.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.74	0.40	0.56	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.23	0.75	0.00	0.80	0.00	1.00	0.84
0.54	0.40	0.90	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.25	0.25	0.21
0.34	0.40	0.11	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.62	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.72	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.22	1.00	0.14
0.34	0.40	0.11	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.62	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.17	1.00	0.14
0.41	0.40	0.11	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.65	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.66	0.00	1.00	0.09	0.00	0.75	0.16
0.22	0.40	0.11	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.65	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.69	0.25	1.00	0.48	0.00	0.75	0.16
0.62	0.80	0.43	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.10	0.00	1.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.21
0.51	0.60	0.41	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.01	0.50	0.00	0.85	0.86	0.00	0.26
0.31	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.70	0.80	0.43	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.20
0.72	0.80	0.41	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.57	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.03	0.25	0.00	0.89	0.88	0.75	0.20
0.33	0.40	0.64	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.70	1.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.33	0.19	0.25	0.21
0.52	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.22	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.50	0.27
0.52	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.23	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.94	0.00	1.00	0.12	0.00	0.50	0.07
0.80	0.60	0.74	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.75	0.17
0.90	0.40	0.43	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.40	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.60	0.51	0.25	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.50	0.61
0.71	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.43	0.51	0.60	0.13	0.25	0.00	0.92	0.50	0.50	0.87
0.68	0.40	0.41	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.58	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.40	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.82	0.35	0.50	0.45
0.37	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.61	0.40	0.41	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.86	0.25	0.00	0.56	0.30	0.50	0.45
0.79	0.60	0.41	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.08
0.33	0.60	0.41	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.38	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.40	0.76	0.25	0.00	0.76	0.23	0.00	0.25
0.49	0.80	0.41	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.82	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.20
0.73	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.85	0.25	1.00	0.81	0.00	0.25	0.26
0.67	0.40	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.03	0.00	1.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.25
0.62	0.60	0.43	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.47	1.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.49	0.25	1.00	0.92	0.03	0.25	0.31
0.15	0.60	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.24	1.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.62	0.60	0.41	0.00	0.75	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	1.00	0.95	0.00	1.00	0.82	0.25	0.50	0.18
0.55	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.83	0.25	0.00	0.82	0.00	0.25	0.22
0.80	0.60	0.00	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.60	0.22	0.25	0.00	0.88	0.05	0.75	0.65
0.49	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.54	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.40	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.50	0.27
0.81	0.60	0.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.30	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	0.40	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.93	0.00	1.00	0.50
0.69	0.40	0.00	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.29	0.85	1.00	0.36	1.00	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.75	0.53

UNIVERSE OF SITES

FOURTH PRIORITY

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
					Highest Weight				
373	Rio de Los Angeles State Park	39.39	Large Community Park	Cen/East	0.3702	0.00	0.67	0.00	1.00
378	Robert L Burns Park	1.68	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3604	0.75	0.26	0.00	0.00
437	Roscoe-Valley Circle Park	44.35	Regional Nature Park	North	0.2799	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00
436	Sean Brown Park	5.97	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.2800	0.25	0.41	0.00	0.00
349	Serrania Avenue Park	36.54	Large Community Park	North	0.3933	0.00	0.64	0.50	0.00
409	Silver Lake Meadows Park	3.45	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.3224	0.50	0.46	0.00	0.00
432	Silver Lake Recreation Center	3.93	Large Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.2889	0.50	0.42	0.17	0.00
387	Stoney Point Park	29.06	Community Nature Park	North	0.3397	0.00	0.69	0.00	0.00
425	Sunland Park	14.32	Community Park	North	0.3072	0.25	0.27	0.17	0.00
384	Sylmar Recreation Center	19.78	Community Park	North	0.3463	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.00
429	Temescal Canyon Park	37.59	Canyon Park	West	0.2927	0.00	0.62	0.68	0.00
433	Titmouse Park	0.29	Mini Park	West	0.2855	0.50	0.69	0.00	0.00
465	Tommy Lasorda's Field of Dreams	1.80	Neighborhood Park	Cen/East	0.2355	0.25	0.55	0.25	0.00
476	Trask Triangle Park	0.24	Mini Park	West	0.2058	1.00	0.44	0.00	0.00
374	Valley Glen Community Park	5.70	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.3701	0.50	0.35	0.00	0.00
464	Van Norman Lakes Reservoir	10.90	Community Park	North	0.2423	0.25	0.57	0.00	0.00
442	Van Nuys Sherman Oaks War Mem Park	65.18	Large Community Park	North	0.2724	0.00	0.19	0.20	0.00
422	Venice High School Pool	1.47	School Pool	West	0.3104	0.75	0.27	1.00	0.00
390	Venice Reservoir Site	14.33	Community Park	West	0.3363	0.25	0.53	0.20	0.00
353	Verdugo Mountain Park	587.97	Regional Nature Park	North	0.3897	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00
389	Veterans' Barrington Park	13.73	Community Park	West	0.3364	0.00	0.65	0.00	1.00
367	Via Dolce Park	0.14	Mini Park	West	0.3737	0.75	0.71	0.50	0.00
450	Viking Park	10.07	Community Park	North	0.2586	0.00	0.62	0.25	0.00
385	Wattles Garden Park	47.58	Canyon Park	North	0.3449	0.00	0.64	1.00	0.00
461	West Hills Sport Center	15.05	Community Park	North	0.2442	0.00	0.62	0.31	0.00
401	Westminster Park	2.24	Neighborhood Park	West	0.3264	0.25	0.28	0.50	0.00
435	Westwood Gardens Park	0.29	Mini Park	West	0.2802	1.00	0.23	0.00	0.00
431	Westwood Recreation Center	26.70	Large Community Park	West	0.2901	0.25	0.18	0.07	0.00
376	Wilbur-Tampa Park	7.29	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.3686	0.00	0.60	0.50	0.00
394	William S Hart Park - Dog Park	0.83	Mini Park	North	0.3325	1.00	0.36	0.00	0.00
356	Wilmington Recreation Center	7.31	Large Neighborhood Park	South	0.3873	0.50	0.30	0.38	1.00
434	Woodbine Park	0.67	Mini Park	West	0.2835	1.00	0.29	0.00	0.00
469	Woodland Hills Recreation Center	18.76	Community Park	North	0.2315	0.25	0.44	0.10	0.00
360	Woodside Triangle	0.17	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.3823	0.25	0.74	0.00	0.00
438	York Blvd Pocket Park	0.29	Mini Park	Cen/East	0.2757	1.00	0.26	0.17	0.00
380	Yosemite Recreation Center	10.00	Community Park	Cen/East	0.3562	0.25	0.37	0.23	0.00

FIFTH PRIORITY

505	Barrington Recreation Center	4.91	Large Neighborhood Park	West	0.1230	0.25	0.67	0.21	0.00
506	Beverly Glen Park	85.74	Regional Nature Park	West	0.1227	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00
497	Briarwood Park	10.75	Community Park	West	0.1614	0.00	0.87	0.33	0.00
490	Castle Peak Park	3.09	Large Neighborhood Park	North	0.1761	0.25	0.48	0.00	0.00
498	Club Circle Park	0.30	Mini Park	West	0.1597	0.75	0.18	0.00	0.00
517	Coldwater Canyon Park	41.16	Regional Park	North	0.0176	0.00	0.85	0.00	0.00

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.72	0.40	0.76	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.47	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.20	0.01	0.25	1.00	0.30	0.00	0.25	0.41
0.59	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.84	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	1.00	0.20	0.75	0.00	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.73
0.59	0.80	0.41	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.03	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.40	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.25
0.68	0.40	0.41	0.50	0.75	1.00	0.53	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.74	0.25	0.00	0.93	0.18	0.00	0.12
0.62	0.60	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.37	1.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.24	0.25	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.75	0.22
0.67	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.78	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.06	0.00	1.00	0.69	0.00	0.75	0.22
0.47	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.78	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.60	0.11	0.75	0.00	0.72	0.11	0.75	0.22
0.64	1.00	0.41	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.40	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.40	0.80	0.25	0.00	0.61	0.33	0.00	0.02
0.66	0.80	0.64	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.80	0.14	0.50	0.00	0.66	0.65	0.00	0.24
0.71	0.60	0.64	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.68	0.51	0.60	0.06	0.50	0.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.47
0.72	0.80	0.41	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.60	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.20
0.46	0.60	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.72	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.60	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.50	0.36
0.57	0.60	0.56	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.69	0.80	0.58	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.22
0.50	0.60	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.50	0.19
0.68	0.40	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.20	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.75	0.50	0.75	0.50
0.92	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.75	1.00	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.64	0.25	0.41
0.75	0.40	0.11	0.25	0.50	1.00	0.61	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.40	0.12	0.50	1.00	0.81	0.50	1.00	0.47
0.81	0.40	0.41	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.62
0.70	0.60	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.44	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.44	0.25	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.25	0.43
0.64	0.40	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.62	0.86	0.57	0.40	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.93	0.01	0.25	0.24
0.87	0.40	0.41	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.93	0.60	0.41	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.00	0.25	0.64
0.67	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.71	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.87	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.00	0.25	0.25
0.62	0.60	0.11	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.28	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.20	0.77	0.25	1.00	0.82	0.01	0.75	0.39
0.69	0.80	0.41	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.01	0.25	1.00	0.94	0.40	0.00	0.23
0.74	0.60	0.41	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.94	0.00	0.25	0.67
0.69	0.40	0.01	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.41	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.09	0.75	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.75	0.73
0.75	0.40	0.01	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.83	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.15	0.25	1.00	0.65	0.00	1.00	0.54
0.76	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.85	0.50	1.00	0.69	0.00	0.50	0.18
0.88	0.40	0.11	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.44	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.13	0.75	0.66
0.86	0.40	0.43	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.05	0.75	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.25	0.41
0.61	0.40	0.01	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.22	1.00	0.00	0.92	0.40	1.00	0.85
0.71	0.40	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.50	0.00	0.92	0.14	0.50	0.55
0.56	0.40	0.76	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.54	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.51	0.60	0.70	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.27
0.72	0.40	0.90	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	1.00	0.20	1.00	0.00	0.82	0.00	0.25	0.60
0.47	0.40	0.90	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.45	0.78	0.57	0.60	0.04	0.75	0.00	0.66	0.06	0.25	0.59
0.67	0.40	0.41	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.06	0.50	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.10	0.60	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.33	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.88	0.00	0.50	0.00
0.12	0.40	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.33	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.97	0.00	1.00	0.93	0.00	0.50	0.00
0.61	0.80	0.41	0.50	0.25	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.26	0.00	1.00	0.92	0.22	0.00	0.23
0.58	0.40	0.01	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.17	0.75	0.48
0.12	0.40	0.11	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.31	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.50	0.08

UNIVERSE OF SITES

FIFTH PRIORITY

Highest Weight

Rank	Title	Size (Acres)	PNA Classification	Region	Composite Score	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Park Conditions Assessment	Env., Social, and Health Equity
511	Crestwood Hills Recreation Center	**15.78**	Community Park	West	**0.1059**	**0.00**	**0.88**	**0.50**	**0.00**
518	De Neve Square Park	**0.61**	Mini Park	West	**0.0000**	**0.25**	**0.41**	**0.00**	**0.00**
502	El Paseo De Cahuenga Park	**1.29**	Neighborhood Park	North	**0.1449**	**0.50**	**0.50**	**0.00**	**0.00**
491	Encino Park	**5.27**	Large Neighborhood Park	North	**0.1738**	**0.25**	**0.30**	**0.44**	**0.00**
489	Gateway Triangle	**0.04**	Mini Park	West	**0.1812**	**1.00**	**0.41**	**0.00**	**0.00**
482	George Wolfberg Park at Potrero Canyn	**35.49**	Canyon Park	West	**0.1951**	**0.00**	**0.74**	**0.00**	**0.00**
504	Lazy J Ranch Park	**8.43**	Large Neighborhood Park	North	**0.1329**	**0.00**	**0.27**	**0.00**	**0.00**
480	Los Angeles High Memorial Park	**2.51**	Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1990**	**0.50**	**0.27**	**0.00**	**0.00**
507	Mandeville Canyon Park	**131.20**	Regional Nature Park	West	**0.1186**	**0.00**	**0.87**	**0.00**	**0.00**
495	Mar Vista Recreation Center	**18.51**	Community Park	West	**0.1708**	**0.25**	**0.18**	**0.36**	**0.00**
487	Marco Place Parkway	**0.03**	Mini Park	West	**0.1855**	**0.75**	**0.27**	**0.00**	**0.00**
484	Moorpark Park	**3.22**	Large Neighborhood Park	North	**0.1924**	**0.50**	**0.27**	**0.50**	**0.00**
496	Mulholland View Site No 16	**0.21**	Mini Park	North	**0.1624**	**0.50**	**0.58**	**0.00**	**0.00**
478	Oakwood Recreation Center	**3.63**	Large Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1998**	**0.50**	**0.19**	**0.42**	**0.00**
493	Palisades Recreation Center	**17.54**	Community Park	West	**0.1733**	**0.00**	**0.46**	**0.44**	**0.00**
485	Palms Recreation Center	**4.81**	Large Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1917**	**0.50**	**0.13**	**0.06**	**0.00**
512	Pan Pacific Park	**32.18**	Large Community Park	West	**0.0920**	**0.25**	**0.13**	**0.25**	**0.00**
514	Penmar Recreation Center	**64.71**	Community Park	West	**0.0774**	**0.00**	**0.49**	**0.14**	**0.00**
501	Poinsettia Recreation Center	**6.29**	Large Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1471**	**0.50**	**0.16**	**0.34**	**0.00**
481	Rena Park	**1.28**	Neighborhood Park	South	**0.1981**	**0.25**	**0.41**	**0.00**	**0.00**
494	Rinaldi Park	**0.08**	Mini Park	North	**0.1728**	**1.00**	**0.44**	**0.00**	**0.00**
516	Rivas Canyon Park	**25.76**	Community Nature Park	West	**0.0677**	**0.00**	**0.35**	**0.00**	**0.00**
492	Robertson Recreation Center	**1.24**	Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1734**	**0.75**	**0.27**	**0.00**	**0.00**
513	Rustic Canyon Park	**44.69**	Regional Nature Park	West	**0.0868**	**0.00**	**0.88**	**0.00**	**0.00**
510	Rustic Canyon Recreation Center	**8.95**	Large Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1086**	**0.00**	**0.80**	**0.32**	**0.00**
508	San Vicente Mountain Park	**31.45**	Community Nature Park	West	**0.1112**	**0.00**	**0.87**	**0.00**	**0.00**
499	Santa Ynez Canyon Park	**337.84**	Canyon Park	West	**0.1487**	**0.00**	**0.97**	**0.00**	**0.00**
503	Shadow Ranch Park	**12.03**	Community Park	North	**0.1405**	**0.25**	**0.42**	**0.29**	**0.00**
486	Steers Property	**21.35**	Community Nature Park	West	**0.1913**	**0.00**	**0.91**	**0.00**	**0.00**
483	Stoner Recreation Center	**8.66**	Large Neighborhood Park	West	**0.1931**	**0.50**	**0.14**	**0.19**	**0.00**
479	Studio City Recreation Center	**8.46**	Large Neighborhood Park	North	**0.1990**	**0.25**	**0.42**	**0.54**	**0.00**
515	Sullivan Canyon Park	**23.29**	Community Nature Park	West	**0.0741**	**0.00**	**0.87**	**0.00**	**0.00**
488	Taxco Trails Park	**2.45**	Neighborhood Park	North	**0.1842**	**0.25**	**0.28**	**0.00**	**0.00**
500	Venice of America Centennial Park	**0.89**	Mini Park	West	**0.1483**	**0.50**	**0.16**	**0.00**	**0.00**
509	Westchester Recreation Center	**23.58**	Large Community Park	West	**0.1093**	**0.25**	**0.12**	**0.13**	**0.00**

Highest Weight				Medium Weight					Lowest Weight										
Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety	Criminalization Burden	CIP Project History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity and Habitat Cons	Metro Corridors	Perceived Park Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Com Prio Amenities	Park Visitation	MyLA311 Requests	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration & Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LAC Park Needs Assessment
0.35	0.40	0.41	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.01	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.06	0.00	0.12
0.09	0.60	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.19	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.80	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.13	0.75	0.09
0.49	0.40	0.11	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.20	0.67	0.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.75	0.21
0.49	0.40	0.11	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.60	0.06	0.50	1.00	0.66	0.50	0.00	0.23
0.45	0.80	0.01	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.13	0.50	0.27
0.64	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.83	0.00	1.00	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.20
0.66	0.60	0.41	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.53	0.25	0.00	0.87	0.35	0.00	0.25
0.43	0.60	0.01	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.60	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.91	1.00	0.75	0.64
0.32	0.40	0.41	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.23	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.96	0.25	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.60	0.40	0.41	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.11	0.50	0.00	0.87	0.21	1.00	0.69
0.28	0.40	0.41	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.51	0.40	0.11	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.40	0.29	0.75	0.00	0.88	0.56	1.00	0.14
0.07	0.40	0.11	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.34	1.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	1.00	0.71	0.00	1.00	0.93	0.00	0.50	0.10
0.76	0.40	0.41	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.02	0.75	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.64	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.51	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.60	0.04	0.25	1.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.20
0.64	0.60	0.01	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.80	0.05	0.25	0.00	0.92	0.34	0.75	0.56
0.58	0.60	0.01	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.40	0.21	0.75	1.00	0.90	0.00	0.75	0.63
0.57	0.40	0.41	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.02	0.50	0.00	0.85	0.00	0.25	0.29
0.77	0.40	0.01	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	0.80	0.06	0.75	0.00	0.89	0.50	0.75	0.50
0.57	0.60	0.43	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.80	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.09	0.25	0.31
0.75	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.25	0.25
0.72	0.00	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.75	0.00
0.68	0.60	0.01	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.47	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.75	0.72
0.14	0.40	0.41	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.19	0.60	0.41	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.40	0.18	0.25	0.00	0.65	0.25	0.00	0.26
0.16	0.40	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
0.34	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.75	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.99	0.25	1.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.12
0.59	0.40	0.41	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.80	0.03	0.50	1.00	0.88	0.50	0.25	0.41
0.42	0.60	0.41	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.24	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.74	0.20	0.41	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.00	1.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.11	0.75	0.00	0.77	0.00	1.00	0.57
0.58	0.40	0.11	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.40	0.03	0.25	1.00	0.90	1.00	0.75	0.29
0.15	0.60	0.41	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.57	0.60	0.41	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.17	0.25	0.60	0.04	0.50	0.00	0.83	0.50	0.00	0.25
0.56	0.40	0.41	1.00	0.25	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	1.00	0.28	0.50	1.00	0.14	0.00	0.25	0.54
0.75	0.40	0.41	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.41	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.70	0.80	0.03	0.25	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.50	0.47

Figure 68. **Flying over McArthur Park.** Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025.



COUNCIL DISTRICT SNAPSHOTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT 1



Council District 1 (CD 1) encompasses some of the densest neighborhoods of Los Angeles. It also has some of the most varied parks in the city, ranging from the highly urban MacArthur Park to scenic Elysian Park. Across the council district, outreach and the need for sheltering unhoused individuals is paramount, with some key initiatives like a Peace Ambassador program and community clean teams being piloted at MacArthur Park. This is also tied to the need for additional fire mitigation measures in the more natural spaces within RAP's jurisdiction, and the desire for a more active presence from park rangers.

2050 population projections show growing populations in the southern neighborhoods of CD 1, including Pico Union, Westlake, and Elysian Park. Chinatown is expected to see significant growth over the next 25 years, while the neighborhoods of Glassell Park, Montecito Heights, Highland Park, and Mount Washington are projected to see a decline in population.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 1

ELYSIAN PARK	KOREATOWN	ADAMS-NORMANDIE
CHINATOWN	ANGELINO HEIGHTS	HIGHLAND PARK
LINCOLN HEIGHTS	MONTECITO HEIGHTS	CYPRESS PARK
PICO UNION	ECHO PARK	MOUNT WASHINGTON
WESTLAKE	GLASSELL PARK	

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Alpine Recreation Center
- Alvarado Terrace Park
- Carlin G Smith Recreation Center
- Charles F Lummis Home and Gardens, El Alisal
- Cleland Avenue Bicentennial Park
- Cypress Park Club House
- Cypress Recreation Center
- Downey Recreation Center
- Echo Park Community Center
- Echo Park Deep Pool
- Elysian Park
- Ernest E Debs Regional Park
- Everett Park
- Francis Avenue Community Garden
- Glassell Park Recreation Center and Youth Center
- Greayer's Oak Park
- Heritage Square
- Hoover Recreation Center
- Hope and Peace Park
- La Tierra de la Culebra
- Lacy Street Neighborhood Park
- Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)
- Lincoln Heights Recreation Center
- Lincoln Heights Youth Center
- Lummis Public Forest Park
- MacArthur Park
- Montecito Heights Recreation Center
- Moon Canyon Park
- Mount Olympus Park
- Normandie Recreation Center
- Ord And Yale Street Park
- Parkview Photo Center
- Parque Nativo Lopez
- Patton St Pocket Park
- Pio Union Community Garden
- Ramona Hall Community Center
- Rio de Los Angeles State Park
- Saint James Park
- Sycamore Grove Park
- Toberman Recreation Center
- Valencia Triangle
- Woodside Triangle
- *PerSquare Mile - University Park North*
- *PerSquare Mile - Pico-Union*
- *PerSquare Mile - Westlake-Koreatown*
- *PerSquare Mile - Westlake*



Figure 69. Council District 1. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 1

42
City Parks

1,052
Acres of Parkland

312,547
Residents

68
Sports Fields and Courts

40
Playgrounds

22
Recreation & Community Centers

6
Pools & Splashpads

32%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



Top 3 languages spoken:

Spanish, English, Korean

$59,379 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
53,582 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
37.3 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

What we heard..

"We need more access to nice parks in lower-income neighborhoods. They play a crucial role in the development, health, and happiness of youth and seniors, as well as the overall health of the environment. The parks in these locations need to be better maintained, with more trees and better lighting."

"I wish our parks were more focused on native species. I hate seeing palm trees and birds of paradise instead of coast live oaks and black walnuts. Our parks should also capture and infiltrate a significant amount of water, and they should have robust volunteer programs so that people in LA can learn about native species and implement that at home."

"I adore Sycamore Grove in Highland Park. I've met neighbors there, had social gatherings there, and felt more connected to my neighborhood."

"There is nowhere near enough space to play soccer for the number of kids who want to play or even just practice."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 1 respondents feel worse than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 1 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



Fewer than half of CD 1 respondents feel that there are enough parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



More than half of CD 1 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Natural areas & wildlife habitats
3. Non-paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Swimming and lap pool
2. Walking/jogging track
3. Exercise & fitness equipment

Programs

1. Fitness/wellness programs
2. Nature experiences or environmental education
3. Seniors (age 50 & over); Aquatics; Arts + Crafts

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 1:

52%
People experiencing homelessness there

40%
Lack of public restrooms

33%
Poor/uncomfortable atmosphere

31%
Lack of parking by facilities/parks; No visible patrolling presence



COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

Council District Two (CD 2) includes areas in North Hollywood just west of Burbank. The district is home to a well-loved series of parks, including several such as Valley Plaza along the Hollywood Freeway. Several projects are currently underway as a partnership between LADWP and RAP to improve stormwater management at several parks in the district.

Residents raised concerns about the increases in persons experiencing homelessness in parks. Some residents pointed out concerns that in some areas RAP has closed off access to trails or underpasses where persons experiencing homelessness would gather. This unfortunately can also limit access for everyone.

Population projections for CD 2 show pockets of higher growth in the neighborhoods of North Hollywood and Valley Glen by 2050. Overall, CD 2 is expected to see a modest growth in population in the next 25 years.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

NORTH HOLLYWOOD | **SUN VALLEY** | **VALLEY VILLAGE**

VALLEY GLEN | **STUDIO CITY** | **TOLUCA LAKE**

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Bellaire Avenue Park
- Camellia Avenue Elementary School (CSP)
- Campo De Cahuenga
- De Garmo Park
- Fulton Avenue Park
- Greenwood Square Park
- Hartland Mini-Park
- Jaime Beth Slavin Park
- Keswick Park
- Kittridge Mini-Park
- North Hollywood Recreation Center
- North Weddington Recreation Center
- South Weddington Park
- Strathern Park - North
- Strathern Park, West
- Tiara Street Park
- Tujunga Greenbelt
- Valley Glen Community Park
- Valley Plaza Park
- Van Nuys Multipurpose Center
- Verdugo Mountain Park
- Victory-Vineland Recreation Center
- Whitnall Highway Park
- Woodbridge Park
- *PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys - Valley Glen*
- *PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys East*
- *PerSquare Mile - North Hollywood*



Figure 70. Council District 2. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

24
City Parks

820
Acres of Parkland

299,068
Residents

31
Sports Fields and Courts

20
Playgrounds

12
Recreation & Community Centers

3
Pools & Splashpads

27%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$73,120 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
40,385 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
37.3 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"We definitely need to make parks a communal space for local neighborhoods, and we should strive to make every park a third place for people to hang out in. Whether that's access to classes, community gardens within the parks, non-hostile architecture, loads of native plants and trees. We have the land and the knowledge to do it, we gotta start now for our future generations."

"I love RAP! I am proud of the hard work our department puts in to preserve the beauty of our parks, and I love seeing our parks flourish. But prior to two or three years ago, our patrons felt a lot safer, and people respected the park rules more than now. I would love to see tourists visit our parks again, and I would love to see people come to our parks without being afraid. Park proud!"

"The parks are very diverse, but most of those options mentioned above are not available anywhere near me in the Valley."

"As we build more dense housing, I want there to be an equal emphasis on maintaining/ increasing green space for all to enjoy."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 2 respondents feel worse than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 2 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



Fewer than half of CD 2 respondents feel that there are enough parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



Less than half of CD 2 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Natural areas & wildlife habitats

Indoor Facilities

1. Swimming pool
2. Walking/jogging track
3. Rooms for arts & crafts, & games

Programs

1. Fitness/wellness programs
2. Arts & crafts classes
3. Nature experiences or environmental education

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 2:

59%
People experiencing homelessness there

45%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

43%
Facilities are not well-maintained; Too far from residence

36%
No visible patrolling presence



COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

Council District Three (CD 3) includes the southwest San Fernando Valley. The district is home to the headwaters of the Los Angeles River and a mix of foothill and valley parks. The area is experiencing some population growth which is putting pressure on park facilities and raising concerns for residents about how developer fees for projects occurring in the area such as Warner Center will be spent in the area to improve parks.

Many residents expressed concerns about park operations and maintenance and park rule enforcement. Concerns were raised about the prevalence of persons experiencing homelessness, particularly along the Los Angeles River trail. Other concerns include repeated increased taxes for city services and understanding how dollars are allocated around the city.

Population projections for 2050 reveal that growth is expected to be highest in the neighborhoods of Woodland Hills and Canoga Park, with Tarzana seeing some modest growth.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

CANOGA PARK | **WINNETKA** | **TARZANA**

RESEDA | **WOODLAND HILLS**

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Alizondo Drive Park
- Caballero Creek Confluence Park
- Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center
- Caplow Property
- Corbin Canyon Park
- Costanso Fire Station 84 Park
- John Quimby Park
- Lanark Recreation Center
- LAPD SWAT Officer Randal D Simmons Park
- Los Angeles River & Aliso Creek Confluence Park
- Los Angeles River Greenway - Mason to Vanalden
- Mecca Avenue Park
- Parthenia Park
- Pilson Property
- Reseda Park
- Reseda Skate Facility
- Runnymede Park
- Serrania Avenue Park
- Tarzana Recreation Center
- Warner Ranch Park
- Winnetka Recreation Center
- Woodland Hills Recreation Center
- *PerSquare Mile - Winetka*
- *PerSquare Mile - Reseda*



Figure 71. Council District 3. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

22
City Parks

254
Acres of Parkland

298,785
Residents

37
Sports Fields and Courts

20
Playgrounds

9
Recreation & Community Centers

3
Pools & Splashpads

32%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$88,295 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
35,827 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
39.8 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"Our family has participated in many programs over the years including summer camp, piano classes, swimming classes, para equestrian and adaptive surfing. I have been very impressed specifically with the adaptive programs which have provided quality programming for free or at a low cost."

"There needs to be much more park space. Most of the parks in the Valley are concentrated in wealthy areas. There's not much for the people in the Valley flats, so the few parks we do have are overcrowded and poorly maintained. It is common to see broken glass bottles and graffiti in the parks in the Valley flats."

"Many of the facilities are old. There is a lack of wild open space. Many parks lack adequate shade for the heat."

"Maintenance! Weeding, mulching to reduce weeds, and judiciously and aesthetically trimming trees and shrubs."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 3 respondents feel worse than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 3 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



One-third of CD 3 respondents feel that there are enough parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



Less than half of CD 3 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Weight rooms/gyms
2. Exercise & fitness equipment
3. Walking/jogging track

Programs

1. Fitness/wellness programs
2. Seniors (age 50 & over)
3. Arts & crafts classes

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 3:

57%
People experiencing homelessness there

43%
Facilities are not well-maintained

39%
Too far from residence; No visible patrolling presence

34%
Lack of public restrooms; Poor/ uncomfortable atmosphere

COUNCIL DISTRICT 4



Council District Four (CD 4) is home to the City's largest park—Griffith Park—as well as a long section of the Los Angeles River, an edge of Sepulveda Basin, and many neighborhoods in the San Fernando Valley, Santa Monica Mountains, and foothills. Rich in overall total parkland acres, the district is challenged by access issues, which are made worse by traffic and significant infrastructure barriers and topographic change. Residents cited opportunities for additional programming such as hiking and swimming as well as the need for flat spaces to walk, run, and bike.

Residents raised significant concerns over the intensity of encampments of persons experiencing homelessness in parks. These issues were similar near South Griffith Park and the South Sepulveda Basin as well as other smaller parks. Operations and maintenance was frequently cited as a community concern.

Equestrian use was a topic of discussion in several communities in this Council District. The history of equestrian use in Griffith Park, at the LA Equestrian Center, along the Los Angeles River, and in some adjacent neighborhoods is considered a culturally significant aspect of the evolution of Los Angeles equine history.

By 2050, it is expected that CD 4 neighborhoods of Hollywood Hills, Hollywood Hills West, and parts of Encino and Sherman Oaks will see overall population growth.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

RESEDA	ENCINO	SILVER LAKE
SHERMAN OAKS	STUDIO CITY	LOS FELIZ
HOLLYWOOD HILLS WEST	HOLLYWOOD HILLS	GRIFFITH PARK

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Buena Vista Park
- Cleveland High School Pool
- Coldwater Canyon Park
- Deervale-Stone Canyon Park
- El Paseo De Cahuenga Park
- Encino Park
- Franklin-Ivar Park
- Garden Grove Elementary School (CSP)
- Griffith Park
- Laurel Canyon Mulholland Park
- Laurel Canyon Park
- Los Angeles River Greenway - Coldwater to Whitsett
- Los Angeles River Greenway - Laurel Canyon Greenway
- Los Angeles River Greenway - Laurelgrove Pedestrian Bridge
- Los Angeles River Greenway - Sepulveda to Kester
- Moorpark Park
- Mulholland View Site No 16
- Runyon Canyon Park
- Sherman Oaks Castle Park
- Studio City Recreation Center
- Van Nuys Sherman Oaks War Memorial Park
- Wattles Garden Park
- William S Hart Park - Dog Park
- *PerSquare Mile - Tarzana-Encino*



Figure 72. Council District 4. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

23 City Parks

5020 Acres of Parkland

278,887 Residents

110 Sports Fields and Courts

24 Playgrounds

4 Recreation & Community Centers

5 Pools & Splashpads

35% Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$111,450 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
28,236 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
41.3 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"More park spaces. More staff. More funding for programs and maintenance.

Overall, a better appreciation (i.e. reflected in budget and City identity) for the values of park space."

"Parks are a good size with lots of amenities from sports facilities to playgrounds to recreational centers. The parks are usually decently clean and offer great parking and green spaces. It is rare that I've had a bad experience at an LA park."

"In the Valley we need more splash pads and shaded areas for playgrounds."

"Some parks need more lighting, especially during the winter time when the sun sets early. its hard to go to the park after work when it is so dark."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 4 respondents feel more positive than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 4 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



CD 4 respondents feel similarly to the city as a whole about the number of parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



Two-thirds of CD 4 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Walking/jogging track
2. Swimming pool
3. Exercise & fitness equipment

Programs

1. Special events/festivals
2. Fitness/wellness programs
3. Nature experiences or environmental education

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 4:

38%
People experiencing homelessness there

35%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

32%
Too far from residence

24%
Facilities are not well-maintained

COUNCIL DISTRICT 5



Council District Five (CD 5) encompasses much of Mid-City and parts of west LA such as UCLA and Bel Air. CD 5 recently conducted a survey of residents independently from the PNA to ask questions about parks and prioritize efforts that the Councilmember would champion. These findings were helpful to the PNA process and added to the PNA survey information.

Priorities for residents of CD 5 included improvements in operations and maintenance as well as modest expansion of recreation facilities. Species biodiversity and the repair of broken ecosystems through reforestation, daylighting streams and springs, and increasing tree canopy were discussed by residents. Residents also wanted to understand options for increasing or replacing current parks funding with new parks measures.

CD 5 is projected to grow in population overall by 2050. There are certain pockets of neighborhoods like Westwood, Century City, Rancho Park, and Pico Robertson that will see higher population growth.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 5

BEL-AIR	BEVERLY CREST	HANCOCK PARK
WESTWOOD	CENTURY CITY	FAIRFAX
WEST LOS ANGELES	RANCHO PARK	MID-WILSHIRE
CHEVIOT HILLS	PALMS	BEVERLY GROVE
BEVERLYWOOD	PICO-ROBERTSON	CARTHAY

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Beverly Glen Park
- Briarwood Park
- Carthay Circle Park
- Cheviot Hills Recreation Center
- Club Circle Park
- De Neve Square Park
- Fairfax Senior Citizen Center
- Gateway Triangle
- Harold A Henry Park
- Holmby Park
- Irving Schacter Park
- Los Angeles High Memorial Park
- Media Park
- Palms Recreation Center
- Pan Pacific Park
- Poinsettia Recreation Center
- Robertson Recreation Center
- Westwood Gardens Park
- Westwood Recreation Center
- Woodbine Park



Figure 73. Council District 5. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 5

20 City Parks

368 Acres of Parkland

274,058 Residents

72 Sports Fields and Courts

25 Playgrounds

12 Recreation & Community Centers

3 Pools & Splashpads

42% Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



	Council District 5	Citywide
Median HH income	$107,866	$81,173
Income below poverty level	39,231	624,523
Median age	38.6	37.5

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"LA Parks needs more natural green spaces and trails that are well lit so that our children have nature-based experiences in the city. Seattle does a great job of this, and Chicago's nature reserves are another different but great example. "

"I hate how long it takes me to get to any of the larger/nicer parks in the city via public transportation and the fact that most of them have 0 safe bikeways to get there either. There is not a single park within 20mins walking distance of my apartment."

"Cheviot Park: it's completely ignored and the community has to fund raise to make any improvements."

"Lease space to private businesses (e.g. coffee shops, casual dining) on rec center properties to provide improved services (and generate additional revenues)."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 5 respondents feel more positive than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 5 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



About half of CD 5 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



Two-thirds of CD 5 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Natural areas & wildlife habitats
3. Non-paved and paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Swimming pool
2. Exercise & fitness equipment
3. Weight rooms/gyms

Programs

1. Fitness/wellness programs
2. Special events/festivals
3. Nature experiences or environmental education

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 5:

45%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

41%
People experiencing homelessness there

35%
Too far from residence

30%
Facilities are not well-maintained



COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

Council District Six (CD 6) encompasses a large portion of the central and north San Fernando Valley. The neighborhoods in this area were historically predominantly single family homes and parks were at greater distances apart, but neighborhoods are densifying with multi-family developments and the distances for these communities to parks is too high in many areas. This is putting significant pressure on park facilities to meet the needs of a growing population in several neighborhoods. The 2050 population projections for the City of LA show growing populations in the neighborhoods of Lake Balboa, Van Nuys, and Panorama City.

Large recreation areas like Sepulveda Basin are within CD 6 and provide significant resources, but the distance to parks and accessibility of parks is a concern of community members. Facilities in need of repair, particularly pools given the increasing heat in the Valley, came up in several community meetings. Playgrounds for young children that are in closer proximity to growing neighborhoods are needed.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

LAKE BALBOA | **SEPULVEDA BASIN** | **ARLETA**

VAN NUYS | **PANORAMA CITY** | **SUN VALLEY**

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Albert Piantanida Intergenerational Center
- Allegheny Park
- Andres and Maria Cardenas Recreation Center
- Branford Recreation Center
- Delano Recreation Center
- Devonshire Arleta Park
- Fernangeles Recreation Center
- Jessie Owens Mini-Park
- Louise Park
- Marson Street Pocket Park
- North Hills Community Park
- Panorama City Recreation Center
- Sepulveda Basin Recreation Area
- Sepulveda Recreation Center
- Sheldon-Arleta Park
- South East Valley Roller & Skateboard Park
- Sun Valley Park
- Tobias Avenue Park
- Van Nuys Recreation Center
- *PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys West*
- *PerSquare Mile - Van Nuys Central*
- *PerSquare Mile - South Panorama City*
- *PerSquare Mile - Sun Valley*
- *PerSquare Mile - North Hills*
- *PerSquare Mile - Panorama City West*
- *PerSquare Mile - Arleta*



Figure 74. Council District 6. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

19 City Parks

1,675 Acres of Parkland

250,728 Residents

123 Sports Fields and Courts

23 Playgrounds

11 Recreation & Community Centers

3 Pools & Splashpads

24% Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$68,116 Median HH income

$81,173 *Citywide*

47,280 Income below poverty level

624,523 *Citywide*

35.6 Median age

37.5 *Citywide*

Top 3 languages spoken:

Spanish, English, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"Unprogrammed grounds, trees and vegetation, walking and jogging path, grass where people sit, more benches and shade needed."

"Maintain what we have: cut weeds, cultivate and trim trees, eliminate graffiti, clean regularly, disallow camping and tenting by homeless, clear dead brush, don't allow loud music. Keep our parks beautiful."

"Most Recreation staff offer programs that benefit the surrounding communities. The Summer Night Watch program was a great addition to keep kids active, off the streets away from gang activity."

"Upgrade buildings, facilities, create a safer space, create a collaborative space with other partners in the community."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 6 respondents feel worse than the city as a whole about the physical conditions of City of LA parks but more positive about recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 6 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while 60% have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



About half of CD 6 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



Less than half of CD 6 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Natural areas & wildlife habitats

Indoor Facilities

1. Walking/jogging track
2. Exercise & fitness equipment
3. Swimming pool

Programs

1. Special events/festivals
2. Arts & crafts classes
3. Seniors (age 50 & over)

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 6:

58%
People experiencing homelessness there

36%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

33%
Facilities are not well-maintained; Too far from our residence

31%
Lack of public restrooms



COUNCIL DISTRICT 7

Council District Seven (CD 7) has a deep history intertwined with City of LA Parks, including sites such as Hansen Dam and Lakeview Terrace Recreation Center which are tied to significant cultural histories of the San Fernando Valley, particularly in relation to equestrian and recreational uses. This district includes significant equestrian trails as well as the largest swimming pool in the entire park system.

Many residents in CD 7 expressed a desire for better operations and maintenance of existing facilities rather than expanding and spreading resources too thin across parks. Community members suggested that volunteers and park advisory boards can be helpful in supporting the parks system to offset some of the budget issues faced by RAP.

Population projections by 2050 indicate a more modest growth in CD 7 compared to other council districts, with some neighborhood areas in Sylmar and Lake View Terrace projected to see some population loss.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 7

- SYLMAR
- MISSION HILLS
- SHADOW HILLS
- PACOIMA
- HANSEN DAM
- TUJUNGA
- LAKE VIEW TERRACE
- SUNLAND

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Amistad Park
- Andres Pico Adobe Park
- Brand Park
- Carey Ranch Park
- David M Gonzales Recreation Center
- Devonwood Park
- El Dorado Avenue Park
- Fehlhaber-Houk Park
- Fox And Laurel Park
- Haines Canyon Park
- Hansen Dam Recreation Area
- Howard Finn Park
- Hubert H Humphrey Memorial Park
- Kagel Canyon Park
- La Tuna Canyon Park
- Little Landers Park
- McGroarty Park and Cultural Art Center
- North East Valley Multipurpose Center
- North San Fernando Park
- Oro Vista Park
- Ritchie Valens Paxton Recreation Center
- Roger W Jessup Park
- Stetson Ranch Park
- Stonehurst Recreation Center
- Sunland Park
- Sylmar Recreation Center
- Telfair Park
- Tujunga Infiltration Galleries
- Verdugo Hills Pool
- West Lakeside Street Park



Figure 75. Council District 7. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 7

30
City Parks

1,851
Acres of Parkland

241,508
Residents

56
Sports Fields and Courts

29
Playgrounds

15
Recreation & Community Centers

8
Pools & Splashpads

31%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$89,145
Median HH income

$81,173
Citywide

35,528
Income below poverty level

624,523
Citywide

38.5
Median age

37.5
Citywide

Top 3 languages spoken:

Spanish, English, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"There are some unused open spaces that can have low use recreation such as a few benches are frisbee golf but no other facilities are needed. I am referring to the open space at La Tuna and Tujunga. "

"Los Angeles needs to bring more resources to the parks, such as building spaces and facilities big enough to accommodate the population of a community. For example Ritchie Vallen's pool is too small for the neighborhood of Pacoima.

Los Angeles also needs to improve the cleanliness of the parks. "

"Sylmar park needs major upgrades and repairs."

"Providing updated spaces with regards to infrastructure, and providing the staff with all the resources they need to keep them happy."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 7 respondents feel worse than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers



Most CD 7 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while less than half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



About half of CD 7 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About half of CD 7 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Natural areas & wildlife habitats; Playgrounds

Indoor Facilities

1. Exercise & fitness equipment
2. Swimming pool
3. Walking/jogging track

Programs

1. Seniors (age 50 & over)
2. Recreation (drop-in)
3. Aquatics (lessons, fitness, teams)

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 7:

46%
People experiencing homelessness there

34%
No viable patrolling presence

30%
Facilities are not well-maintained

27%
Too far from our residence



COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

Council District Eight (CD 8) is located in South Los Angeles and is known for its rich cultural identity and community strength but faces critical challenges related to park access, safety, and environmental equity.

CD 8 has one of the lowest parkland-to-resident ratios in the city—just 0.5 acres per 1,000 residents—limiting opportunities for recreation and physical activity. The area also suffers from severe shade inequity, with tree canopy coverage as low as 5% in some parts, worsening urban heat and health risks.

Current initiatives focus on increasing tree planting, improving park safety through the Community Safety Partnership, and enhancing accessibility and programming. These efforts aim to create more equitable, healthy, and vibrant public spaces for residents.

Compared to other council districts, CD 8 is expected to see a much more modest growth in population by 2050, according to population projections. Neighborhoods like High Park will see some increase in population, but by and large, there is little growth and some population decline that is expected across the neighborhoods.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

BALDWIN HILLS	WEST ADAMS	LEIMERT PARK
CHESTERFIELD SQUARE	CRENSHAW MANOR	JEFFERSON PARK
CRENSHAW	MAGNOLIA SQUARE	VERMONT VISTA

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- 105th Street Pocket Park
- 111th Place Pocket Park
- 11th Avenue Park
- 76th Street Pocket Park
- 97th Street Pocket Park
- Algin Sutton Recreation Center
- Angeles Mesa Park
- Chesterfield Square Park
- Circle Park (5th Ave)
- Circle Park (S Gramercy Pl)
- Denker Recreation Center
- Jacaranda Park
- Jackie Tatum / Harvard Recreation Center
- Little Green Acres Park
- Loren Miller Recreation Center
- Martin Luther King Jr Park
- Mount Carmel Recreation Center
- Norman O Houston Park
- Obama Global Prep Academy (CSP)
- Richardson Family Park
- Rolland Curtis Park
- Saint Andrews Recreation Center
- South Los Angeles Sports Activity Center
- South Victoria Avenue Park
- Van Ness Recreation Center
- Vermont Miracle Park
- Western And Gage Community Park
- *PerSquare Mile - Vermont Knolls*
- *PerSquare Mile - Vermont-Slauson*
- *PerSquare Mile - Harvard Park*
- *PerSquare Mile - Exposition Park*



Figure 76. Council District 8. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

27
City Parks

84
Acres of Parkland

296,496
Residents

57
Sports Fields and Courts

28
Playgrounds

13
Recreation & Community Centers

4
Pools & Splashpads

18%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$56,959
Median HH income

$81,173
Citywide

57,445
Income below poverty level

624,523
Citywide

31.3
Median age

37.5
Citywide

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other and Unspecified Languages

What we heard..

"I strongly believe there's a need for more public running tracks. Los Angeles has one of the largest running communities, with numerous free, open-to-the-public run clubs constantly searching for accessible track spaces. Currently, Rancho Cienega Park is the only park with a dedicated running track. Expanding this infrastructure to additional parks could encourage more walkers and runners, promoting health and wellness citywide. "

"Council District 8 has the best pools. I love how the pools are clean. I have seen significant improvement in Algin Sutton Park and the pool at Van Ness is very well kept."

"In general parks could use more lighting and/or enforcement presence. Many of the facilities look dated but are usable, except for restrooms."

"From the parks I've visited the most (South LA) all parks are well maintained/ clean which is very appreciated."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 8 respondents feel worse than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.



Most CD 8 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while 60% have visited a City of LA recreation center.





One-third of CD 8 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.



About half of CD 8 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Playgrounds
2. Swimming pool
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Swimming pool
2. Rooms for arts & crafts & games
3. Walking/jogging track

Programs

1. Aquatics (lessons, fitness, teams)
2. Fitness/wellness programs
3. Nature experiences or environmental education

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 8:

41%
People experiencing homelessness there

39%
Too far from our residence; No viable patrolling presence

32%
Facilities are not well-maintained

30%
Inadequate shade

COUNCIL DISTRICT 9

Council District Nine (CD 9) is located in South Los Angeles and includes some of the city's most historically significant and culturally vibrant neighborhoods. The district has a predominantly Latino and Black population and faces systemic challenges such as high poverty rates, limited access to quality green space, and aging park infrastructure. Environmental concerns, including heat vulnerability and air pollution, are pressing issues.

Despite these challenges, CD 9 has a strong sense of community pride and resilience. Residents are vocal about the need for safer, better-maintained parks, increased shade, ADA-compliant amenities, and culturally inclusive programming. The district is seeing renewed attention with equity-driven initiatives from RAP and local partners aimed at revitalizing public spaces, expanding access, and celebrating the unique culture of the area.

With the exception of University City Park, there is little growth expected by 2050 in CD 9, according to population projections. Neighborhoods like South Park and Central Alameda are expected to see mostly population decline over the next 25 years.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 9

VERMONT SQUARE | **EXPOSITION PARK** | **SOUTH PARK**

CENTRAL ALAMEDA | **UNIVERSITY PARK** | **SOUTH CENTRAL**

GREEN MEADOWS | **FLORENCE**

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- 4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard
- 49th Street Pocket Park
- 61st Street Pocket Park
- Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park
- Central Avenue Jazz Park
- Central Recreation Center
- Challengers Boys And Girls Club
- EXPO Center
- Exposition Park Rose Garden
- Fred Roberts Recreation Center
- Fremont High School Pool
- Gage and Avalon Triangle Pocket Park
- Gilbert W Lindsay Recreation Center
- Green Meadows Recreation Center
- Hoover Pedestrian Mall
- Hoover-Gage Park
- Inell Woods Park
- James Slauson Recreation Center
- Julian C Dixon Park
- Latham Park
- Mary McLeod Bethune Middle School (CSP)
- McKinley Avenue Park
- Nevin Avenue Park
- Orchard Ave Park
- Orthopedic Hospital Universal Access Playground
- Ross Snyder Recreation Center
- Senator Bill Greene Memorial Park
- South Broadway Pocket Park
- South LA Wetlands Park
- South Park Recreation Center
- Trinity Recreation Center
- Vermont Gage Park
- Vermont Square Park
- Vernon Branch Library Pocket Park
- Wall Street Community Park
- *PerSquare Mile - Florence*
- *PerSquare Mile - Vermont-South Park*
- *PerSquare Mile - South Park-Florence*
- *PerSquare Mile - Central-Alameda*
- *PerSquare Mile - East Vermont Square*
- *PerSquare Mile - Historic South Central*
- *PerSquare Mile - Central-Alameda-North*
- *PerSquare Mile - N Hist South Central*



Figure 77. Council District 9. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 9

35
City Parks

116
Acres of Parkland

240,317
Residents

34
Sports Fields and Courts

32
Playgrounds

11
Recreation & Community Centers

6
Pools & Splashpads

26%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



Top 3 languages spoken:

Spanish, English, Korean

$53,472 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
77,306 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
31.2 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

What we heard..

"There aren't enough shaded areas, making it difficult to enjoy the park during hot days."

"Safety is a concern, especially in the evenings. Better lighting and security patrols would help."

"The new playground equipment at our local park has been a big hit with the kids. It's great to see investments being made."

"I appreciate the efforts to keep the park clean and the addition of new benches and picnic areas."

"Community events hosted in the park have brought neighbors together and created a stronger sense of community."

"Some facilities are outdated and in need of repair. The restrooms, in particular, could use an upgrade.

"More programs for teens and young adults would be beneficial. There's not much for them to do currently."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 9 respondents feel similarly to the city as a whole about the physical conditions of City of LA parks but more positive about recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 9 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while less than half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



CD 9 respondents feel similarly to the city as a whole about the number of parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About half of CD 9 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Paved, multi-use trails
2. Unprogrammed green spaces
3. Swimming pool

Indoor Facilities

1. Exercise & fitness equipment
2. Swimming pool
3. Walking/jogging track

Programs

1. Recreation (drop-in)
2. Fitness/wellness programs
3. Seniors (age 50 & over)

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 9:

46%
People experiencing homelessness there

39%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

39%
Too far from our residence

31%
Lack of public restrooms; No visible patrolling presence

COUNCIL DISTRICT 10



City Council District Ten (CD 10) is a diverse, centrally located area characterized by dense housing, a mix of commercial corridors, and strong cultural heritage. It includes a broad demographic cross-section of Angelenos, including immigrant communities, long-time residents, and working families. CD 10 faces challenges such as limited access to high-quality green space, aging park infrastructure, and concerns around public safety and maintenance. Despite these issues, the district also benefits from active community involvement and creative initiatives aimed at expanding shade equity, improving walk-ability, and integrating arts and culture into public spaces. Ongoing efforts by the Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) are focused on making parks more inclusive, better maintained, and reflective of the needs of local communities.

According to population projections, CD 10 as a whole is expected to grow in population by 2050. Neighborhoods like Baldwin Hills/Crenshaw, Jefferson Park, Mid City, and Koreatown will see higher growth rates than other areas of the council district.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 10

ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	SOUTH ROBERTSON	OLYMPIC PARK
KOREATOWN	WEST ADAMS	WILSHIRE CENTER
MID-CITY	WEST PICO	PALMS
MACARTHUR PARK	PICO-UNION	MID-WILSHIRE

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Baldwin Hills Recreation Center
- Benny H Potter West Adams Avenues Memorial Park
- Claude Pepper Senior Citizen Center
- Country Club Heritage Park
- Eleanor Green Roberts Aquatic Center
- Genesee Avenue Park
- Gladys Jean Wesson Park
- Jim Gilliam Recreation Center
- Lafayette Recreation Center
- Leimert Plaza
- Leslie N Shaw Park
- Los Angeles Center for Enriched Studies (LACES)
- Mascot Park
- Pio Pico Library Pocket Park
- Pio Pico Middle School (CSP)
- Queen Anne Recreation Center
- Rancho Cienega Park
- Reynier Park
- Seoul International Park
- Shatto Recreation Center
- South Seas House Park
- Vineyard Recreation Center
- Washington Irving Pocket Park
- West Adam Heights Park
- Westside Neighborhood Park
- *PerSquare Mile - Harvard Heights-Pico*
- *PerSquare Mile - Koreatown*



Figure 78. Council District 10. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 10

25
City Parks

106
Acres of Parkland

229,368
Residents

93
Sports Fields and Courts

23
Playgrounds

14
Recreation & Community Centers

2
Pools & Splashpads

27%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Korean

$60,089 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
61,115 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
31.8 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

What we heard..

"I bring my grandkids to Jefferson Park every weekend. It's one of the few places in the neighborhood where you can feel the history and the energy of the community."

"It's nice to see art and culture showing up more in the parks. Leimert Park always feels alive with music and local talent."

"We're always hearing about funding, but it doesn't feel like it reaches our parks. We just want basics — shade, clean water fountains, safe spaces."

"We need more programs for seniors and youth — the park's there, but not much is happening in it unless there's a special event."

"It's great to have a new playground, but where's the shade? The equipment gets so hot it's unusable by noon."

"The permitting process to host community events is too complicated and slow. Local artists and organizers give up halfway."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 10 respondents feel more positive than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers



Most CD 10 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while less than half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



Less than half of CD 10 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About three-fourths of CD 10 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Paved, multi-use trails
3. Non-paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Swimming pool
2. Exercise & fitness equipment
3. Traditional hard courts

Programs

1. Special events/festivals
2. Fitness/wellness programs
3. Seniors (age 50 & over); Recreation (drop-in)

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 9:

50%
Too far from our residence

49%
People experiencing homelessness there

35%
Facility operating hours are not convenient; Do not know where to go/ what is offered

35%
Facilities are not well-maintained; Lack of parking by facilities/ parks

COUNCIL DISTRICT 11



Council District Eleven (CD 11) includes a large range of neighborhoods, encompassing areas as far south as LAX and stretching north of Santa Monica in areas recently impacted by the Palisades Fire. Boasting world famous landscapes such as Venice Beach and the Palisades, CD 11 is also home to many community and neighborhood parks that are beloved for recreation and community life.

Residents shared concerns about pressure on recreation resources, particularly for sports growing in popularity such as pickleball. Concerns were also raised about the level of operations and maintenance, particularly in relation to park restrooms and park surfaces such as tennis or handball courts, playgrounds, and dog parks. ADA compliant restrooms and senior center vans were brought up by neighborhood council members as needs.

Safety concerns were also raised by residents, and additional enforcement and park rangers were requested. Several users raised concerns about the recent fires and whether testing of soils and sand at parks downwind from the Palisades Fire would be tested for harmful substances. Given the intensity of use of the beach landscapes and frequent filming, residents noted concerns about the commercialization of parks. Others felt there could be more opportunities for rentals and revenue generation with more recreation resources.

In CD 11, the neighborhoods of Sawtelle, Venice, and Playa Vista are expected to see moderate population growth while the Pacific Palisades and Brentwood are expected to see mostly population loss by 2050.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 11

PACIFIC PALISADES	BRENTWOOD	PLAYA VISTA
SAWTELLE	MAR VISTA	PLAYA DEL REY
VENICE	DEL REY	WESTCHESTER

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Amoroso Triangle
- Barrington Recreation Center
- Bill Rosendahl Del Rey Park
- Crescent Place Triangle
- Crestwood Hills Recreation Center
- Culver-Slauson Park
- Del Rey Lagoon
- Felicia Mahood Multipurpose Center
- George Wolfberg Park at Potrero Canyon
- Isidore B Dockweiler State Beach
- Linnie Canal Park
- Mandeville Canyon Park
- Mar Vista Recreation Center
- Marco Place Parkway
- Marco Triangle
- Nowita Triangle
- Oakwood Recreation Center
- Open Magnet Charter School (CSP)
- Palisades Park (Pacific Palisades)
- Palisades Recreation Center
- Palisades-Asilomar Park
- Penmar Recreation Center
- Rivas Canyon Park
- Rustic Canyon Park
- Rustic Canyon Recreation Center
- San Juan Garage
- San Vicente Mountain Park
- Santa Ynez Canyon Park
- Steers Property
- Stoner Recreation Center
- Sullivan Canyon Park
- Temescal Canyon Park
- Titmouse Park
- Trask Triangle Park
- Triangle Park
- Venice Beach
- Venice High School Pool
- Venice of America Centennial Park
- Venice Reservoir Site
- Veterans' Barrington Park
- Via Dolce Park
- Vista Del Mar Park
- Westchester Recreation Center
- Westminster Park
- Will Rogers State Beach



Figure 79. Council District 11. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 11

45
City Parks

1,433
Acres of Parkland

244,692
Residents

151 Sports Fields and Courts

27 Playgrounds

12 Recreation & Community Centers

6 Pools & Splashpads

34% Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$129,286 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
23,583 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
40.4 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"Los Angeles has a lot of parks and recreation spaces compared to other cities where I've lived, and many of these natural areas and facilities are well-maintained. It's easy to find information on how to access these areas and what is happening on any given day."

"I was really impressed by the park and community center in Rustic Canyon recently. Would love for Venice's Penmar Park to feel a little safer and have more programs like Rustic Canyon's did."

"Bathrooms in my local park are in bad shape, there are not enough of them, they are not ADA compliant, and one of the few bathrooms is a portapotty."

"Provides a place for all to enjoy sports, family gatherings, lectures, community events, libraries, green spaces."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 11 respondents feel more positive than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 11 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while less than half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



Less than half of CD 11 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About two-thirds of CD 11 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Exercise & fitness equipment
2. Swimming pool
3. Weight rooms/gyms

Programs

1. Special events/festivals
2. Fitness/wellness programs
3. Recreation (drop-in)

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 11:

54%
People experiencing homelessness there

32%
Lack of parking by facilities/parks

31%
Facilities are not well-maintained

28%
No visible patrolling presence

COUNCIL DISTRICT 12



Council District Twelve (CD 12) encompasses areas of the northwest San Fernando Valley and is populated with several beloved parks and active neighborhood council and park advisory boards. The Valley climate is projected to get hotter in the coming decades, making shade and green space critical for the community, particularly in communities with limited access to green space.

Many residents in these neighborhoods expressed a desire for better operations and maintenance of their park facilities and the completion of projects such as efforts at the Oakridge Estates Park. Park land expansion was less of a priority for some neighborhoods in CD 12 while others felt park need and distance to parks was very high for some neighborhoods, citing that in the 2016 LA County Park Needs Assessment there were areas with high park access need.

Enforcement of park policies to control littering, unpermitted uses, and fireworks is a concern for several neighborhoods. Residents expressed desire for more park rangers or park ambassadors or monitors that could help manage park use, especially on weekends.

CD 12 is expected to see population growth by 2050 in pockets of Granada Hills and Chatsworth; however, these same neighborhoods are also expected to see some areas with a decline in population.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 12

WEST HILLS

CHATSWORTH RESERVOIR

NORTH HILLS

CHATSWORTH

PORTER RANCH

GRANADA HILLS

NORTHRIDGE

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Aliso Canyon Park
- Bee Canyon Park
- Bell Canyon Park
- Browns Creek Park
- Castle Peak Park
- Chatsworth Oaks Park
- Chatsworth Park North
- Chatsworth Park South
- Chatsworth Reservoir Site
- Cohasset-Melba Park
- Dearborn Park
- Eddleston Park
- El Escorpion Park
- Fallbrook Park
- Granada Hills Recreation Center
- Granada Hills Youth Recreation Center
- Holleigh Bernson Memorial Park
- Jane and Bert Boeckmann Park
- Knapp Ranch Park
- Lazy J Ranch Park
- Limekiln Canyon Park
- Los Angeles River Greenway - Brown's Creek
- Mae Boyar Recreation Center
- Mason Recreation Center
- Moonshine Canyon Park
- Northridge Middle School (CSP)
- Northridge Recreation Center
- Oakridge Residence
- Old Mission Trail
- O'Melveny Park
- Orcutt Ranch Horticultural Center
- Palisades Park (Porter Ranch)
- Porter Ranch Park
- Porter Ridge Park
- Rinaldi Park
- Roscoe-Valley Circle Park
- Sean Brown Park
- Shadow Ranch Park
- Stoney Point Park
- Taxco Trails Park
- Van Norman Lakes Reservoir
- Vanalden Park
- Viking Park
- West Hills Sport Center
- Wilbur-Tampa Park
- Zelzah Park



Figure 80. Council District 12. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 12

46
City Parks

2,019
Acres of Parkland

208,885
Residents

66
Sports Fields and Courts

32
Playgrounds

8
Recreation & Community Centers

3
Pools & Splashpads

32%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$122,440 Median HH income
$81,173 *Citywide*

23,232 Income below poverty level
624,523 *Citywide*

43.3 Median age
37.5 *Citywide*

Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Other Indo-European Languages

What we heard..

"I've lived in places like Irvine, and they have beautiful parks and community centers. It's sad to see the SFV that I grew up in fall behind. My wife and I aren't even comfortable sometimes walking in the park, let alone if we had a baby."

"The overall vibe of most parks I've visited is one of deterioration or minimal maintenance. I also wish more parks (near me anyway) had the variety of fitness equipment laid out as stations with nice walkable space between each station ("walkable being a trail surrounded by as much nature as possible with concrete and/or soft fill at the fitness locations only)."

"The climate is changing - the playgrounds need shade! I have taken my kids to playgrounds that are too hot to touch, making them useless."

"Maintenance of our trees, brush, trails are hazardous and washed out in Aliso Canyon Park. Fire hazard because not trimming trees on regular basis or removing brush. Invasive plants are a problem as well. "

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 12 respondents feel similarly to the city as a whole about the physical conditions of City of LA parks but more positive about recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 12 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



CD 12 respondents feel better than the city as a whole about the number of parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



Less than half of CD 12 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Walking/jogging track
2. Exercise & fitness equipment
3. Swimming pool

Programs

1. Seniors (age 50 & over)
2. Fitness/wellness programs; Youth & family
3. Special events/festivals

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 12:

52%
People experiencing homelessness there

33%
No viable patrolling presence

31%
Lack of parking by facilities/parks; Lack of public restrooms

29%
Facilities are not well-maintained



COUNCIL DISTRICT 13

Council District Thirteen (CD 13) is the most diverse council district in Los Angeles, and is home to iconic parks such Echo Park. It is also marked by vast inequities from one neighborhood to another, with shade cover being a key factor that was highlighted by local neighborhood councils, particularly in areas such as East Hollywood. Parks such as Echo Park and Barnsdall Park draw people from all over the city to appreciate their festivals and events, and have been identified as areas needing sustained attention and investment.

CD 13 is expected to see modest population growth over the next 25 years with more growth concentrated in areas of neighborhoods like Hollywood and East Hollywood, according to population projections.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 13

ATWATER VILLAGE	EAST HOLLYWOOD	LITTLE ARMENIA
SILVER LAKE	GLASSELL PARK	SPAULDING SQUARE
RAMPART VILLAGE	HOLLYWOOD	HISTORIC FILIPINOTOWN
ECHO PARK	ELYSIAN VALLEY	LARCHMONT VILLAGE

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- Barnsdall Park
- Bellevue Recreation Center
- Carlton Way Park
- Chevy Chase Park
- De Longpre Park
- Dorothy J and Benjamin B Smith Park
- Drew Street Park
- Echo Park
- Elysian Valley Recreation Center
- Glenhurst Park
- Harvard Elementary School (CSP)
- Hollywood Recreation Center
- Juntos Family Park
- La Mirada Park
- Lake Street Park
- Larissa Parkway
- Las Palmas Senior Citizen Center
- Laurel and Hardy Park
- Lemon Grove Recreation Center
- Lexington Avenue Pocket Park
- Los Angeles River Greenway / Elysian Valley Bikeway
- Madison Avenue Park and Community Garden
- Madison West Park
- Ramona Elementary School (CSP)
- Robert L Burns Park
- Rockwood Community Park
- Seily Rodriguez Park
- Selma Park
- Silver Lake Meadows Park
- Silver Lake Recreation Center
- Tommy Lasorda's Field of Dreams
- Unidad Park
- Yucca Community Center
- *PerSquare Mile - Windsor Square*
- *PerSquare Mile - E Hollywood-Ktown*
- *PerSquare Mile - East Hollywood*



Figure 81. Council District 13. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 13

33
City Parks

93
Acres of Parkland

189,000
Residents

30
Sports Fields and Courts

36
Playgrounds

10
Recreation & Community Centers

1
Pools & Splashpads

32%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



Top 3 languages spoken:

English, Spanish, Tagalog (incl. Filipino)

$71,136 Median HH income	***$81,173*** *Citywide*
52,009 Income below poverty level	***624,523*** *Citywide*
37.8 Median age	***37.5*** *Citywide*

What we heard..

"Safety is an important concern for many folks when it comes to the parks. RAPs should continue to work with community organizations and council districts to ensure safety of all visitors at the park. Substance use at the parks is also a concern. How can RAPs create a safe space for ALL and be a hub of resources and referrals?"

"I live in Silver Lake where we have lots of convenient parks facilities, but other nearby neighborhoods like Historic Filipinotown and Koreatown have much less convenient access to green space. I'd like to see more public transit that goes near parks and more pocket parks or creative adaptations of city-owned space for public use."

"I'd love to see more biking/running trails throughout the city to connect neighborhoods to parks. More composting options and more native plants."

"I am lucky to live near Griffith Parkland every time I'm there I can't believe I'm in the city of Los Angeles!"

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 13 respondents feel more positive than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 13 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while less than half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



Less than half of CD 13 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About two-thirds of CD 13 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Exercise & fitness equipment
2. Walking/jogging track
3. Swimming pool

Programs

1. Special events/festivals
2. Arts & crafts classes
3. Nature experiences or environmental education

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 13:

45%
Too far from our residence

44%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

41%
People experiencing homelessness there

36%
Lack of parking by facilities/parks; Facilities are not well-maintained

COUNCIL DISTRICT 14



Council District Fourteen (CD 14), located on the eastern edge of the city and encompassing Downtown Los Angeles, is experiencing densification in neighborhoods like Boyle Heights. Densification is a concern for residents because it adds pressure on neighborhood parks and amenities. CD 14 also includes Skid Row, which has the highest population of individuals experiencing homelessness in the city. There are significant open spaces like Debs Park, where the desire for park rangers, fire mitigation, and trail maintenance is high. Neighborhood councils across the district highlighted that many of their parks have basic infrastructure, but lack consistent maintenance.

In comparison to the whole City, parts of CD 14 are expected to see the highest population growth by 2050. Population projections show high growth clustered in Downtown LA and the western edges of Boyle Heights; however, El Sereno will see an overall population decline.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 14

- BOYLE HEIGHTS
- DOWNTOWN
- MONTEREY HILLS
- EAGLE ROCK
- EL SERENO
- MONTECITO HEIGHTS
- GARVANZA
- HIGHLAND PARK
- LINCOLN HEIGHTS
- GLASSELL PARK

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- 1st And Broadway Civic Center Park
- 6th & Gladys Street Park
- Aliso Triangle
- Arroyo Rosa De Castilla
- Arroyo Seco Park
- Arts District Park
- Ascot Hills Park
- Boyle Heights Sports Center
- Bridewell Armory
- Brooklyn Heights Park
- Budd Wiener Park
- City Hall Park
- Eagle Rock City Hall
- Eagle Rock Hillside Park
- Eagle Rock Historical Landmark
- Eagle Rock Recreation Center
- East Los Angeles Park
- El Pueblo de Los Angeles Historic Monument
- El Sereno Arroyo Playground
- El Sereno Community Garden
- El Sereno Recreation Center
- El Sereno Senior Citizen Center
- Evergreen Recreation Center
- Garvanza Park
- Grand Hope Park
- Guardia Park
- Hazard Recreation Center
- Highland Park Recreation Center
- Highland Park Senior Citizen Center
- Hollenbeck Park
- Lanark Shelby Mini-Park
- Lincoln Park
- Lou Costello Jr Recreation Center
- Monsignor Ramon Garcia Recreation Center
- Pecan Recreation Center
- Pershing Square
- Prospect Park
- Roosevelt High School Pool
- Rose Hill Recreation Center
- Ross Valencia Community Park
- San Julian Park
- Sixth Street Viaduct Park
- Spring Street Park
- State Street Recreation Center
- Wabash Recreation Center
- York Blvd Pocket Park
- Yosemite Recreation Center
- *PerSquare Mile - Downtown*
- *PerSquare Mile - Boyle Heights*



Figure 82. Council District 14. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 14

47
City Parks

434
Acres of Parkland

238,881
Residents

105
Sports Fields and Courts

43
Playgrounds

24
Recreation & Community Centers

6
Pools & Splashpads

34%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$74,701
Median HH income

$81,173
Citywide

52,887
Income below poverty level

624,523
Citywide

37.6
Median age

37.5
Citywide

Top 3 languages spoken:

Spanish, English, Chinese (incl. Mandarin, Cantonese)

What we heard..

"Downtown Los Angeles parks are showing their wear and tear from great use, especially Gladys Park and San Julian Park in Skid Row. We need to make physical improvements to these parks and increase health and wellness programming, ideally with an arts focus where possible. We also need more green space overall in Downtown Los Angeles and the surrounding neighborhoods."

"Improve on quality of the facilities (cleanliness, more shade trees, places to sit and gather), remove barriers to parks (physical fences, no public restrooms or limited restrooms, limited hours, over-policing), make them more accessible for all modes of transport -- biking, public transit, walking etc.."

"We could do better about making sure that our facilities serve people with disabilities and have inclusive programming."

"I have such fond memories of taking my kids and my friend's kids to the swimming classes at Lincoln Park Pool."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 14 respondents feel similarly to the city as a whole about the physical conditions of City of LA parks but worse about recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 14 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



CD 14 respondents feel similarly to the city as a whole about the number of parks and recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About two-thirds of CD 14 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Non-paved, multi-use trails
3. Paved, multi-use trails

Indoor Facilities

1. Swimming pool
2. Walking/jogging track
3. Exercise & fitness equipment

Programs

1. Special events/festivals
2. Fitness/wellness programs
3. Arts & crafts classes

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 14:

51%
People experiencing homelessness there

44%
Lack of public restrooms

40%
Facilities are not well-maintained; Do not know where to go/what is offered

39%
No visible patrolling presence; Too far from our residence



COUNCIL DISTRICT 15

Council District Fifteen (CD 15) represents the southernmost portion of Los Angeles, spanning key coastal and industrial communities. The district plays a vital role in the region's economy due to its proximity to the Port of Los Angeles and significant transportation infrastructure. CD 15 is defined by its working-class character, diverse population, and strong community identity.

The district's parks serve as crucial spaces for recreation, environmental access, and cultural gathering—especially in areas where green space is limited and environmental burdens are high. Residents face persistent challenges related to air quality, industrial pollution, and inadequate tree canopy coverage. Despite these challenges, there is strong community interest in revitalizing parks with improvements to safety, accessibility, and programming. Priorities include climate resilience, increased shade, equitable access to resources, and opportunities for youth and families to gather, play, and thrive.

Population projections for 2050 show slight growing populations in neighborhoods like San Pedro and parts of Harbor Gateway, while neighborhoods like Harbor City and Wilmington are expected to see an overall population decline.

NEIGHBORHOODS IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 15

SAN PEDRO | **WILMINGTON** | **WATTS**

HARBOR CITY | **HARBOR GATEWAY**

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES

- 109th Street Recreation Center
- Alma Park
- Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Center
- Angels Gate Park
- Averill Park
- Bandini Canyon Park
- Banning High School Pool
- Banning Park
- Betty F Day Park
- Cabrillo Beach
- Drum Barracks Civil War Museum
- East Wilmington Greenbelt Community Center
- East Wilmington Greenbelt Park
- East Wilmington Vest Pocket Park
- Gaffey Street "Field of Dreams"
- Grigsby Pocket Park
- Harbor City Park
- Harbor Gateway Park
- Harbor Highlands Park
- Harbor View Memorial Park
- Ken Malloy Harbor Regional Park
- Leland Recreation Center
- Lookout Point Park
- Los Angeles Maritime Museum
- Los Angeles Sister Cities Plaza
- Martin J Bogdanovich Recreation Center
- Normandale Recreation Center
- Pacific Region Headquarters
- Peck Park
- Point Fermin Park
- Ralph C Daniels Field Sports Center
- Rena Park
- Rosecrans Recreation Center
- San Pedro Plaza Park
- San Pedro Welcome Park
- South Palos Verdes Street Park
- Watts Cultural Crescent
- Watts Senior Citizen Center
- Watts Serenity Park
- Watts Skate Park
- White Point Park Nature Preserve
- Wilmington Athletic Complex
- Wilmington Recreation Center
- Wilmington Town Square



Figure 83. Council District 15. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 15

44
City Parks

793
Acres of Parkland

255,125
Residents

82
Sports Fields and Courts

45
Playgrounds

21
Recreation & Community Centers

5
Pools & Splashpads

29%
Average Canopy Coverage in Parks

DEMOGRAPHICS



$69,615
Median HH income

$81,173
Citywide

45,262
Income below poverty level

624,523
Citywide

31.8
Median age

37.5
Citywide

Top 3 languages spoken:

Spanish, English, Other Asian and Pacific Island Language

What we heard..

"It's hard to enjoy the parks when there's no real shade and the pavement radiates heat. We need trees, not just concrete."

"There are days when I worry about letting my kids play outside. The smell from nearby industry makes me question the air quality."

"More trees would make a huge difference. Right now, it feels like you're walking on a frying pan by midday."

"Living near the port means constant noise and diesel pollution. Parks should be places to get relief from that—not be surrounded by it."

"We can't breathe some days—between the trucks, the refineries, and the port traffic, the air feels heavy and dirty."

"It's ironic that a park is supposed to be a green space, but it's just dry turf and pavement."

"The park closest to us feels abandoned at night. You want to feel safe, not anxious, when you're just trying to walk your dog."

"We need shaded spaces—not everyone has air conditioning, and the park is the only place to cool down."

Current and Future Needs: Survey Results

CD 15 respondents feel more positive than the city as a whole about the physical condition of both City of LA parks and recreation centers.

Parks

Rec Centers

Most CD 15 respondents have visited a City of LA park in the past year, while only about half have visited a City of LA recreation center.

Parks



Rec Centers



Walking Distance



Almost two-thirds of CD 15 respondents feel that there are enough parks and/or recreation centers within walking distance of their homes.

Bond Measure



About half of CD 15 respondents support a bond, levy, or tax to fund parks and recreation facilities.

Top 3 Most Important...

Outdoor Facilities

1. Unprogrammed green spaces
2. Paved, multi-use trails
3. Natural areas & wildlife habitats

Indoor Facilities

1. Walking/jogging track
2. Exercise & fitness equipment
3. Swimming pool

Programs

1. Fitness/wellness programs
2. Youth & family; Arts & crafts classes
3. Special events/festivals

Top barriers to visiting parks and recreation centers more often in CD 15:

43%
People experiencing homelessness there

36%
Do not know where to go/what is offered

31%
Too far from our residence

26%
No visible patrolling presence

Figure 84. **Flying over Echo Park Lake.** Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025.



PARKS BY CLASSIFICATION

PARKS BY CLASSIFICATION

MINI PARK

105th Street Pocket Park

111th Place Pocket Park

11th Avenue Park

49th Street Pocket Park

61st Street Pocket Park

6th & Gladys Street Park

76th Street Pocket Park

97th Street Pocket Park

Aliso Triangle

Alvarado Terrace Park

Amistad Park

Amoroso Triangle

Angeles Mesa Park

Arroyo Rosa De Castilla

Arts District Park

Bellaire Avenue Park

Betty F Day Park

Brooklyn Heights Park

Budd Wiener Park

Carlton Way Park

Central Avenue Jazz Park

Circle Park (5th Ave)

Circle Park (S Gramercy Pl)

Cleland Avenue Bicentennial Park

Club Circle Park

Costanso Fire Station 84 Park

Country Club Heritage Park

Crescent Place Triangle

Cypress Park Club House

De Neve Square Park

Dorothy J and Benjamin B Smith Park

Drew Street Park

East Los Angeles Park

East Wilmington Greenbelt Community Center

East Wilmington Vest Pocket Park

El Sereno Community Garden

Everett Park

Fallbrook Park

Fox And Laurel Park

Francis Avenue Community Garden

Franklin-Ivar Park

Fulton Avenue Park

Gage and Avalon Triangle Pocket Park

Gateway Triangle

Genesee Avenue Park

Gladys Jean Wesson Park

Glenhurst Park

Greayer's Oak Park

Greenwood Square Park

Grigsby Pocket Park

Harbor Gateway Park

Hartland Mini-Park

Hoover-Gage Park

Hope and Peace Park

Inell Woods Park

Irving Schacter Park

Julian C Dixon Park

Keswick Park

Kittridge Mini-Park

La Mirada Park

La Tierra de la Culebra

Lacy Street Neighborhood Park

Lanark Shelby Mini-Park

Larissa Parkway

Latham Park

Laurel and Hardy Park

Leslie N Shaw Park

Lexington Avenue Pocket Park

Linnie Canal Park

Little Green Acres Park

Los Angeles Sister Cities Plaza

Lummis Public Forest Park

Madison Avenue Park and Community Garden

Madison West Park

Marco Place Parkway

Marco Triangle

Marson Street Pocket Park

Mascot Park

McKinley Avenue Park

Mecca Avenue Park

Mulholland View Site No 16

Nevin Avenue Park

North San Fernando Park

Nowita Triangle

Orchard Ave Park

Ord And Yale Street Park

Orthopedic Hospital Universal Access Playground

Parque Nativo Lopez

Patton St Pocket Park

Pio Pico Library Pocket Park

Pio Union Community Garden

Richardson Family Park

Rinaldi Park

Rockwood Community Park

Rolland Curtis Park

Ross Valencia Community Park

Saint James Park

San Julian Park

San Pedro Welcome Park

Seily Rodriguez Park

Selma Park

Senator Bill Greene Memorial Park

South Broadway Pocket Park

South Palos Verdes Street Park

South Victoria Avenue Park

Spring Street Park

Titmouse Park

Trask Triangle Park

Triangle Park

Unidad Park

Valencia Triangle

Venice of America Centennial Park

Vermont Gage Park

Vermont Miracle Park

Vernon Branch Library Pocket Park

Via Dolce Park

Vineyard Recreation Center

Wall Street Community Park

Washington Irving Pocket Park

West Adam Heights Park

Western And Gage Community Park

Westwood Gardens Park

William S Hart Park - Dog Park

Wilmington Town Square

Woodbine Park

Woodside Triangle

York Blvd Pocket Park

Yucca Community Center

NEIGHBORHOOD PARK

Andres and Maria Cardenas Recreation Center

Lincoln Heights Youth Center

1st And Broadway Civic Center Park

Albert Piantanida Intergenerational Center

Allegheny Park

Alma Park

Alpine Recreation Center

Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Center

Benny H Potter West Adams Avenues Memorial Park

Caballero Creek

Confluence Park

Carlin G Smith Recreation Center

Chatsworth Oaks Park Central Recreation Center

Chesterfield Square Park

Chevy Chase Park

City Hall Park

Cohasset-Melba Park

De Longpre Park

Denker Recreation Center

Devonshire Arleta Park

El Paseo De Cahuenga Park

El Sereno Arroyo

Playground

Elysian Valley Recreation Center

Fehlhaber-Houk Park

Fred Roberts Recreation Center

Grand Hope Park

Harbor View Memorial Park

Harold A Henry Park

Hoover Recreation Center

Jessie Owens Mini-Park

Juntos Family Park

Lake Street Park

Las Palmas Senior Citizen Center

Laurel Canyon Mulholland Park

Leimert Plaza

Lincoln Heights Recreation Center

Lookout Point Park

Loren Miller Recreation Center

Los Angeles High Memorial Park

Mae Boyar Recreation Center

Media Park

North East Valley Multipurpose Center

Parthenia Park

Prospect Park

Ramona Hall

NEIGHBORHOOD PARK CONTINUED

Community Center

Rena Park

Reynier Park

Robert L Burns Park

Robertson Recreation Center

Rose Hill Recreation Center

State Street Recreation Center

Taxco Trails Park

Telfair Park

Tiara Street Park

Toberman Recreation Center

Tobias Avenue Park

Tommy Lasorda's Field of Dreams

Trinity Recreation Center

Van Nuys Multipurpose Center

Vista Del Mar Park

Wabash Recreation Center

Watts Senior Citizen Center

Watts Serenity Park

Westminster Park

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK

109th Street Recreation Center

Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park

Barrington Recreation Center

Bellevue Recreation Center

Bill Rosendahl Del Rey Park

Boyle Heights Sports Center

Buena Vista Park

Castle Peak Park

Culver-Slauson Park

Cypress Recreation Center

David M Gonzales Recreation Center

Dearborn Park

Delano Recreation Center

Devonwood Park

Encino Park

Evergreen Recreation Center

Fernangeles Recreation Center

Garvanza Park

Green Meadows Recreation Center

Guardia Park

Harbor Highlands Park

Highland Park Recreation Center

Highland Park Senior Citizen Center

Hollywood Recreation Center

Holmby Park

Howard Finn Park

Hubert H Humphrey Memorial Park

Jaime Beth Slavin Park

James Slauson Recreation Center

John Quimby Park

Kagel Canyon Park

Lafayette Recreation Center

LAPD SWAT Officer Randal D Simmons Park

Lazy J Ranch Park

Lemon Grove Recreation Center

Lou Costello Jr Recreation Center

Louise Park

Martin Luther King Jr Park

Monsignor Ramon Garcia Recreation Center

Moorpark Park

Mount Carmel Recreation Center

Norman O Houston Park

Normandale Recreation Center

Normandie Recreation Center

North Hills Community Park

Oakwood Recreation Center

Oro Vista Park

Palms Recreation Center

Panorama City Recreation Center

Pecan Recreation Center

Poinsettia Recreation Center

Queen Anne Recreation Center

Ralph C Daniels Field Sports Center

Runnymede Park

Rustic Canyon Recreation Center

Saint Andrews Recreation Center

Sean Brown Park

Seoul International Park

Shatto Recreation Center

Silver Lake Meadows Park

Silver Lake Recreation Center

South LA Wetlands Park

Stoner Recreation Center

Studio City Recreation Center

Tarzana Recreation Center

Van Ness Recreation Center

Van Nuys Recreation Center

Valley Glen Community Park

Vermont Square Park

Victory-Vineland Recreation Center

West Lakeside Street Park

Wilbur-Tampa Park

Wilmington Recreation Center

Woodbridge Park

Zelzah Park

NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK

Eddleston Park

Moon Canyon Park

Mount Olympus Park

COMMUNITY PARK

Algin Sutton Recreation Center

Averill Park

Baldwin Hills Recreation Center

Brand Park

Branford Recreation Center

Briarwood Park

Crestwood Hills Recreation Center

Del Rey Lagoon

Downey Recreation Center

El Sereno Recreation Center

Gaffey Street "Field of Dreams"

Gilbert W Lindsay Recreation Center

Glassell Park Recreation Center and Youth Center

Granada Hills Recreation Center

Granada Hills Youth Recreation Center

Harbor City Park

Holleigh Bernson Memorial Park

Hollenbeck Park

Jackie Tatum / Harvard Recreation Center

Jim Gilliam Recreation Center

Lanark Recreation Center

Leland Recreation Center

Mar Vista Recreation Center

Martin J Bogdanovich Recreation Center

Mason Recreation Center

North Weddington Recreation Center

Palisades Recreation Center

Penmar Recreation Center

Pershing Square

Point Fermin Park

Porter Ridge Park

Roger W Jessup Park

Rosecrans Recreation Center

Ross Snyder Recreation Center

Sepulveda Recreation Center

Shadow Ranch Park

South Park Recreation Center

South Weddington Park

Stonehurst Recreation Center

Strathern Park - North

Sun Valley Park

Sunland Park

Sycamore Grove Park

Sylmar Recreation Center

Van Norman Lakes Reservoir

Vanalden Park

Venice Reservoir Site

Veterans' Barrington Park

Viking Park

Warner Ranch Park

West Hills Sport Center

Wilmington Athletic Complex

Winnetka Recreation Center

Woodland Hills Recreation Center

Yosemite Recreation Center

Sixth Street Viaduct Park

LARGE COMMUNITY PARK

Banning Park

Carey Ranch Park*

Chatsworth Park North*

Cheviot Hills Recreation Center

Eagle Rock Recreation Center

Hazard Recreation Center

Laurel Canyon Park

MacArthur Park

Montecito Heights Recreation Center

Northridge Recreation Center

Pan Pacific Park

Rancho Cienega Park

Reseda Park

Rio de Los Angeles State Park

Ritchie Valens Paxton Recreation Center

Serrania Avenue Park*

Stetson Ranch Park

Valley Plaza Park

Van Nuys Sherman Oaks War Memorial Park

Westchester Recreation Center

Westwood Recreation Center

COMMUNITY NATURE PARK

Caplow Property

Eagle Rock Hillside Park

Palisades Park (Pacific Palisades)

Pilson Property

Rivas Canyon Park

San Vicente Mountain Park

Steers Property

Stoney Point Park

Sullivan Canyon Park

REGIONAL PARK

Arroyo Seco Park

Angels Gate Park

Chatsworth Reservoir Site

Coldwater Canyon Park

Echo Park

Elysian Park

Ernest E Debs Regional Park

EXPO Center

Griffith Park

Hansen Dam Recreation Area

Jane and Bert Boeckmann Park

Ken Malloy Harbor Regional Park

Knapp Ranch Park

Lincoln Park

North Hollywood Recreation Center

Peck Park

Sepulveda Basin Recreation Area

Sheldon-Arleta Park

Tujunga Infiltration Galleries

REGIONAL NATURE PARK

Ascot Hills Park

Bell Canyon Park

Beverly Glen Park

Chatsworth Park South

Corbin Canyon Park

Deervale-Stone Canyon Park

El Escorpion Park

Haines Canyon Park

La Tuna Canyon Park

Mandeville Canyon Park

O'Melveny Park

Roscoe-Valley Circle Park

Rustic Canyon Park

Verdugo Mountain Park

White Point Park Nature Preserve

HISTORIC LANDMARK SITE

Andres Pico Adobe Park

Barnsdall Park

Charles F Lummis Home and Gardens, El Alisal

Drum Barracks Civil War Museum

Eagle Rock City Hall

Eagle Rock Historical Landmark

El Pueblo de Los Angeles Historic Monument

Exposition Park Rose Garden

Little Landers Park

Los Angeles Maritime Museum

McGroarty Park and Cultural Art Center

Oakridge Residence

Orcutt Ranch Horticultural Center

Heritage Square

South Seas House Park

Campo De Cahuenga

GREENWAY

Carthay Circle Park

Hoover Pedestrian Mall

Palisades-Asilomar Park

Strathern Park, West

Tujunga Greenbelt

LA River Greenway - Coldwater to Whitsett

LA River Greenway - Brown's Creek

LA River Greenway - Laurel Canyon reenway

LA River Greenway - Laurelgrove Pedestrian Bridge

LA River Greenway - Mason to Vanalden

LA River Greenway - Sepulveda to Kester

LA River Greenway / Elysian Valley Bikeway

LINEAR PARK

Alizondo Drive Park

Bandini Canyon Park

De Garmo Park

El Dorado Avenue Park

East Wilmington Greenbelt Park

Jacaranda Park

Old Mission Trail

Los Angeles River & Aliso Creek Confluence Park

San Pedro Plaza Park

Watts Cultural Crescent

Westside Neighborhood Park

Whitnall Highway Park

CANYON PARK

Aliso Canyon Park

Bee Canyon Park

Browns Creek Park

George Wolfberg Park at Potrero Canyon

Limekiln Canyon Park

Moonshine Canyon Park

Porter Ranch Park

Palisades Park (Porter Ranch)

Runyon Canyon Park

Santa Ynez Canyon Park

Temescal Canyon Park

Wattles Garden Park

COMMUNITY SCHOOL PARK

Camellia Avenue Elementary School (CSP)

Garden Grove Elementary School (CSP)

Harvard Elementary School (CSP)

Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)

Mary McLeod Bethune Middle School (CSP)

Northridge Middle School (CSP)

Obama Global Prep Academy (CSP)

Open Magnet Charter School (CSP)

Pio Pico Middle School (CSP)

Ramona Elementary School (CSP)

SCHOOL POOL

Los Angeles Center for Enriched Studies (LACES)

Banning High School Pool

Cleveland High School Pool

Fremont High School Pool

Roosevelt High School Pool

Venice High School Pool

Verdugo Hills Pool

BEACH

Cabrillo Beach

Isidore B Dockweiler State Beach

Venice Beach

Will Rogers State Beach

MOUNTAIN CAMP

Builders Of Youth Camp

Camp High Sierra

Camp Radford

Camp Seely

Camp Valcrest

SINGLE PURPOSE SITE

4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard

Bridewell Armory

Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center

Challengers Boys And Girls Club

Claude Pepper Senior Citizen Center

Echo Park Community Center

Echo Park Deep Pool

El Sereno Senior Citizen Center

Eleanor Green Roberts Aquatic Center

Fairfax Senior Citizen Center

Felicia Mahood Multipurpose Center

Pacific Region Headquarters

Parkview Photo Center

Reseda Skate Facility

San Juan Garage

Sherman Oaks Castle Park

South East Valley Roller & Skateboard Park

South Los Angeles Sports Activity Center

Watts Skate Park

GOLF COURSE

Armand Hammer Golf Course

Balboa Golf Course

Encino Golf Course

Hansen Dam Golf Course

Harbor Park Golf Course

Harding Golf Course

Los Feliz Golf Course

Penmar Golf Course

Rancho Park Golf Course

Roosevelt Golf Course

Wilson Golf Course

Woodley Lakes Golf Course

Figure 85. Skaters at the South East Valley Roller and Skateboard Park. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.

CURRENT AND FORMER PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
105th Street Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
109th Street Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
111th Place Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
11th Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
1st And Broadway Civic Center Park	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
4206 S Main St Maintenance Yard	Single Purpose Site	Neighborhood Park
49th Street Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
61st Street Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
6th & Gladys Street Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
76th Street Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
97th Street Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
A. Piantanida Intergenerational Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Algin Sutton Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Aliso Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Aliso Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Alizondo Drive Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
Allegheny Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Alma Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Alpine Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Alvarado Terrace Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Amistad Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Amoroso Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Anderson Memorial Senior Citizen Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Andres and Maria Cardenas Rec Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Andres Pico Adobe Park	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Angeles Mesa Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Angels Gate Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
Armand Hammer Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Arroyo Rosa De Castilla	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Arroyo Seco Park	Regional Park	Neighborhood Park
Arts District Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Ascot Hills Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Augustus F Hawkins Natural Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Averill Park	Community Park	Community Park
B.H. Potter West Adams Ave Mem Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Balboa Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Baldwin Hills Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Bandini Canyon Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
Banning High School Pool	School Pool	Community Park
Banning Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Barnsdall Park	Historic Landmark Site	Community Park
Barrington Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Bee Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Neighborhood Park
Bell Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Bellaire Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Bellevue Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Betty F Day Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Beverly Glen Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Bill Rosendahl Del Rey Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Boyle Heights Sports Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Brand Park	Community Park	Community Park
Branford Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Briarwood Park	Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Bridewell Armory	Single Purpose Site	Neighborhood Park
Brooklyn Heights Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Browns Creek Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Budd Wiener Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Buena Vista Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Builders Of Youth Camp	Mountain Camp	Regional Park
Caballero Creek Confluence Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Cabrillo Beach	Beach	Regional Park
Camellia Ave Elementary School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Camp High Sierra	Mountain Camp	Regional Park
Camp Radford	Mountain Camp	Regional Park
Camp Seely	Mountain Camp	Regional Park
Camp Valcrest	Mountain Camp	Regional Park
Campo De Cahuenga	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Canoga Park Senior Citizen Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Caplow Property	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Carey Ranch Park*	Large Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Carlin G Smith Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Carlton Way Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Carthay Circle Park	Greenway	Neighborhood Park
Castle Peak Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Central Avenue Jazz Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Central Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Challengers Boys And Girls Club	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
C.F. Lummis Home and Gardens, El Alisal	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Chatsworth Oaks Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Chatsworth Park North*	Large Community Park	Community Park
Chatsworth Park South	Regional Nature Park	Community Park
Chatsworth Reservoir Site	Regional Park	Regional Park
Chesterfield Square Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Cheviot Hills Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
Chevy Chase Park	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Circle Park (5th Ave)	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Circle Park (S Gramercy Pl)	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
City Hall Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Claude Pepper Senior Citizen Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Cleland Avenue Bicentennial Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Cleveland High School Pool	School Pool	Community Park
Club Circle Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Cohasset-Melba Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Coldwater Canyon Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
Corbin Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Costanso Fire Station 84 Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Country Club Heritage Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Crescent Place Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Crestwood Hills Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Culver-Slauson Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Cypress Park Club House	Mini Park	Community Park
Cypress Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
David M Gonzales Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
De Garmo Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
De Longpre Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
De Neve Square Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Dearborn Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Deervale-Stone Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Del Rey Lagoon	Community Park	Community Park
Delano Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Denker Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Devonshire Arleta Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Devonwood Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Dorothy J and Benjamin B Smith Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Downey Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Drew Street Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Drum Barracks Civil War Museum	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Eagle Rock City Hall	Historic Landmark Site	Neighborhood Park
Eagle Rock Hillside Park	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Eagle Rock Historical Landmark	Historic Landmark Site	Neighborhood Park
Eagle Rock Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
East Los Angeles Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
East Wilmington Greenbelt Com Center	Mini Park	Community Park
East Wilmington Greenbelt Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
East Wilmington Vest Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Echo Park	Regional Park	Community Park
Echo Park Community Center	Single Purpose Site	Neighborhood Park
Echo Park Deep Pool	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Eddleston Park	Neighborhood Nature Park	Regional Park
El Dorado Avenue Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
El Escorpion Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
El Paseo De Cahuenga Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
El Pueblo de LA Historic Monument	Historic Landmark Site	Neighborhood Park
El Sereno Arroyo Playground	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
El Sereno Community Garden	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
El Sereno Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
El Sereno Senior Citizen Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Eleanor Green Roberts Aquatic Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Elysian Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
Elysian Valley Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Encino Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Encino Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Ernest E Debs Regional Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
Everett Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Evergreen Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
EXPO Center	Regional Park	Community Park
Exposition Park Rose Garden	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Fairfax Senior Citizen Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Fallbrook Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Fehlhaber-Houk Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Felicia Mahood Multipurpose Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Fernangeles Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Fox And Laurel Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Francis Avenue Community Garden	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Franklin-Ivar Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Fred Roberts Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Fremont High School Pool	School Pool	Community Park
Fulton Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Gaffey Street "Field of Dreams"	Community Park	Community Park
Gage and Avalon Triangle Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Garden Grove Elementary School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Garvanza Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Gateway Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Genesee Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
George Wolfberg Park at Potrero Canyon	Canyon Park	Community Park
Gilbert W Lindsay Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Gladys Jean Wesson Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Glassell Park Rec Center + Youth Center	Community Park	Community Park
Glenhurst Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Granada Hills Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Granada Hills Youth Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Grand Hope Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Greayer's Oak Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Green Meadows Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Greenwood Square Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Griffith Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
Grigsby Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Guardia Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Haines Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Hansen Dam Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Hansen Dam Recreation Area	Regional Park	Regional Park
Harbor City Park	Community Park	Community Park
Harbor Gateway Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Harbor Highlands Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Harbor Park Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Harbor View Memorial Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Harding Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Harold A Henry Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Hartland Mini-Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Harvard Elementary School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Hazard Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
Heritage Square	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Highland Park Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Highland Park Senior Citizen Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Holleigh Bernson Memorial Park	Community Park	Community Park
Hollenbeck Park	Community Park	Community Park
Hollywood Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Holmby Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Regional Park
Hoover Pedestrian Mall	Greenway	Neighborhood Park
Hoover Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Hoover-Gage Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Hope and Peace Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Howard Finn Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Hubert H Humphrey Memorial Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Inell Woods Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Irving Schacter Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Isidore B Dockweiler State Beach	Beach	Regional Park
Jacaranda Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
Jackie Tatum / Harvard Rec Center	Community Park	Community Park
Jaime Beth Slavin Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
James Slauson Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Jane and Bert Boeckmann Park	Regional Park	Community Park
Jessie Owens Mini-Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Jim Gilliam Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
John Quimby Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Julian C Dixon Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Juntos Family Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Kagel Canyon Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Ken Malloy Harbor Regional Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
Keswick Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Kittridge Mini-Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Knapp Ranch Park	Regional Park	Regional Park
LA Center for Enriched Studies (LACES)	School Pool	Community Park
La Mirada Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
LA River & Aliso Creek Confluence Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
La Tierra de la Culebra	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
La Tuna Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Lacy Street Neighborhood Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Lafayette Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Lake Street Park	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Lanark Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Lanark Shelby Mini-Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
LAPD SWAT Officer R.D. Simmons Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
LAR Greenway - Brown's Creek	Greenway	Regional Park
LAR Greenway - Coldwater to Whitsett	Greenway	Regional Park
LAR Greenway - Laurel Canyon Gnwy	Greenway	Regional Park
LAR Greenway - Laurelgrove Ped Bridge	Greenway	Regional Park
LAR Greenway - Mason to Vanalden	Greenway	Regional Park
LAR Greenway - Sepulveda to Kester	Greenway	Regional Park
LAR Greenway / Elysian Valley Bikeway	Greenway	Regional Park
Larissa Parkway	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Las Palmas Senior Citizen Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Latham Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Laurel and Hardy Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Laurel Canyon Mulholland Park	Neighborhood Park	Regional Park
Laurel Canyon Park	Large Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Lazy J Ranch Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Leimert Plaza	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Leland Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Lemon Grove Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Leo Politi Elementary School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Leslie N Shaw Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Lexington Avenue Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Limekiln Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Lincoln Heights Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Lincoln Heights Youth Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Lincoln Park	Regional Park	Community Park
Linnie Canal Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Little Green Acres Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Little Landers Park	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Lookout Point Park	Neighborhood Park	Regional Park
Loren Miller Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Los Angeles High Memorial Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Los Angeles Maritime Museum	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Los Angeles Sister Cities Plaza	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Los Feliz Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Lou Costello Jr Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Louise Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Lummis Public Forest Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
MacArthur Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Madison Ave Park and Com Garden	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Madison West Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Mae Boyar Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Mandeville Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Mar Vista Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Marco Place Parkway	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Marco Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Marson Street Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Martin J Bogdanovich Rec Center	Community Park	Community Park
Martin Luther King Jr Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
M.M. Bethune Middle School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Mascot Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Mason Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
McGroarty Park and Cultural Art Center	Historic Landmark Site	Community Park
McKinley Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Mecca Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Media Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Monsignor Ramon Garcia Rec Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Montecito Heights Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
Moon Canyon Park	Neighborhood Nature Park	Neighborhood Park
Moonshine Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Moorpark Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Mount Carmel Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Mount Olympus Park	Neighborhood Nature Park	Neighborhood Park
Mulholland View Site No 16	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Nevin Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Norman O Houston Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Normandale Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Normandie Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
North East Valley Multipurpose Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
North Hills Community Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
North Hollywood Recreation Center	Regional Park	Community Park
North San Fernando Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
North Weddington Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Northridge Middle School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Northridge Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
Nowita Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Oakridge Residence	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Oakwood Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Obama Global Prep Academy (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Old Mission Trail	Linear Park	Regional Park
O'Melveny Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Open Magnet Charter School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Orchard Ave Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Orcutt Ranch Horticultural Center	Historic Landmark Site	Regional Park
Ord And Yale Street Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Oro Vista Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Orthopedic Hospital UAP	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Pacific Region Headquarters	Single Purpose Site	Neighborhood Park
Palisades Park (Pacific Palisades)	Community Nature Park	Community Park
Palisades Park (Porter Ranch)	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Palisades Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Palisades-Asilomar Park	Greenway	Neighborhood Park
Palms Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Pan Pacific Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Panorama City Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Parkview Photo Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Parque Nativo Lopez	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Parthenia Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Patton St Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Pecan Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Peck Park	Regional Park	Community Park
Penmar Golf Course	Golf Course	Regional Park
Penmar Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Penmar Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Pershing Square	Community Park	Community Park
Pilson Property	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Pio Pico Library Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Pio Pico Middle School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Pio Union Community Garden	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Poinsettia Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Point Fermin Park	Community Park	Regional Park
Porter Ranch Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Porter Ridge Park	Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Prospect Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Queen Anne Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Ralph C Daniels Field Sports Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Ramona Elementary School (CSP)	Community School Park	Neighborhood Park
Ramona Hall Community Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Rancho Cienega Park	Large Community Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Rancho Park Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Rena Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Reseda Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Reseda Skate Facility	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
Reynier Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Richardson Family Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Rinaldi Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Rio de Los Angeles State Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Ritchie Valens Paxton Rec Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
Rivas Canyon Park	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Robert L Burns Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Robertson Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Rockwood Community Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Roger W Jessup Park	Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Rolland Curtis Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Roosevelt Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Roosevelt High School Pool	School Pool	Community Park
Roscoe-Valley Circle Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Rose Hill Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Rosecrans Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Ross Snyder Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Ross Valencia Community Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Runnymede Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Runyon Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Rustic Canyon Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Rustic Canyon Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Saint Andrews Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Saint James Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
San Juan Garage	Single Purpose Site	Neighborhood Park
San Julian Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
San Pedro Plaza Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
San Pedro Welcome Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
San Vicente Mountain Park	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Santa Ynez Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
SE Valley Roller & Skateboard Park	Single Purpose Site	Neighborhood Park
Sean Brown Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Seily Rodriguez Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Selma Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Senator Bill Greene Memorial Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Seoul International Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Sepulveda Basin Recreation Area	Regional Park	Regional Park
Sepulveda Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Serrania Avenue Park*	Large Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Shadow Ranch Park	Community Park	Community Park
Shatto Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Sheldon-Arleta Park	Regional Park	Community Park
Sherman Oaks Castle Park	Single Purpose Site	Regional Park
Silver Lake Meadows Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Silver Lake Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Sixth Street Viaduct Park	Community Park	Community Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
South Broadway Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
South LA Sports Activity Center	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
South LA Wetlands Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
South Palos Verdes Street Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
South Park Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
South Seas House Park	Historic Landmark Site	Community Park
South Victoria Avenue Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
South Weddington Park	Community Park	Community Park
Spring Street Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
State Street Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Steers Property	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Stetson Ranch Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Stonehurst Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Stoner Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Stoney Point Park	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Strathern Park - North	Community Park	Community Park
Strathern Park, West	Greenway	Neighborhood Park
Studio City Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Sullivan Canyon Park	Community Nature Park	Regional Park
Sun Valley Park	Community Park	Community Park
Sunland Park	Community Park	Community Park
Sycamore Grove Park	Community Park	Community Park
Sylmar Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Tarzana Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Taxco Trails Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Telfair Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Temescal Canyon Park	Canyon Park	Community Park
Tiara Street Park	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Titmouse Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Toberman Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Tobias Avenue Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Tommy Lasorda's Field of Dreams	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Trask Triangle Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Triangle Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Trinity Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Tujunga Greenbelt	Greenway	Neighborhood Park
Tujunga Infiltration Galleries	Regional Park	Community Park
Unidad Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Valencia Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Valley Glen Community Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Valley Plaza Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Van Ness Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Van Norman Lakes Reservoir	Community Park	Community Park
Van Nuys Multipurpose Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Van Nuys Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Van Nuys Sherman Oaks War Mem. Park	Large Community Park	Community Park
Vanalden Park	Community Park	Community Park
Venice Beach	Beach	Regional Park
Venice High School Pool	School Pool	Community Park
Venice of America Centennial Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park

Park Name	New Classification RAP	Former Classification
Venice Reservoir Site	Community Park	Community Park
Verdugo Hills Pool	School Pool	Community Park
Verdugo Mountain Park	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Vermont Gage Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Vermont Miracle Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Vermont Square Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Vernon Branch Library Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Veterans' Barrington Park	Community Park	Community Park
Via Dolce Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Victory-Vineland Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Viking Park	Community Park	Neighborhood Park
Vineyard Recreation Center	Mini Park	Community Park
Vista Del Mar Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Wabash Recreation Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Wall Street Community Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Warner Ranch Park	Community Park	Community Park
Washington Irving Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Wattles Garden Park	Canyon Park	Regional Park
Watts Cultural Crescent	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
Watts Senior Citizen Center	Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Watts Serenity Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Watts Skate Park	Single Purpose Site	Community Park
West Adam Heights Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
West Hills Sport Center	Community Park	Community Park
West Lakeside Street Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Westchester Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
Western And Gage Community Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Westminster Park	Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Westside Neighborhood Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
Westwood Gardens Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Westwood Recreation Center	Large Community Park	Community Park
White Point Park Nature Preserve	Regional Nature Park	Regional Park
Whitnall Highway Park	Linear Park	Neighborhood Park
Wilbur-Tampa Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Will Rogers State Beach	Beach	Regional Park
William S Hart Park - Dog Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Wilmington Athletic Complex	Community Park	Community Park
Wilmington Recreation Center	Large Neighborhood Park	Community Park
Wilmington Town Square	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Wilson Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Winnetka Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Woodbine Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Woodbridge Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park
Woodland Hills Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Woodley Lakes Golf Course	Golf Course	Sub-Park
Woodside Triangle	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
York Blvd Pocket Park	Mini Park	Neighborhood Park
Yosemite Recreation Center	Community Park	Community Park
Yucca Community Center	Mini Park	Community Park
Zelzah Park	Large Neighborhood Park	Neighborhood Park

Figure 86. Flying over O'Melveny Park in the San Fernando Valley. Source: Calvada Surveying Inc. 2025.

TOP THREE SITES BY CLASSIFICATION

TOP RANKED **MINI PARKS**

11TH AVENUE PARK



Overall Rank: **#1**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **8**
Region: **South**
Acres: **0.21**

SAINT JAMES PARK



Overall Rank: **#2**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **0.90**

SAN JULIAN PARK



Overall Rank: **#3**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **0.29**

TOP RANKED **NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS**

CABALLERO CREEK CONFLUENCE PARK



Overall Rank: **#28**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **3**
Region: **North**
Acres: **1.53**

TOBERMAN RECREATION CENTER



Overall Rank: **#35**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **2.74**

WABASH RECREATION CENTER



Overall Rank: **#42**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **1.87**

TOP RANKED **LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS**

LOU COSTELLO JR RECREATION CENTER



Overall Rank: **#63**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **3.46**

PECAN RECREATION CENTER

Overall Rank: **#68**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **4.28**

NORMANDIE RECREATION CENTER

Overall Rank: **#75**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **3.27**

TOP RANKED **NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARKS**

MOUNT OLYMPUS PARK



Overall Rank: **#262**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **8.91**

MOON CANYON PARK



Overall Rank: **#420**
Overall Priority Group: **4th**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **4.49**

EDDLESTON PARK



Overall Rank: **#445**
Overall Priority Group: **4th**
Council District: **12**
Region: **North**
Acres: **6.31**

TOP RANKED **COMMUNITY PARK**

SIXTH STREET VIADUCT PARK



Overall Rank: **#16**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **12.52**

PERSHING SQUARE



Overall Rank: **#118**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **4.44**

HOLLENBECK PARK

Overall Rank: **#125**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **18.30**

TOP RANKED **LARGE COMMUNITY PARK**

HAZARD RECREATION CENTER



Overall Rank: **#37**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **24.99**

MACARTHUR PARK



Overall Rank: **#95**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **29.87**

RESEDA PARK



Overall Rank: **#109**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **3**
Region: **North**
Acres: **29.68**

TOP RANKED **COMMUNITY NATURE PARK**

CAPLOW PROPERTY



Overall Rank: **#372**
Overall Priority Group: **4th**
Council District: **3**
Region: **North**
Acres: **16.96**

STONEY POINT PARK



Overall Rank: **#387**
Overall Priority Group: **4th**
Council District: **12**
Region: **North**
Acres: **29.06**

EAGLE ROCK HILLSIDE PARK

Overall Rank: **#393**
Overall Priority Group: **4th**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **27.58**

TOP RANKED **REGIONAL PARK**

EXPO CENTER



Overall Rank: **#64**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **9**
Region: **South**
Acres: **6.65**

ELYSIAN PARK



Overall Rank: **#91**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **547.54**

LINCOLN PARK



Overall Rank: **#111**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **42.81**

TOP RANKED **REGIONAL NATURE PARKS**

WHITE POINT PARK NATURE PRESERVE



Overall Rank: **#217**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **15**
Region: **South**
Acres: **95.00**

ASCOT HILLS PARK



Overall Rank: **#218**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **92.44**

CHATSWORTH PARK SOUTH



Overall Rank: **#327**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **12**
Region: **North**
Acres: **73.07**

TOP RANKED **HISTORIC LANDMARK SITES**

EL PUEBLO DE LA HISTORIC MONUMENT



Overall Rank: **#77**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **2.03**

LOS ANGELES MARITIME MUSEUM

Overall Rank: **#103**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **15**
Region: **South**
Acres: **2.48**

EXPOSITION PARK ROSE GARDEN

Overall Rank: **#124**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **9**
Region: **South**
Acres: **10.39**

TOP RANKED **GREENWAYS**

LAR GREENWAY - MASON TO VANALDEN



Overall Rank: **#13**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **3**
Region: **North**
Acres: **6.22**

HOOVER PEDESTRIAN MALL



Overall Rank: **#141**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **9**
Region: **South**
Acres: **2.16**

STRATHERN PARK, WEST

Overall Rank: **#154**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **2**
Region: **North**
Acres: **9.38**

TOP RANKED **LINEAR PARKS**

JACARANDA PARK



Overall Rank: **#90**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **8**
Region: **South**
Acres: **5.35**

LAR & ALISO CREEK CONFLUENCE PARK



Overall Rank: **#156**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **3**
Region: **North**
Acres: **2.59**

BANDINI CANYON PARK



Overall Rank: **#174**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **15**
Region: **South**
Acres: **4.97**

TOP RANKED **CANYON PARKS**

LIMEKILN CANYON PARK

Overall Rank: **#282**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **12**
Region: **North**
Acres: **95.78**

RUNYON CANYON PARK



Overall Rank: **#333**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **4**
Region: **North**
Acres: **141.50**

BROWNS CREEK PARK



Overall Rank: **#366**
Overall Priority Group: **4th**
Council District: **12**
Region: **North**
Acres: **51.99**

TOP RANKED **COMMUNITY SCHOOL PARKS**

LEO POLITI ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (CSP)



Overall Rank: **#20**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **2.02**

CAMELLIA AVENUE ELEM SCHOOL (CSP)

Overall Rank: **#70**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **2**
Region: **North**
Acres: **2.37**

OBAMA GLOBAL PREP ACADEMY (CSP)



Overall Rank: **#83**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **8**
Region: **South**
Acres: **3.00**

TOP RANKED **SCHOOL POOLS**

FREMONT HIGH SCHOOL POOL

Overall Rank: **#36**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **9**
Region: **South**
Acres: **0.64**

ROOSEVELT HIGH SCHOOL POOL



Overall Rank: **#123**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **14**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **1.49**

BANNING HIGH SCHOOL POOL



Overall Rank: **#253**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **15**
Region: **South**
Acres: **0.52**

TOP RANKED **BEACHES**

CABRILLO BEACH



Overall Rank: **#180**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **15**
Region: **South**
Acres: **40.07**

ISIDORE B DOCKWEILER STATE BEACH

Overall Rank: **#181**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **11**
Region: **West**
Acres: **228.31**

VENICE BEACH

Overall Rank: **#281**
Overall Priority Group: **3rd**
Council District: **11**
Region: **West**
Acres: **160.75**

TOP RANKED **SINGLE PURPOSE SITES**

PARKVIEW PHOTO CENTER



Overall Rank: **#34**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **0.96**

ECHO PARK COMMUNITY CENTER



Overall Rank: **#61**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **0.29**

RESEDA SKATE FACILITY

Overall Rank: **#105**
Overall Priority Group: **2nd**
Council District: **3**
Region: **North**
Acres: **2.28**

TOP RANKED **NEW PARK PRIORITY AREAS**

PERSQUAREMILE - UNIVERSITY PARK NORTH



Overall Rank: **#6**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **3.00**

PERSQUAREMILE - WESTLAKE



Overall Rank: **#7**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **3.00**

PERSQUAREMILE - WESTLAKE-KOREATOWN



Overall Rank: **#9**
Overall Priority Group: **1st**
Council District: **1**
Region: **Cen/East**
Acres: **3.00**

Figure 87. Flying over Griffith Observatory within Griffith Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025..

Figure 88. Flying over Ken Malloy Harbor Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025.

ADDITIONAL SITE PLANNING GUIDELINES

GUIDELINES FOR MINI PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [ ] PARK CORE
- [ ] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Outdoor Fitness Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, **Playground**, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, **Water Play**, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR MINI PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL

(2) SMALL

(1) SMALL

(2) SMALL

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- ☐ PROGRAMMING
- ☐ INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE
- ☐ DESIGN EXCELLENCE
- ☐ MATERIAL STRATEGIES
- ☐ ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
- ☑ **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

MINI PARK (<1 ACRE)



Sculpture *(varies)* | Interpretive Display *(varies)* | Shade Structure *480 sf* | Mural *500 sf*

(0-2) SMALL ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)

LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR NEIGHBORHOOD PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Outdoor Fitness Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)

Folly/ Monument
600 sf

Storage Container
160 sf

(2) SMALL

(2) SMALL



(3) SMALL

(1) SMALL

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

NEIGHBORHOOD PARK (1-3 ACRES)



(1-3) SMALL ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)

LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [x] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM



(3) SMALL **(2) MEDIUM** **(1) LARGE**

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK (3-10 ACRES)



(2-3) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS

OR (1) LARGE ELEMENT

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [ ] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [ ] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)





(2) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM

(2) MEDIUM



(3) SMALL

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [ ] PROGRAMMING
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

NEIGHBORHOOD NATURE PARK (<10 ACRES)



Sculpture *(varies)*

Interpretive Display *(varies)*

Storage Container *160 sf*

Shade Structure *480 sf*

(1-3) SMALL ELEMENTS

Greenhouse *1,000 sf*

± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



Memorial *(varies)*

Sculpture *(varies)*

Interpretive Display *(varies)*

Storage Container *160 sf*

Restroom Pavilion *400 sf*

Shade Structure *480 sf*

Mural *500 sf*

Folly/ Monument *600 sf*

Bandshell *800 sf*

Picnic Shelter *800 sf*

MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



Greenhouse *1,000 sf*

Concession Stand *1,000 sf*

Visitor Info. Center *4,000 sf*

Art Gallery *4,000 sf*

Nature Center *6,000 sf*

Amphitheater (outdoor) *6,000 sf*

LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

Wildlife Center *7,000 sf*

Museum *8,000 sf*

Maintenance Facility *8,000 sf*

Childcare Center *10,000 sf*

Equestrian Center *12,000 sf*

Senior Center *12,000 sf*

Recreation Center *18,000 sf*

GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNITY PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PSU PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [x] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [x] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating · Shade · Identity Features · Low Impact Development BMPs · Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space · Group Picnic Area · Restroom · Dog Park · Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter · Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment · Creative Play Attraction · Playground · Rectangular Field · Diamond Field

Basketball Court · Tennis / Pickleball Court · Volleyball Court · Water Play · Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area · Casual Use Space · Internal Walking Trail · Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management · Natural Space · Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking · Transit Stop · Shared Parking · On-Site Parking · Safe Crossings

Comfort Facility · Maintenance Facility · Pickup/ Dropoff · Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNITY PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM



(3) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM



(2) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(2) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

COMMUNITY PARK (10-20 ACRES)



(2-3) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS



± (1) LARGE ELEMENT

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)



GUIDELINES FOR LARGE COMMUNITY PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PSU PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [x] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [x] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [x] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR LARGE COMMUNITY PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(4) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM

(3) SMALL + (2) LARGE

(2) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

LARGE COMMUNITY PARK (20-40 ACRES)



(2-4) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS



± (1-2) LARGE ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNITY NATURE PARK

DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [ ] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [ ] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [x] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating · Shade · Identity Features · Low Impact Development BMPs · Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space · Group Picnic Area · **Restroom** · Dog Park · Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter · **Tiered Seating**

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment · Creative Play Attraction · Playground · Rectangular Field · Diamond Field

Basketball Court · Tennis / Pickleball Court · Volleyball Court · Water Play · Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area · **Casual Use Space** · **Internal Walking Trail** · **Shade Structure**

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management · **Natural Space** · Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking · **Transit Stop** · **Shared Parking** · **On-Site Parking** · **Safe Crossings**

Comfort Facility · Maintenance Facility · **Pickup/ Dropoff** · Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNITY NATURE PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(3) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM

(4) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM



(2) SMALL + (1) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

COMMUNITY NATURE PARK (10-40 ACRES)

Sculpture
(varies)

Interpretive Display
(varies)

Storage Container
160 sf

Restroom Pavilion
400 sf

Shade Structure
480 sf

(2-4) SMALL ELEMENTS

Greenhouse
1,000 sf

Nature Center
6,000 sf

± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS

Wildlife Research Center
7,000 sf

± (1) LARGE ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR REGIONAL PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PSU PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [x] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [x] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [x] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [x] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [x] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field

Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings

Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR REGIONAL PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(4) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE

(4) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE

(5) SMALL + (2) LARGE



(3) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (2) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

REGIONAL PARK (40+ ACRES)



(3-5) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-3) MEDIUM ELEMENTS



± (1-2) LARGE ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)



GUIDELINES FOR REGIONAL NATURE PARK

DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [ ] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [ ] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [x] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [x] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR REGIONAL NATURE PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(3) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM

(3) SMALL + (1) LARGE



(1) SMALL + (2) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

REGIONAL NATURE PARK (40+ ACRES)



(1-3) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS



± (1) LARGE ELEMENT

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)



GUIDELINES FOR HISTORIC LANDMARK SITE



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES (PSU)
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS IN ALL ZONES

Seating · Shade · Identity Features · Low Impact Development BMPs · Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space · Group Picnic Area · Restroom · Dog Park · Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter · Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment · Creative Play Attraction · Playground · Rectangular Field · Diamond Field

Basketball Court · Tennis / Pickleball Court · Volleyball Court · Water Play · Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area · Casual Use Space · Internal Walking Trail · Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management · Natural Space · Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking · Transit Stop · Shared Parking · On-Site Parking · Safe Crossings

Comfort Facility · Maintenance Facility · Pickup/ Dropoff · Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR HISTORIC LANDMARK SITE - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(3) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(2) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(4) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM

(4) SMALL + (1) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

HISTORIC LANDMARK PARK (VARIES)



(2-4) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS



± (1) LARGE ELEMENT

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)



GUIDELINES FOR GREENWAY



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [ ] PARK CORE
- [ ] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- PSU [x] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [x] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating · Shade · Identity Features · Low Impact Development BMPs · Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space · Group Picnic Area · Restroom · Dog Park · Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter · Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment · Creative Play Attraction · Playground · Rectangular Field · Diamond Field

Basketball Court · Tennis / Pickleball Court · Volleyball Court · Water Play · Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area · Casual Use Space · Internal Walking Trail · Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management · Natural Space · Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking · Transit Stop · Shared Parking · On-Site Parking · Safe Crossings

Comfort Facility · Maintenance Facility · Pickup/ Dropoff · Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR GREENWAY - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(3) SMALL

(2) SMALL

(1) SMALL

(2) SMALL

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [ ] PROGRAMMING
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

GREENWAY (VARIES)



(1-4) SMALL ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)

LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR LINEAR PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [ ] PARK CORE
- [ ] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PSU PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [x] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating · Shade · Identity Features · Low Impact Development BMPs · Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space · Group Picnic Area · Restroom · Dog Park · Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter · Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment · Creative Play Attraction · Playground · Rectangular Field · Diamond Field

Basketball Court · Tennis / Pickleball Court · Volleyball Court · Water Play · Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area · Casual Use Space · Internal Walking Trail · Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management · Natural Space · Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking · Transit Stop · Shared Parking · On-Site Parking · Safe Crossings

Comfort Facility · Maintenance Facility · Pickup/ Dropoff · Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR LINEAR PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(3) SMALL

(2) SMALL

(1) SMALL

(4) SMALL

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [ ] PROGRAMMING
- [x] INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE
- [x] DESIGN EXCELLENCE
- [x] MATERIAL STRATEGIES
- [x] ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
- [x] ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

LINEAR PARK (<20 ACRES)



(1-4) SMALL ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)

LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR CANYON PARK



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [x] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PSU PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [x] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [x] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [x] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR CANYON PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(3) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(2) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM + (1) LARGE



(4) SMALL + (2) MEDIUM

(3) SMALL + (1) LARGE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

CANYON PARK (20+)



(2-4) SMALL ELEMENTS



± (1-2) MEDIUM ELEMENTS

± (1) LARGE ELEMENT

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)



GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNITY SCHOOL PARK

NOTE: The design of community school parks is the Los Angeles Unified School District's responsibility.

DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [x] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [x] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [x] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [x] PSU PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [x] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNITY SCHOOL PARK - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(2) SMALL

(1) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM

(2) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM



(1) MEDIUM

NOTE: The design of community school parks is the Los Angeles Unified School District's responsibility.

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

COMMUNITY SCHOOL PARK (VARIES)

Memorial *(varies)*

Sculpture *(varies)*

Interpretive Display *(varies)*

Storage Container *160 sf*

Shade Structure *480 sf*

Mural *500 sf*

Folly/ Monument *600 sf*

(1-2) SMALL ELEMENTS

Greenhouse *1,000 sf*

± (1) MEDIUM ELEMENTS

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

GUIDELINES FOR SCHOOL POOL



DESIGN

- [x] SYSTEM-WIDE DESIGN LANGUAGE
- [x] DESIGN VOCABULARY
- [x] COHESIVE SITE LAYOUT
- [ ] PARK CORE
- [x] INDOOR-OUTDOOR CONNECTIONS
- [ ] NATIVE PLANTING VARIETY
- [x] PARK USER EQUITY
- [ ] CULTURAL/HISTORIC FEATURES
- [x] WATER CONSERVATION
- [ ] FIRE RISK REDUCTION

CONTEXT

- [ ] PARK SUPPORTIVE USES
- [x] BUILDING FRONTAGE
- [ ] COUNTY / REGIONAL PARKS PROPERTY
- [x] PUBLIC PROPERTY/INSTITUTIONAL
- [x] STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS

CONNECTIVITY

- [x] STREET GRID CONNECTIVITY
- [x] SEAMLESS PUBLIC SPACE
- [ ] TRAIL MODE SEPARATION
- [ ] LOOP TRAIL
- [ ] INTERNAL WALKING TRAIL
- [ ] TRAIL CONNECTION
- [x] SAFE ROUTES / PASSAGES

WAYFINDING

- [x] GATEWAYS (PRIMARY/SECONDARY)
- [ ] PATH HIERARCHY
- [x] UNIVERSAL WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

TYPICAL AMENITIES

UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

Seating, Shade, Identity Features, Low Impact Development BMPs, Lighting

IU INTENSIVE USE

Outdoor Event Space, Group Picnic Area, Restroom, Dog Park, Community Garden

PG PROGRAMMABLE GATHERING

Park Shelter, Tiered Seating

RE RECREATION

Fitness / Exercise Equipment, Creative Play Attraction, Playground, Rectangular Field, Diamond Field, Basketball Court, Tennis / Pickleball Court, Volleyball Court, Water Play, Pool

CU CASUAL USE

Individual Picnic Area, Casual Use Space, Internal Walking Trail, Shade Structure

NA NATURAL SYSTEMS

Stormwater Management, Natural Space, Unique Landscape Feature

INFRASTRUCTURE

Bike Parking, Transit Stop, Shared Parking, On-Site Parking, Safe Crossings, Comfort Facility, Maintenance Facility, Pickup/ Dropoff, Regional Water Partnerships

GUIDELINES FOR SCHOOL POOL - ARCHITECTURE

SAMPLE OUTCOME(S)



(1) SMALL + (1) MEDIUM

(2) SMALL

(1) MEDIUM

(1) SMALL

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

- [x] **PROGRAMMING**
- [x] **INTEGRATION WITH THE LANDSCAPE**
- [x] **DESIGN EXCELLENCE**
- [x] **MATERIAL STRATEGIES**
- [x] **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
- [x] **ACCESSIBILITY & EQUITY**

ARCHITECTURAL RECIPES

SCHOOL POOL (VARIES)

Shade Structure
480 sf

Mural
500 sf

(1-2) SMALL ELEMENTS

Concession Stand
1,000 sf

± (1) MEDIUM ELEMENT

TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS

SMALL ELEMENTS

(<1,000 SF)



MEDIUM ELEMENTS

(1,000 - 6,000 SF)



LARGE ELEMENTS

(>6,000 SF)

Figure 89. Drone view over O'Melveny Park shows popular hiking trails in the North San Fernando Valley. Source Calvada Surveying, Inc., 2025.



LEVEL OF SERVICE DETAILS

HOW TO READ THE LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS



The current number of amenities and the number to be added or reduced/removed by 2050.

Whether the recommended level of service (LOS) is ▲ higher or ▼ lower than the current level of service.

The data that was used to build the recommended LOS. These data points are the peer median level of service, priority investment rating, and 5-year national participation change.

How the above data points inform the recommended LOS. For example, if the peer median LOS is greater than Los Angeles, it suggests raising the LOS.

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE AMENITIES TRACKED BY THE TRUST FOR PUBLIC LAND ANNUALLY. THIS LIST IS NOT EXHAUSTIVE OF RAP'S PARK SYSTEM, AS IT EXCLUDES FEATURES SUCH AS UNPROGRAMMED OPEN SPACE, A HIGHLY DESIRED AMENITY.

BASKETBALL HOOPS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

1.8 per 10,000 **Current** ▲ **3.8** per 10,000 **Recommended**

Number of Basketball Hoops

692 in 2025 ▲ **1,625** by 2050

Peer Median



▲ Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



◆ Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation



▲ Supports Raising LOS Standard

COMMUNITY GARDEN SITES

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.00 per 1,000 **Current**

▲

0.04 per 1,000 **Recommended**

Number of Community Garden Sites

19 in 2025

▲

176 by 2050

Peer Median



▲

Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



▲

Supports Raising LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation

DIAMOND FIELDS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.8 per 10,000 **Current** ▲ **1.0** per 10,000 **Recommended**

Number of Diamond Fields

304 in 2025 ▲ **416** by 2050



OFF-LEASH DOG PARKS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.4 per 100,000 **Current** ▲ **2.0** per 100,000 **Recommended**

Number of Off-Leash Dog Parks

14 in 2025 ▲ **85** by 2050

PICKLEBALL COURTS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.3 per 20,000 **Current**

▲

1.1 per 20,000 **Recommended**

Number of Pickleball Courts

51.5 in 2025

▲

227 by 2050

Peer Median



Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation



Supports Raising LOS Standard

PLAYGROUNDS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

1.0 per 10,000 **Current**

1.9 per 10,000 **Recommended**

Number of Playgrounds

398 in 2025

828 by 2050

RECTANGULAR FIELDS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.3 per 10,000 **Current** ▲ **0.7** per 10,000 **Recommended**

Number of Rectangular Fields

109 in 2025 ▲ **300** by 2050

Peer Median



▲ Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



◆ Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation



▲ Supports Raising LOS Standard

TENNIS COURTS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

1.4 per 20,000 **Current**

3.1 per 20,000 **Recommended**

Number of Tennis Courts

277.5 in 2025

679 by 2050

Peer Median



Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation



Supports Raising LOS Standard

VOLLEYBALL COURTS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.9 per 20,000 **Current** ▼ **0.2** per 20,000 **Recommended**

Number of Volleyball Courts

175 in 2025 ▼ **49** by 2050



SWIMMING POOLS (OUTDOOR)

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

1.5 per 100,000 **Current**

1.4 per 100,000 **Recommended**

Number of Swimming Pools

59 in 2025

62 by 2050

SPLASHPADS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.3 per 100,000 **Current**

▲

3.5 per 100,000 **Recommended**

Number of Splashpads

13 in 2025

▲

153 by 2050



BATHROOMS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

4.2 per 10,000 **Current**

1.6 per 10,000 **Recommended**

Number of Bathrooms

1,618 in 2025

673 by 2050

Peer Median



Supports Lowering LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating

5-Year Change in Participation

NATURE TRAILS (MILES)

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

2.4 per 100,000 **Current**

3.6 per 100,000 **Recommended**

Miles of Natural Trails

92 in 2025

157 by 2050

Peer Median



Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



Supports Raising LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation



Supports Raising LOS Standard

DISC GOLF COURSES

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.1 per 100,000 **Current** ▲ **0.2** per 100,000 **Recommended**

Number of Disc Golf Courses

3 in 2025 ▲ **8** by 2050

RECREATION AND SENIOR CENTERS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

1.0 per 20,000 **Current**

▼

0.9 per 20,000 **Recommended**

Number of Recreation and Senior Centers

185 in 2025

▲

202 by 2050



SKATE PARKS

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

0.8 per 100,000 **Current**

0.7 per 100,000 **Recommended**

Number of Skate Parks

29 in 2025

29 by 2050

Peer Median



Supports Lowering LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating



Supports Maintaining LOS Standard

5-Year Change in Participation



Supports Raising LOS Standard

PARK ACREAGE

Population-Based Standard

Level of Service (LOS)

4.3 per 1,000 **Current**

9.9 per 1,000 **Recommended**

Park Acreage

16,333 in 2025

42,704 by 2050

Peer Median



Supports Raising LOS Standard

Priority Investment Rating

5-Year Change in Participation

ADDRESSING SITE-SPECIFIC NEEDS

While RAP should consider all site planning and engagement guidelines applicable to a park or prospective park site based on its classification, certain guidelines may be particularly useful in addressing site-specific needs. Figure 90 shows which guidelines may help address areas of high need, as defined by the PNA's site prioritization criteria.

For a given site:

1. Find the site in the Universe of Sites table located in the Appendix.
2. Determine for which of the prioritization criteria the site received high values, indicating a high level of need.
3. Find those criteria in the first row of this table.
4. Look down the column to find the guidelines most likely to help address that need.

As an example, for a park or prospective park site that has low shade cover the guidelines for planting variety, shade, and natural areas may be of particular importance.

Figure 90. Certain guidelines may help address areas of high need, as defined by the PNA's site prioritization criteria.
Source: OLIN, 2025.



Medium Weight
Lowest Weight
Criminalization Burden
Capital Improvement History
Extreme Heat Risk
Lack of Private Open Space
Biodiversity + Habitat Cons.
Metro Corridors
Perceived Parks Condition
Perceived Rec Center Condition
Perceived Walkability
Presence of Community Priority Amenities
Park Visitation
Habitat Connectivity
Tree Species Composition
Infiltration and Recharge Opps
Water Quality Priority
LA County PNA

Highest Weight

	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Parks Condition Assessment	Environmental, Social, and Health Equity	Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety
Criteria that Address Rec and Park Needs							
Criteria that Address Equity Needs							
Criteria that Address Resiliency Needs							
Criteria that Address City/County Priority Needs							
CONTEXT							
Park Supportive Uses	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
Building Frontage	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
County/Regional Parks Connectivity	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Public Property/Institutional	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Streetscape Enhancements	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☑	☐
CONNECTIVITY							
Street Grid Connectivity	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Seamless Public Space	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Trail Mode Separation	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Loop Trail	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Internal Walking Trail	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Trail Connections	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Safe Routes/Passages	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
WAYFINDING							
Gateways (Primary & Secondary)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Path Hierarchy	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Universal Wayfinding Signage	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
INFRASTRUCTURE							
Regional Water Partnerships	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐
Bike Parking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Transit Stop	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Shared Parking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On-Site Parking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Accessible Van Parking and Drop-Off	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Safe Crossings	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Comfort Facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Maintenance Facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐


Medium Weight
Criminalization Burden
Capital Improvement History
Extreme Heat Risk
Lack of Private Open Space
Biodiversity + Habitat Cons.
Metro Corridors
Lowest Weight
Perceived Parks Condition
Perceived Rec Center Condition
Perceived Walkability
Presence of Community Priority Amenities
Park Visitation
Habitat Connectivity
Tree Species Composition
Infiltration and Recharge Opps
Water Quality Priority
LA County PNA

Highest Weight

	Park Pressure	Walk Network Connectivity	Parks Condition Assessment	Environmental, Social, and Health Equity	Low Shade Cover	Climate Vulnerability	Perceived Park Safety
Criteria that Address Rec and Park Needs	■	■	■				■
Criteria that Address Equity Needs	■	■	■	■	■	■	■
Criteria that Address Resiliency Needs				■	■	■	
Criteria that Address City/County Priority Needs			■	■	■	■	
ZONES							
Universal Elements	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑	☑
Intensive Use Areas	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Programmable Gathering Areas	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Recreation Areas	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Casual Use Areas	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Natural System Areas	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑	☐
ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS							
Programming	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Integration with the Landscape	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Design Excellence	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Material Strategies	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Environmental Sustainability	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Accessibility & Equity	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
ONGOING ENGAGEMENT GUIDELINES							
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS							
Project Pre-Planning	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Community-Drive Planning and Design Process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Implementation	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
LONG-TERM STEWARDSHIP, OPERATIONS, AND PROGRAMMING							
Park Ambassador Program	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Park Arts Advisory Board	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Programming	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
'Friends of' Groups and Park Advisory Boards	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Hiring	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Medium Weight						Lowest Weight									
Criminalization Burden	Capital Improvement History	Extreme Heat Risk	Lack of Private Open Space	Biodiversity + Habitat Cons.	Metro Corridors	Perceived Parks Condition	Perceived Rec Center Condition	Perceived Walkability	Presence of Community Priority Amenities	Park Visitation	Habitat Connectivity	Tree Species Composition	Infiltration and Recharge Opps	Water Quality Priority	LA County PNA
☐	☐	☑	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☑	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☑	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

ENDMATTER:
RESOURCES

SOURCES

1. Road Segments, LA County Countywide Address Management System (CAMS), 2024. cams-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com
2. Population 2050, SED TAZ-Tier2-Level Estimates - SCAG Region, 2024. https://hub.scag.ca.gov/
3. Road Segments, LA County Countywide Address Management System (CAMS), 2024. cams-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com
4. https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-40, CalEnviroScreen-4.0, CA OEH, Page Dated May 1, 2023 Accessed April 30, 2025.
5. https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/ca477e68657643c9a2bad1fddfe24359, A Great Divide: L.A. Equity Index, LA Controller, Accessed April 30, 2025.
6. https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535, SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities, CA OEH, Accessed April 30, 2025.`
7. Tree Canopy - Block Group, Tree People, Center for Urban Resilience (CURes) at Loyola Marymount University, 2020. https://lmu-la.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=8d77f677faba40ce9f51d98e9a3196aa, Accessed April 30, 2025.
8. LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LA County Climate Vulnerability Assessment, October 2021. https://lacounty.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=c78e929d004846bb993958b49c8e8e65, Accessed April 30, 2025.
9. Criminalization Risk, Catalyst California, 2022. https://www.catalystcalifornia.org/campaign-tools/maps-and-data/justice-equity-need-index, Accessed April 30, 2025.
10. LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LA County Climate Vulnerability Assessment, October 2021. https://lacounty.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=c78e929d004846bb993958b49c8e8e65, Accessed April 30, 2025.
11. Tree Canopy - Block Group, Tree People, Center for Urban Resilience (CURes) at Loyola Marymount University, 2020. https://lmu-la.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=8d77f677faba40ce9f51d98e9a3196aa, Accessed April 30, 2025.
12. Urban Habitat Quality Score. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN), Biodiversity Index, 2021. Classification and Assessment with Landsat of Visible Ecological Groupings (CALVEG), Los Angeles Region Imagery Acquisition Consortium (LARIAC), Isaac Brown (UCLA Doctoral Dissertation). https://geohub.lacity.org/maps/b9b9c447cf6543f4872fa18bc7b0193b/explore?location=34.019472%2C-118.414050%2C10.70, Accessed April 30, 2025.
13. https://www.placer.ai/, Home Page, data accessed via subscription, Accessed June 25, 2025.
14. https://www.placer.ai/company/privacy-faq, Privacy FAQ, PlacerAI, Accessed April 30, 2025.
15. Citywide Wildlife Connectivity. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN). LA Biodiversity Index Baseline Report, 2022.
16. Citywide Wildlife Connectivity. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN). LA Biodiversity Index Baseline Report Appendix II Master Methods, Page 18. 2022.
17. Detailed LA County Park Needs Assessment Park Need, LA County Department of Parks and Recreation. 2016. https://egis-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/maps/8ca2be89332d4cfcb21ba58d9d14f6c8/explore?location=34.080826%2C-118.358500%2C12.08, Accessed April 30, 2025.

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1. The Steering Committee receives an update on PNA progress on May 20, 2025. Source: OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 7

Figure 2. Griffith Park has a beloved trail system crossing through the park. Source: City of LA Recreation and Parks, 2025. Found On Page 11

Figure 3. Engagement meetingsin Phase 3 included food venders and informational boards out in the parks. Source: The Robert Group, 2025. Found On Page 12

Figure 4. A celebration marks opening day at Hope and Peace playground. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks. Found On Page 16

Figure 5. PNA Criteria: Park Pressure. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), and Projected 2050 Population (Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Connect SoCal 2024 Growth Projections) Found On Page 18

Figure 6. PNA Criteria: Walk Network Connectivity. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments) and idealized walkshed (Half-mile buffer from the boundary of RAP parks). Found On Page 19

Figure 7. PNA Criteria: Park Conditions Assessment. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Parks Conditions Assessment, City of LA Department of Recreation and Parks. 2024. Found On Page 20

Figure 8. PNA Criteria: Environmental, Social, and Health Equity. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: CalEnviroScreen 4.0, 2021, CoLA Equity Index (2024), SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities. Found On Page 21

Figure 9. PNA Criteria: Low Shade Cover. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Tree Canopy Cover by Block Group (Tree People and Loyola Marymount University), and Park Landcover (City of LA Bureau of Public Works - Forest Management, 2025). Found On Page 22

Figure 10. PNA Criteria: Climate Vulnerability. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LAC Climate Vulnerability Assessment. Found On Page 23

Figure 11. PNA Criteria: Perceived Park Safety. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025. Found On Page 24

Figure 12. PNA Criteria: Criminalization Burden. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Justice Equity Need Index (JENI) Criminalization Risk (Catalyst California) Found On Page 25

Figure 13. PNA Criteria: Capital Improvement Project History. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: RAP Prop K, Quimby, Grants and Non Grants Funding Found On Page 26

Figure 14. PNA Criteria: Extreme Heat Risk. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: LA County Chief Sustainability Office, LAC Climate Vulnerability Assessment. Found On Page 27

Figure 15. PNA Criteria: Lack of Private Open Space. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Impervious Cover by Residential Parcel (Tree People and Loyola Marymount University) Found On Page 28

Figure 16. PNA Criteria: Biodiversity and Habitat Conservation. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Urban Habitat Quality Score. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN). Found On Page 29

Figure 17. PNA Criteria: Metro Corridors. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), LA County Metropolitan Transit Authority (LAC MTA). Found On Page 30

Figure 18. PNA Criteria: Perceived Park Condition. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025. Found On Page 31

Figure 19. PNA Criteria: Perceived Recreation Center Condition. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025. Found On Page 32

Figure 20.PNA Criteria: Perceived Walkability. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025. Found On Page 33

Figure 21. PNA Criteria: Presence of Community Priority Amenities. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: PNA Statistically Valid Survey Results, 2025. Found On Page 34

Figure 22. PNA Criteria: Park Visitation. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Placer.ai data of park visitation Found On Page 35

Figure 23. PNA Criteria: MyLA311 Requests. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: City of LA MyLA311 requests (2025) Found On Page 36

Figure 24.PNA Criteria: Habitat Connectivity. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Citywide Wildlife Connectivity. City of LA Department of Sanitation (LASAN). Found On Page 37

Figure 25. PNA Criteria: Tree Species Composition. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: City of LA RAP, Tree Inventory and OLIN (2025). Found On Page 38

Figure 26. PNA Criteria: Infiltration and Recharge Opportunities. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: LADWP Stormwater Capture Master Plan, 2015. Found On Page 39

Figure 27. PNA Criteria: Water Quality Priority. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Walkshed from RAP Parks (RAP Access Points (2025) and LA County CAMS Road Segments), Water Quality Priority from LA County Public Works, Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP) LSPC Model Input (2012) and Geosyntec (2018). Found On Page 40

Figure 28.PNA Criteria: LA County Park Needs Assessment. Source: OLIN, 2025 using Data from: Detailed LA County Park Needs Assessment Park Need (LA County) Found On Page 41

Figure 29. Drone image of Echo Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025. Found On Page 42

Figure 30.Thirty-six New Park Priority Areas were added to the "Universe of Sites" using the PerSquareMile tool. These sites were selected to help address both Park Access and Park Supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 45

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 31. Parks within and near the Vermont - Knolls Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 46

Figure 32. Parks within and near the Florence Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 48

Figure 33. Parks within and near the Vermont - Slauson Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 50

Figure 34. Parks within and near the Harvard-Park Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 52

Figure 35. Parks within and near the Vermont - South Park Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 54

Figure 36. Parks within and near the South Park - Florence Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 56

Figure 37. Parks within and near the Central-Alameda Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 58

Figure 38. Parks within and near the East Vermont Square, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 60

Figure 39. Parks within and near the Historic South Central Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 62

Figure 40. Parks within and near the Central-Alameda-North Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 64

Figure 41. Parks within and near the Exposition Park, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 66

Figure 42. Parks within and near the North Historic South Central, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 68

Figure 43. Parks within and near the University Park North, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 70

Figure 44. Parks within and near the Harvard Heights-Pico Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 72

Figure 45. Parks within and near the Pico-Union, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 74

Figure 46. Parks within and near the Downtown, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 76

Figure 47. Parks within and near the Boyle Heights Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 78

Figure 48. Parks within and near the Windsor Square Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 80

Figure 49. Parks within and near the Koreatown Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 82

Figure 50. Parks within and near the Westlake-Koreatown Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 84

Figure 51. Parks within and near the Westlake Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 86

Figure 52. Parks within and near the East Hollywood-Koreatown Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 88

Figure 53. Parks within and near the East Hollywood Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 90

Figure 54. Parks within and near the Tarzana - Encino Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 92

Figure 55. Parks within and near the Van Nuys – Valley Glen Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 94

Figure 56. Parks within and near the Winetka Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 96

Figure 57. Parks within and near the Van Nuys West Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 98

Figure 58. Parks within and near the Van Nuys Central Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 100

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 59. Parks within and near the Van Nuys East Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 102

Figure 60. Parks within and near the North Hollywood, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 104

Figure 61. Parks within and near the Reseda Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 106

Figure 62. Parks within and near the South Panorama City Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 108

Figure 63. Parks within and near the Sun Valley Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 110

Figure 64. Parks within and near the North Hills Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 112

Figure 65. Parks within and near the Panorama City West Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 114

Figure 66. Parks within and near the Arleta Grid, as a visual measure of park proximity within a 10-minute walk (half-mile) and park supply. Source: GreenInfo Network, OLIN, 2025. Found On Page 116

Figure 67. Flying over Sixth Stree Viaduct during the 2025 CicLAVia Heart of LA event when cyclists have exclusive right-of-way. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025. Found On Page 118

Figure 68. Flying over McArthur Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025. Found On Page 144

Figure 69. Council District 1. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 147

Figure 70. Council District 2. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 151

Figure 71. Council District 3. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 155

Figure 72. Council District 4. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 159

Figure 73. Council District 5. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 163

Figure 74. Council District 6. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 167

Figure 75. Council District 7. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 171

Figure 76. Council District 8. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 175

Figure 77. Council District 9. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 179

Figure 78. Council District 10. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 183

Figure 79. Council District 11. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 187

Figure 80. Council District 12. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 191

Figure 81. Council District 13. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 195

Figure 82. Council District 14. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 199

Figure 83. Council District 15. Source: City Boundary, Council District Boundary, and Parks: City of LA Data Portal, 2025. Found On Page 203

Figure 84. Flying over Echo Park Lake. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025. Found On Page 206

Figure 85. Skaters at the South East Valley Roller and Skateboard Park. Source: City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks. Found On Page 215

Figure 86. Flying over O'Melveny Park in the San Fernando Valley. Source: Calvada Surveying Inc. 2025. Found On Page 226

Figure 87. Flying over Griffith Observatory within Griffith Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025.. Found On Page 237

Figure 88. Flying over Ken Malloy Harbor Park. Source: Calvada Surveying, 2025. Found On Page 238

Figure 89. Drone view over O'Melveny Park shows popular hiking trails in the North San Fernando Valley. Source Calvada Surveying, Inc., 2025. Found On Page 300

Figure 90. Certain guidelines may help address areas of high need, as defined by the PNA's site prioritization criteria. Found On Page 320

PREPARED BY:

OLIN

THE ROBERT GROUP | KOUNKUEY DESIGN INITIATIVE | AGENCY: ARTIFACT | ESTOLANO ADVISORS
BETTER WORLD GROUP | GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS | HR&A ADVISORS | WEST OF WEST | GREENINFO NETWORK
LANDAU DESIGN + TECHNOLOGY | DHARAM CONSULTING | CALVADA SURVEYING | ETC INSTITUTE